

# CONFORMAL AUTOSIMILARITY

YVES BENOIST AND DOMINIQUE HULIN

ABSTRACT. We prove that the conformally autosimilar closed subsets of the Euclidean sphere are exactly the limit sets of convex cocompact Kleinian groups. We also prove other similar results.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Notations and preliminary results	4
2.1. Action on the boundary	4
2.2. Convex cocompact subgroups	5
3. Conformally autosimilar sets	6
3.1. Closed orbits in $\mathcal{K}_2$	6
3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1	7
4. Cocompact actions on open sets	8
4.1. Closed orbits in $\mathcal{K}'_2$	8
4.2. Action on pointed open sets	9
4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.1	11
5. Cocompact actions on triples	12
5.1. Closed orbits in $\mathcal{K}_3$	12
5.2. Proof of Theorem 5.1	13
6. Dynamics of $G$ on $\mathcal{K}_2$	15
6.1. Closed, dense and minimal orbits	15
6.2. Closed orbits	15
6.3. Dense orbits	16
6.4. Minimal subsets for the free group	17
6.5. Minimal subsets	19
7. Concluding remarks	21
7.1. Closed orbits in $\mathcal{K}_i$	21
7.2. Gromov hyperbolic groups	22
7.3. Divisible Convex sets	22
7.4. Higher rank	24
References	24

---

**Compacts conformément autosimilaires.** Nous prouvons que les compacts conformément autosimilaires de la sphère euclidienne sont exactement les ensembles limites des groupes kleinien convexes cocompacts.

*2010 Mathematics subject classification* Primary 28A80 ; Secondary 22E40

*Key words* Fractals, Kleinian, Limit sets, Convex cocompact, Singly degenerate

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we want to describe the compact subsets of the Euclidean sphere that are *conformally autosimilar*. This means roughly that after zooming in somewhere in this compact subset using a sequence of conformal transformations, what you see looks very much like the compact set you started with. We will see that these conformally autosimilar compact sets are exactly the limit sets of the convex cocompact Kleinian groups.

In this introduction  $G$  will denote the group  $G := PO_e(d+1, 1)$  of conformal transformations of the sphere  $X := \mathbb{S}^d$  with  $d \geq 1$ . Later on, in Section 7.2, we will allow  $G$  to be any Gromov hyperbolic group and  $X$  will be the boundary of  $G$ .

We want to study the dynamics of the group  $G$  on the space  $\mathcal{K}_1$  of non-empty compact subsets  $K$  of  $X$ . This space  $\mathcal{K}_1$  endowed with the Hausdorff distance is a compact metric space. We first notice that, when  $K \neq X$ , the orbit closure  $\overline{GK}$  in  $\mathcal{K}_1$  contains all the subsets  $\{x\}$  with only one element. This is why we introduce the space  $\mathcal{K}_2$  of compact subsets  $K$  of  $X$  that contain at least two elements. Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}_2$ . The main question we address in this preprint is :

*When is the orbit  $GK$  closed in  $\mathcal{K}_2$ ?*

We can now give a precise definition: *A compact subset  $K \subset X$  is called conformally autosimilar if  $GK$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}_2$ .* This definition matches the rough intuition above since “*what you see after a conformal zooming in of  $K$* ” is nothing but a limit  $K_\infty$  in  $\mathcal{K}_1$  of a sequence of images  $g_n K$  of  $K$  by conformal transformations  $g_n \in G$ . In our definition, we ask this limit  $K_\infty$  to be either a point or the image  $gK$  of  $K$  by a conformal transformation  $g \in G$ .

The answer to this question is very simple but seems to be new even for  $d = 1$  (see Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.3) :

*The orbit  $GK$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}_2$  if and only if  
 $K$  is the limit set of a convex cocompact Kleinian group.*

We recall that a *Kleinian group* is a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$ , and that such a group is said to be *convex cocompact* if there exists a  $\Gamma$ -invariant closed convex subset  $C$  of the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^{d+1}$  such that  $\Gamma \backslash C$  is compact. The convex cocompact Kleinian groups have often been studied. They form the simplest class of examples of word-hyperbolic groups (see [10], [15] and more recently [18]).

When looking at the pictures in Mumford, Series and Wright's book, one can guess that some limit sets of Kleinian groups, such as the *Schottky dance* [20, p.99-100], are conformally autosimilar while others such as the *Indra's necklace* [20, p.158-159], are not. Our Theorem 3.1 corroborates precisely this guess.

Here are a few comments which enlighten the diversity of these conformally autosimilar sets. These comments rely on the survey [16, p.512-517] which contains examples of limit sets of convex cocompact Kleinian groups.

When  $d = 1$ , i.e. when  $G = PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $X = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$ , a conformally autosimilar compact set  $K \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$  is always homeomorphic to a Cantor set.

When  $d = 2$ , i.e. when  $G = PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$  and  $X = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ , there are much more homeomorphism types of conformally autosimilar compact sets  $K \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ . There are examples where  $K$  is homeomorphic to :

- a Cantor set,
- a Jordan curve,
- a *combination* of Cantor sets and Jordan curves, i.e. a closed subset whose connected components are points and Jordan curves.
- a *Sierpinsky gasket*, i.e. a nowhere dense subset obtained by removing from  $X$  a countable union of open disks with disjoint closures,
- a *degenerate Sierpinski gasket* i.e. a nowhere dense subset obtained by removing from  $X$  a countable union of disjoint open disks such that the union of their boundaries is connected,...

When  $d = 3$ , i.e. when  $G = PO_e(4, 1)$  and  $X = \mathbb{S}^3$ , there are even more striking examples. The compact  $K \subset \mathbb{S}^3$  may be :

- a wild knot,
- a wild 2-sphere,
- a Menger curve,
- an unknotted curve whose stabilizer in  $G$  is not quasi-Fuchsian,...

When  $d \geq 4$  more examples arise. In particular the limit sets of the Coxeter subgroups constructed in [11].

Using similar tools, we will also answer the following question :

*When does the orbit closure  $\overline{GK}$  contains nothing but  $GK$  and  $X$ ?*

We will see (Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 3.3) that this happens if and only if

*there exists a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  that preserves  $K$  and acts cocompactly on  $X \setminus K$ .*

We will also answer the following question :

*When does  $\overline{GK}$  contains nothing but  $GK$  and pairs of points?*

We will see (Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 3.3) that this happens if and only if

*$K$  is the union of the limit set of a convex cocompact Kleinian group  $\Gamma$  and of finitely many  $\Gamma$ -orbits.*

We will then apply Theorem 3.1 to the study of the dynamics of the group  $G$  on this space  $\mathcal{K}_2$ . In order to emphasize the complexity of this dynamics we will prove the following three facts (Theorem 6.1) :

*The union of the closed  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{K}_2$  is dense.*

*The group  $G$  has a dense orbit in  $\mathcal{K}_2$ .*

*There exists  $G$ -minimal subsets in  $\mathcal{K}_2$  which are not  $G$ -orbits.*

We recall that a  $G$ -minimal subset is a  $G$ -invariant non-empty closed subset which is minimal for the inclusion.

We thank M. Kapovich for nice discussions on this topic. These results have been announced and videotaped during the Introductory workshop 740 at MSRI in January 2015.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

For the sake of simplicity we will explain our main results in the context of “simple Lie groups of real rank one”. In Section 7.2, we will explain how to extend these results to the context of “Gromov hyperbolic groups”.

**2.1. Action on the boundary.** Let  $M$  be a Riemannian symmetric space of negative curvature and  $G = \text{Isom}_e(M)$  be the connected component of the group of isometries of  $M$ . In other terms,  $G$  is a simple Lie group of real rank one.

Let  $X$  be the sphere at infinity or visual boundary of  $M$ . We will denote by  $d + 1$  the dimension of  $M$  so that  $X$  is homeomorphic to the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^d$ .

From the geometric point of view  $X$  is the set of equivalence classes of geodesic rays  $r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$  where two geodesic rays are said to be equivalent if they stay within a bounded distance from one another.

From the Lie group point of view,  $X$  is the boundary of  $G$  i.e. it is the  $G$ -homogeneous space  $X = G/P$  whose isotropy group  $P$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ .

For instance when  $M$  is the real hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^{d+1}$ , with  $d \geq 1$ , the group  $G$  is the conformal group  $G = PO_e(d + 1, 1)$  and  $X$  is the conformal sphere  $X := \mathbb{S}^d$ . We will define a *conformal transformation of  $\mathbb{S}^d$*  to be an element of  $G$ .

We recall that the visual compactification  $\overline{M}$  of  $M$  is a compact space containing  $M$  as an open dense set and such that  $\overline{M} \setminus M = X$ . This space  $\overline{M}$  is homeomorphic to the closed  $(d + 1)$ -dimensional ball. When  $A$  is a subset of  $M$ , we will denote by  $\overline{A}$  its closure in  $\overline{M}$ .

Let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . We denote by  $\Gamma_K$  the stabilizer of  $K$  in  $G$  :

$$\Gamma_K := \{g \in G \mid gK = K\}.$$

This is a closed subgroup of  $G$ .

We denote by  $\text{Conv}(K)$  the convex hull of  $K$  in  $M$ . It is the smallest closed convex subset  $C$  of  $M$  such that  $\overline{C} \setminus C = K$ . It does exist when  $K$  contains at least two points. We will use implicitly the equality  $K = X \cap \overline{\text{Conv}(K)}$  (see [1]) and the fact that the map  $K \mapsto \text{Conv}(K)$  is continuous (see [8]).

**2.2. Convex cocompact subgroups.** The following definitions and properties are classical for discrete subgroups  $\Gamma$ . One can check that they are still valid for closed subgroups.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$ . The limit set  $\Lambda_\Gamma$  is the closed subset of  $X$  given by  $\Lambda_\Gamma := \overline{\Gamma m} \setminus \Gamma m$  where  $m$  belongs to  $M$ .*

*The domain of discontinuity  $\Omega_\Gamma$  is the open set  $\Omega_\Gamma := X \setminus \Lambda_\Gamma$ .*

Note that the limit set does not depend on the choice of  $m$  in  $M$ , that the limit set is not empty when the subgroup  $\Gamma$  is not bounded, and that the group  $\Gamma$  acts properly on the domain of discontinuity  $\Omega_\Gamma$ . Note that we kept the classical name *domain of discontinuity* for  $\Omega_\Gamma$  even though  $\Gamma$  is not assumed to be discrete.

Note also that, if  $\Gamma'$  is a cocompact subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , they have same limit sets  $\Lambda_{\Gamma'} = \Lambda_\Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.2.** *A closed subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  is said to be convex cocompact if there exists a closed nonempty  $\Gamma$ -invariant convex subset  $C$  of  $M$  on which  $\Gamma$  acts cocompactly.*

When  $\Gamma$  is convex cocompact and unbounded, one can choose  $C$  to be the convex hull of the limit set  $C = \text{Conv}(\Lambda_\Gamma)$ .

A closed subgroup  $\Gamma$  is said to be *non-elementary* when it does not fix a point or a two-point subset in  $\overline{M}$ . Equivalently its limit set  $\Lambda_\Gamma$  is infinite and minimal.

*Remark 2.3.* It is well-known that a non-elementary closed subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  is convex cocompact if and only if it acts cocompactly on the set  $\Theta_3(\Lambda_\Gamma)$  of distinct triples in  $\Lambda_\Gamma$ . See [9] for a similar statement in the context of word-hyperbolic groups.

## 3. CONFORMALLY AUTOSIMILAR SETS

In this chapter, we describe the conformally autosimilar compact sets.

**3.1. Closed orbits in  $\mathcal{K}_2$ .** We keep the notations of Chapter 2 and we denote

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{K}_1(X) := \{K \text{ non-empty compact subset of } X\}.$$

This space  $\mathcal{K}_1$  is a compact metric space with respect to the Hausdorff distance. We also introduce the following open subset  $\mathcal{K}_2 = \mathcal{K}_2(X)$  of  $\mathcal{K}_1$ ,

$$\mathcal{K}_2(X) := \{K \text{ compact subset of } X \text{ containing at least two points}\}.$$

The first theorem of this article is the following

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a simple Lie group of real rank one and  $X$  be its boundary. Let  $K \subset X$  be a compact subset containing at least two points. The following are equivalent :*

- (i) *The orbit  $GK$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$ .*
- (ii) *There exists a convex cocompact subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  such that  $K = \Lambda_\Gamma$ .*
- (iii) *The stabilizer  $\Gamma_K$  is convex cocompact and  $K$  is its limit set.*

*Remark 3.2.* In (iii) the subgroup  $\Gamma_K$  of  $G$  might be non-discrete. However, in (ii), one can always choose  $\Gamma$  to be discrete since, by Lemma 3.3, the group  $\Gamma_K$  always contains a discrete cocompact subgroup.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a simple Lie group of real rank one and  $H$  be a non-elementary closed subgroup of  $G$ . Then the group  $H$  contains a cocompact discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$ .*

*Sketch of the proof of Lemma 3.3.* Let  $H_e$  be the connected component of  $H$  and  $N$  be the normalizer in  $G$  of  $H_e$ .

We first notice that, since  $H$  is non-elementary, the solvable radical of  $H_e$  has to be compact. Then we distinguish two cases.

When  $H_e$  is non compact. In this case, since the real rank of  $G$  is one, the quotient group  $N/H_e$  is compact. We choose  $\Gamma$  to be a cocompact lattice in  $H_e$ . Such a group exists by a theorem of Borel in [7], since  $H_e$  is a reductive Lie group.

When  $H_e$  is compact. In this case, there exists a closed subgroup  $L$  of the connected component  $N_e$  such that  $N_e = LH_e$  and such that the intersection  $L \cap H_e$  is finite. We choose  $\Gamma$  to be  $\Gamma := L \cap H$ .  $\square$

Here is a corollary of Theorem 3.1.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  and  $c \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$  be a Jordan curve. Then, the orbit  $Gc$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}_2(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$  if and only if  $c$  is the limit set of a quasifuchsian subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$ .*

We recall that a discrete convex cocompact subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is said to be *quasifuchsian* if its limit set is a Jordan curve.

*Remark 3.5.* Corollary 3.4 is a boon companion of the main theorem of [4] which says that a Jordan curve  $c \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$  is a quasicircle if and only if the orbit closure  $\overline{Gc}$  in  $\mathcal{K}_2(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$  contains only Jordan curves.

*Remark 3.6.* The key point in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is the fact that closed orbits are always homeomorphic to homogeneous spaces endowed with their quotient topology. Since this key point which is due to Arens will be used at least four times in this article we quote it precisely now (see [21, Thm 2.13]): *Let  $G$  be a second countable locally compact group acting continuously on a second countable locally compact space  $Z$ . Let  $z \in Z$  be a point whose  $G$ -orbit is closed and  $G_z \subset G$  be the stabilizer of  $z$ . Then the orbit map  $G \rightarrow Z$  given by  $g \mapsto gz$  induces an homeomorphism between the quotient space  $G/G_z$  and the orbit  $Gz$ .*

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1.** *(ii)  $\implies$  (i)* Let  $K = \Lambda_\Gamma$  be the limit set of a convex cocompact subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$ . Let  $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $G$  such that the limit  $K_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n K$  exists in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$ . We want to find an element  $h_\infty$  in  $G$  such that  $K_\infty = h_\infty K$ .

Note that by [8] the sequence of convex hulls also converges

$$g_n \mathrm{Conv}(K) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \mathrm{Conv}(K_\infty).$$

Since  $K_\infty$  contains at least two points, its convex hull  $\mathrm{Conv}(K_\infty)$  contains at least one point  $m_\infty$ . Thus, there exists a sequence  $(m_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\mathrm{Conv}(K)$  such that

$$g_n m_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} m_\infty.$$

Since  $\Gamma$  is convex cocompact, there exists a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma$  such that the sequence  $\gamma_n m_n$  is bounded in  $M$ . Then the sequence  $h_n := g_n \gamma_n^{-1}$  is bounded in  $G$  and, after extraction, it converges to an element  $h_\infty$  in  $G$ . One then has

$$K_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n K = h_\infty K,$$

as required.

*(i)  $\implies$  (iii)* We want to prove that the stabilizer  $\Gamma_K$  acts cocompactly on  $\mathrm{Conv}(K)$ . This will imply simultaneously that  $\Gamma_K$  is convex

cocompact and that  $K = \Lambda_{\Gamma_K}$ . Let  $(m_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $\text{Conv}(K)$ . We want to find a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma_K$  such that, after extraction, the sequence  $\gamma_n m_n$  converges in  $M$ .

Since  $G$  acts cocompactly -and even transitively- on  $M$ , there exists a sequence  $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $G$  such that the sequence  $g_n m_n$  converges to a point  $m_\infty$  in  $M$ . After extraction, the sequence  $g_n K$  converges to a compact subset  $K_\infty \subset X$  and the sequence of convex hulls also converges

$$g_n \text{Conv}(K) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \text{Conv}(K_\infty).$$

Since  $\text{Conv}(K_\infty)$  contains the point  $m_\infty$ , the compact set  $K_\infty$  contains at least two points. Since the orbit  $GK$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$ , there exists an element  $h_\infty$  in  $G$  such that  $K_\infty = h_\infty K$ . The closedness of the orbit  $GK$  in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$  also implies that the natural bijection

$$\begin{aligned} G/\Gamma_K &\xrightarrow{\sim} GK \\ g\Gamma_K &\mapsto gK \end{aligned}$$

is a homeomorphism. Hence, by the very definition of the quotient topology on the quotient space  $G/\Gamma_K$ , there exists a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma_K$  such that the sequence  $h_n := g_n \gamma_n^{-1}$  converges to  $h_\infty$ . Then the following sequence converges

$$\gamma_n m_n = h_n^{-1} g_n m_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} h_\infty^{-1} m_\infty,$$

as required.

(iii)  $\implies$  (ii) This implication is clear. □

#### 4. COCOMPACT ACTIONS ON OPEN SETS

In this chapter we slightly modify Theorem 3.1 by allowing the set  $X$  to belong to the orbit closure.

**4.1. Closed orbits in  $\mathcal{K}'_2$ .** We now introduce the following open subset  $\mathcal{K}'_2 = \mathcal{K}'_2(X)$  of  $\mathcal{K}_2$ ,

$$\mathcal{K}'_2(X) := \{K \in \mathcal{K}_2(X) \mid K \neq X\}.$$

The following theorem is an analog of Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a simple Lie group of real rank one and  $X$  be its boundary. Let  $K \subsetneq X$  be a compact subset containing at least two points. The following are equivalent :*

- (i) *The orbit  $GK$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}'_2(X)$ .*
- (ii) *There exists a closed subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma_K$  acting cocompactly on  $X \setminus K$ .*
- (iii) *The stabilizer  $\Gamma_K$  acts cocompactly on  $X \setminus K$ .*

In (ii) one can always choose  $\Gamma$  to be discrete (see Lemma 3.3).

*Remark 4.2.* - The compact  $K$  is not always equal to the limit set of  $\Gamma_K$ . Indeed, it is the union of the limit set  $\Lambda_{\Gamma_K}$  and of a  $\Gamma_K$ -invariant family of connected components of the domain of discontinuity  $\Omega_{\Gamma_K}$ . For instance, when  $G = PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , a closed half-sphere  $K$  of  $X = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$  satisfies these equivalent conditions but  $K$  is not the limit set of the group  $\Gamma_K \simeq PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

- Even when  $K$  is equal to the limit set  $\Lambda_\Gamma$  and when both  $\Lambda_\Gamma$  and  $\Omega_\Gamma$  are connected, the group  $\Gamma$  is not always convex cocompact. Indeed  $\Gamma$  might be equal to one of the so-called *singly degenerate* subgroup of  $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$  discovered by Bers in [6] and called degenerate  $B$ -groups by Maskit in [19, Th. G.3].

- More generally for any simple real rank one Lie group  $G$  there exists a non-elementary discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  which is not convex cocompact but which acts cocompactly on its domain of discontinuity. Let  $K := \Lambda_\Gamma$  be the limit set of such a group. As a corollary of both Theorems 3.1 and 4.1, the  $G$ -orbit closure is then equal to

$$\overline{GK} = GK \cup \{X\}.$$

In order to construct  $\Gamma$ , one chooses first two opposite maximal unipotent subgroups  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  of  $G$  and, for  $i = 1, 2$ , a lattice  $\Gamma_i$  in  $U_i$  and a compact fundamental domain  $D_i$  for the action of  $\Gamma_i$  on  $\Omega_{\Gamma_i}$ . One can make these choices so that  $\mathring{D}_1 \cup \mathring{D}_2 = X$ . In this case the group  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  is a free product of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , it is a discrete subgroup of  $G$ , it contains unipotent elements and acts on  $\Omega_\Gamma$  with compact fundamental domain  $D_1 \cap D_2$  (these facts rely on a ping-pong argument).

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is very similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**4.2. Action on pointed open sets.** We now introduce the space  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(X)$

$$\mathcal{L}(X) := \{(K, x) \text{ where } K \in \mathcal{K}'_2(X) \text{ and } x \in X \setminus K\}$$

and the diagonal action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . While the proof of Theorem 3.1 used the action of  $G$  on  $M$ , the proof of Theorem 4.1 will use the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  which, according to the following lemma, has similar properties.

**Lemma 4.3.** *The group  $G$  acts properly cocompactly on  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ .*

Restricting this action to the subset of  $\mathcal{L}$  where the compact sets  $K$  are pairs of points, one gets the following special case of Remark 2.3.

**Corollary 4.4.** *The group  $G$  acts properly cocompactly on the set  $\Theta_3(X)$  of triples of distinct points of  $X$ .*

We will need the following well-known fact called the *convergence property*: whenever  $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is an unbounded sequence of  $G$  then, after extraction, there exist two points  $x_-$  and  $x_+$  in  $X$ , called the repulsing and attracting points, such that the sequence  $g_n x$  converges to  $x_+$ , uniformly for all  $x$  in compact subsets of  $X \setminus \{x_-\}$ .

*Proof of Lemma 4.3.* We first prove that the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  is proper. Let  $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $G$  and  $(K_n, x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (K_n, x_n) &\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} (K_\infty, x_\infty) \in \mathcal{L} \\ (g_n K_n, g_n x_n) &\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} (K'_\infty, x'_\infty) \in \mathcal{L}. \end{aligned}$$

We want to prove that the sequence  $g_n$  is bounded. If this is not the case, after extraction, we denote by  $x_-$  and  $x_+$  the repulsing and attracting points of the sequence  $g_n$ . Since the compact set  $K'_\infty$  contains at least two points, the compact  $K_\infty$  must contain the repulsing point  $x_-$ . Hence one has

$$x_\infty \neq x_- \text{ and } x'_\infty = x_+.$$

Since the compact set  $K_\infty$  contains at least two points, one of them, say  $y_\infty$ , is not equal to  $x_-$ . Then any sequence  $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$  with  $y_n$  in  $K_n$  that converges to  $y_\infty$  has an image  $g_n y_n$  that converges to  $x_+$ . Hence  $x_+$  belongs to  $K'_\infty$ . Contradiction.

We now prove that the action of  $G$  on the space  $\mathcal{L}$  is cocompact. Let  $(K_n, x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{L}$ . We want to find a sequence  $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that, after extraction, the following sequence converges

$$(g_n K_n, g_n x_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} (K'_\infty, x'_\infty) \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Let  $x_-$  and  $x_+$  be two distinct points of  $X$ . We can find a sequence  $(h_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $G$  such that  $x_- \in h_n K_n$  and  $x_+ = h_n x_n$ . Let  $g$  be an element of  $G$  such that the sequence of powers  $(g^n)_{n \geq 1}$  has  $x_-$  and  $x_+$  as repulsing and attracting points. Let  $F$  be a compact fundamental domain for the action of the cyclic group  $\langle g \rangle$  on  $X \setminus \{x_-, x_+\}$ , and let  $B$  be the compact neighborhood of  $x_-$  given by

$$B := \{x_-\} \cup \bigcup_{n \leq 0} g^n F.$$

Let  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $h_n K_n$  is compact and contains at least two points, the integer

$$p_n := \max\{p \in \mathbb{Z} \mid g^p h_n K_n \subset B\}$$

is well defined. After extraction, one defines a compact set  $K'_\infty$  as the limit  $K'_\infty := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^{p_n} h_n K_n$ . This compact set  $K'_\infty$  contains at least two points :  $x_-$  and a point  $x_0 \in F$ . Moreover  $K'_\infty$  does not contain the point  $x'_\infty := x_+ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^{p_n} h_n x_n$ . Hence  $(K'_\infty, x'_\infty)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}$ .  $\square$

**4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.1.** (ii)  $\implies$  (i) Let  $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $G$  such that the limit  $K_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n K$  exists in  $\mathcal{K}'_2(X)$ . We want to find an element  $h_\infty$  in  $G$  such that  $K_\infty = h_\infty K$ .

Since  $K_\infty \neq X$ , there exists a point  $x_\infty$  in the open set  $X \setminus K_\infty$  and hence a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in the open set  $\Omega := X \setminus K$  such that

$$g_n x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} x_\infty.$$

Since  $\Gamma$  acts cocompactly on  $\Omega$ , there exists a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma$  such that the sequence  $\gamma_n x_n$  converges to a point  $y_\infty$  in  $\Omega$ . Then the following two sequences converge

$$\begin{aligned} (K, \gamma_n x_n) &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} (K, y_\infty) \in \mathcal{L} \\ g_n \gamma_n^{-1} (K, \gamma_n x_n) &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} (K_\infty, x_\infty) \in \mathcal{L}. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by Lemma 4.3, the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  is proper, the sequence  $h_n := g_n \gamma_n^{-1}$  is bounded in  $G$  and, after extraction, converges to an element  $h_\infty$  in  $G$ . One has then

$$K_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n K = h_\infty K,$$

as required.

(i)  $\implies$  (iii) Let  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in the open set  $\Omega := X \setminus K$ . We want to find a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma_K$  such that, after extraction, the sequence  $\gamma_n x_n$  converges in  $\Omega$ .

Since, by Lemma 4.3, the group  $G$  acts cocompactly on  $\mathcal{L}$ , there exists a sequence  $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $G$  such that the following sequence converges

$$(g_n K, g_n x_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} (K_\infty, x_\infty) \in \mathcal{L}.$$

The compact set  $K_\infty$  contains at least two points and is not equal to  $X$ . Since the orbit  $GK$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}'_2$ , there exists an element  $h_\infty$  in  $G$  such that  $K_\infty = h_\infty K$ . The closedness of the orbit  $GK$  in  $\mathcal{K}'_2(X)$  also implies that the natural bijection  $G/\Gamma_K \xrightarrow{\sim} GK$  is a homeomorphism. Hence there exists a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma_K$  such that the sequence  $h_n := g_n \gamma_n^{-1}$  converges to  $h_\infty$ . Then the following sequence converges

$$\gamma_n x_n = h_n^{-1} g_n x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} h_\infty^{-1} x_\infty,$$

as required.

(iii)  $\implies$  (ii) This implication is clear. □

## 5. COCOMPACT ACTIONS ON TRIPLES

In this chapter we modify again Theorem 3.1 by allowing pairs of points to belong to the orbit closure.

**5.1. Closed orbits in  $\mathcal{K}_3$ .** We now introduce the following open subset  $\mathcal{K}_3 = \mathcal{K}_3(X)$  of  $\mathcal{K}_2$ ,

$$\mathcal{K}_3(X) := \{K \text{ compact subset of } X \text{ containing at least three points}\}.$$

We also introduce, for any  $K$  in  $\mathcal{K}_3(X)$  the set,

$$\Theta_3(K) := \{(x, y, z) \text{ triple of distinct points of } K\}.$$

The following theorem is also an analog of Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $G$  be a simple Lie group of real rank one and  $X$  be its boundary. Let  $K \subset X$  be a compact subset containing at least three points. The following are equivalent :*

- (i) *The orbit  $GK$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}_3(X)$ .*
- (ii) *There exists a closed subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  acting cocompactly on  $\Theta_3(K)$ .*
- (iii) *The stabilizer  $\Gamma_K$  acts cocompactly on  $\Theta_3(K)$ .*
- (iv) *The stabilizer  $\Gamma_K$  is a convex cocompact subgroup of  $G$  and  $K$  is the union of its limit set  $\Lambda_{\Gamma_K}$  and of a  $\Gamma_K$ -invariant discrete subset of the domain of discontinuity  $\Omega_{\Gamma_K}$ .*

In (ii) one can always choose  $\Gamma$  to be discrete (see Lemma 3.3).

*Remark 5.2.* A finite set  $K$  always satisfies these equivalent conditions. In this case, the group  $\Gamma_K$  is finite and its limit set is empty.

The group  $\Gamma_K$  might be infinite and cyclic. In this case, the limit set  $\Lambda_{\Gamma_K}$  contains exactly two points and  $K$  is the union of  $\Lambda_{\Gamma_K}$  and of finitely many  $\Gamma_K$ -orbits.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is also similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. We will need the following well-known lemma on the barycenter map in a Riemannian symmetric space  $M$  of negative curvature. We first define, for  $m_1, m_2, m_3$  in  $M$  the barycenter  $\beta(m_1, m_2, m_3) \in M$  as the unique point where the sum of the distance functions  $m \mapsto \sum_{i \leq 3} d(m, m_i)$  achieves its minimum.

**Lemma 5.3.** *a) The barycenter map  $\beta : M^3 \rightarrow M$  is continuous and has a unique continuous extension to the visual compactification  $\bar{\beta} : \bar{M}^3 \rightarrow \bar{M}$ .*

*b) Moreover, for  $x, y, z$  in  $\bar{M}$ , one has the equivalence*

$$\bar{\beta}(x, y, z) \in X \iff \text{two points among } x, y, z \text{ are equal and are in } X.$$

*Proof of Lemma 5.3.* Fix a point  $m_0$  in  $M$  and define the Busemann function  $b : \overline{M} \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$b_x(m) = \lim_{q \rightarrow x} d(q, m) - d(q, m_0), \quad \text{for all } x \text{ in } \overline{M} \text{ and } m \text{ in } M.$$

The function  $b$  is continuous on  $\overline{M} \times M$  and is a convex function of  $m$ .

For all  $x, y, z$  in  $\overline{M}$ , we consider the convex function on  $M$

$$m \mapsto \Phi_{x,y,z}(m) := b_x(m) + b_y(m) + b_z(m).$$

The function  $\Phi$  is also continuous on  $\overline{M}^3 \times M$ .

Here is the definition of the map  $\overline{\beta}$  :

**First case** When the three points  $x, y, z$  are distinct or when at most one of them is in  $X$ , the function  $\Phi_{x,y,z}$  is a convex and proper function on  $M$  which has a unique minimum point  $\xi$ . We define  $\overline{\beta}(x, y, z)$  to be this minimum point  $\xi \in M$ .

**Second case** When at least two points among  $x, y, z$  are equal to a point  $\xi$  in  $X$ , we define  $\overline{\beta}(x, y, z)$  to be this point  $\xi \in X$ .

a) We now check the continuity of the map  $\overline{\beta}$  at a point  $(x, y, z) \in \overline{M}^3$ . Let  $(x_n, y_n, z_n)$  be a sequence in  $\overline{M}^3$  converging to  $(x, y, z)$ . We want to prove that the sequence  $\xi_n := \overline{\beta}(x_n, y_n, z_n)$  converges to the point  $\xi := \overline{\beta}(x, y, z)$ . The case where  $\xi$  is in  $M$  is rather easy. The case where all  $\xi_n$  are in  $X$  is also rather easy. Let us focus on the case where  $\xi$  is in  $X$  and all  $\xi_n$  are in  $M$ . In this case, one has, for instance,  $\xi = x = y \in X$ . We know that the sequence of proper convex functions  $\Phi_n := \Phi_{x_n, y_n, z_n}$  converges to the convex function  $\Phi_\infty := \Phi_{x, y, z}$ . Let  $V_\xi$  be a neighborhood of  $\xi$  in  $\overline{M}$ . Notice that the functions  $\Phi_n$  are uniformly bounded below in  $M \setminus V_\xi$ . Notice also that the limit function  $\Phi_\infty$  is not bounded below in  $M \cap V_\xi$ . Hence, for  $n$  large, the minima  $\xi_n$  must belong to  $V_\xi$ . Therefore the sequence  $\xi_n$  converges to  $\xi$  as required.

b) This equivalence follows directly from the definition of  $\overline{\beta}$ .  $\square$

**5.2. Proof of Theorem 5.1.** (ii)  $\implies$  (i) Let  $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $G$  such that the limit  $K_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n K$  exists in  $\mathcal{K}_3(X)$ . We want to find an element  $h_\infty$  in  $G$  such that  $K_\infty = h_\infty K$ .

Since  $K_\infty$  contains at least three points, there exists a sequence  $(x_n, y_n, z_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Theta_3(K)$  such that the sequence of images converges

$$(g_n x_n, g_n y_n, g_n z_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} (x_\infty, y_\infty, z_\infty) \in \Theta_3(K_\infty).$$

Since  $\Gamma$  acts cocompactly on  $\Theta_3(K)$ , there exists a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma$  such that, after extraction, the sequence  $(\gamma_n x_n, \gamma_n y_n, \gamma_n z_n)$  converges to a triple  $(x'_\infty, y'_\infty, z'_\infty) \in \Theta_3(K)$ . Since, by Corollary 4.4, the action of  $G$  on  $\Theta_3(X)$  is proper, the sequence  $h_n := g_n \gamma_n^{-1}$  is bounded in  $G$  and, after extraction, converges to an element  $h_\infty$  in  $G$ . Then, one has

$$K_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n K = h_\infty K,$$

as required.

(i)  $\implies$  (iii) Let  $(x_n, y_n, z_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $\Theta_3(K)$ . We want to find a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma_K$  such that, after extraction, the image sequence  $(\gamma_n x_n, \gamma_n y_n, \gamma_n z_n)$  converges in  $\Theta_3(K)$ .

Since, by Corollary 4.4, the group  $G$  acts cocompactly on  $\Theta_3(X)$ , there exists a sequence  $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $G$  such that, after extraction, the sequence  $(g_n x_n, g_n y_n, g_n z_n)$  converges to a triple  $(x_\infty, y_\infty, z_\infty) \in \Theta_3(X)$ . After reextraction, the sequence  $g_n K$  converges to a compact subset  $K_\infty \subset X$  and this compact set  $K_\infty$  contains at least three points. Since the orbit  $GK$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}_3(X)$ , there exists an element  $h_\infty$  in  $G$  such that  $K_\infty = h_\infty K$ . The closedness of the orbit  $GK$  in  $\mathcal{K}_3(X)$  implies also that the natural bijection  $G/\Gamma_K \xrightarrow{\sim} GK$  is a homeomorphism. Hence there exists a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma_K$  such that the sequence  $h_n := g_n \gamma_n^{-1}$  converges to  $h_\infty$ . Then the following sequence converges

$$\gamma_n(x_n, y_n, z_n) = h_n^{-1} g_n(x_n, y_n, z_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} h_\infty^{-1}(x_\infty, y_\infty, z_\infty),$$

as required.

(iii)  $\implies$  (ii) This implication is clear.

(iv)  $\implies$  (iii) Let  $(x_n, y_n, z_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $\Theta_3(K)$ . We want to find a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma := \Gamma_K$  such that, after extraction, the image sequence  $(\gamma_n x_n, \gamma_n y_n, \gamma_n z_n)$  converges in  $\Theta_3(K)$ .

When  $K$  is a finite set and hence  $\Gamma_K$  is a finite group and its limit set  $\Lambda_{\Gamma_K}$  is empty, this assertion is clear.

We may assume that  $K$  and hence  $\Gamma$  is infinite. Let  $q_n \in \overline{M}$  be the barycenter  $q_n := \overline{\beta}(x_n, y_n, z_n)$ . Since these three points are distinct, by Lemma 5.3, the barycenter  $q_n$  belongs to  $M$ . Let  $p_n$  be the projection of  $q_n$  on the convex hull  $\text{Conv}(\Lambda_\Gamma)$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is convex cocompact, there exists a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma$  such that, after extraction, the sequence  $\gamma_n p_n$  converges to a point  $p_\infty \in M$ . After reextraction, the sequences  $\gamma_n x_n$ ,  $\gamma_n y_n$ , and  $\gamma_n z_n$  converge in  $K$  respectively to points  $x_\infty$ ,  $y_\infty$ , and  $z_\infty$ . We want to prove that these three points are distinct.

Assume by contradiction that this is not the case and that, for instance, one has  $y_\infty = z_\infty$ . We first notice that the sequence  $\gamma_n q_n$  converges to the barycenter  $y_\infty = \bar{\beta}(x_\infty, y_\infty, z_\infty)$  and that  $p_\infty$  is the projection of  $y_\infty$  on  $\text{Conv}(\Lambda_\Gamma)$ . In particular  $y_\infty$  does not belong to the limit set  $\Lambda_\Gamma$ . Since  $K \setminus \Lambda_\Gamma$  is discrete in the domain of discontinuity, one must have  $y_n = y_\infty = z_\infty = z_n$  when  $n$  is large enough. Contradiction.

(iii)  $\implies$  (iv) Since the compact  $K$  is invariant under the group  $\Gamma := \Gamma_K$ , it contains the limit set  $\Lambda_\Gamma$ . Since  $\Gamma$  acts cocompactly on  $\Theta_3(K)$ , it also acts cocompactly on  $\Theta_3(\Lambda_\Gamma)$  and, by Remark 2.3, the group  $\Gamma$  is convex cocompact. It only remains to prove that the set  $K \setminus \Lambda_\Gamma$  is discrete in the domain of discontinuity  $\Omega_\Gamma$ .

Assume, by contradiction, that this is not the case. Then there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of distinct points of  $K$  that converges to a point  $x_\infty$  in  $\Omega_\Gamma$ . Since  $\Gamma$  acts cocompactly on  $\Theta_3(K)$ , there exists a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma$  such that the three sequences  $\gamma_n x_{3n}$ ,  $\gamma_n x_{3n+1}$ , and  $\gamma_n x_{3n+2}$  converge to three distinct points of  $K$ . After reextraction, we denote by  $x_-$  and  $x_+$  the repulsing and attracting points of the sequence  $\gamma_n$ . Both points belong to  $\Lambda_\Gamma$ . Since the point  $x_\infty$  belongs to  $\Omega_\Gamma$ , it is not equal to  $x_-$  and the three sequences  $\gamma_n x_{3n}$ ,  $\gamma_n x_{3n+1}$ , and  $\gamma_n x_{3n+2}$  converge to the same point  $x_+$ . Contradiction.  $\square$

## 6. DYNAMICS OF $G$ ON $\mathcal{K}_2$

In this chapter we apply Theorem 3.1 to the study of the dynamics of the group  $G$  on the space  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$ .

**6.1. Closed, dense and minimal orbits.** The following theorem tells us that this dynamics has features similar to those of Anosov flows.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $G$  be a simple Lie group of real rank one and  $X$  be its boundary. Then :*

- a) *The union of closed  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$ .*
- b) *The space  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$  contains a dense  $G$ -orbit.*
- c)  *$\mathcal{K}_2(X)$  contains non-closed  $G$ -orbits whose closures are  $G$ -minimal.*

The proof of Theorem 6.1 will occupy this whole chapter.

**6.2. Closed orbits.** We first check that *the union of closed  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.a.* Use Theorem 3.1 and Lemmas 6.2, 6.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $G$  be a simple Lie group of real rank one and  $X$  be its boundary. For every finite subset  $F \subset X$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a free convex cocompact subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  whose limit set  $\Lambda_\Gamma$  contains  $F$  and is contained in the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood  $F_\varepsilon$  of  $F$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $F$  has even cardinality  $n = 2p$ . We then choose  $p$  hyperbolic elements  $g_1, \dots, g_p$  in  $G$  such that

$$F = \bigcup_{i \leq p} \{x_{g_i}^-, x_{g_i}^+\}$$

where  $x_{g_i}^-$  and  $x_{g_i}^+$  are the repulsing and attracting points of  $g_i$ . Then, for  $n$  large, the group

$$\Gamma_n := \langle g_i^n \mid i \leq p \rangle$$

is a Schottky subgroup and its limit set satisfies

$$F \subset \Lambda_\Gamma \subset F_\varepsilon.$$

Indeed, by the classical ping-pong argument, this is the case as soon as, for any  $g \neq h$  in the finite set  $S := \bigcup_{i \leq p} \{g_i, g_i^{-1}\}$ , one has both

$$4\varepsilon < d(x_g^+, x_h^+),$$

and

$$g^n(B(x_g^-, \varepsilon)^c) \subset B(x_g^+, \varepsilon)$$

where  $B(x, \varepsilon)$  is the open ball of center  $x$  and radius  $\varepsilon$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $X$  be a compact metric space. Then the set  $\mathcal{F}$  of finite subsets of  $X$  is dense in the set  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  of compact subsets of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* This is well-known. One approximates any compact set  $K \subset X$  by the set  $F$  of centers of a finite cover of  $K$  by balls of radius  $\varepsilon$ .  $\square$

**6.3. Dense orbits.** We now check that *the space  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$  contains a dense  $G$ -orbit.*

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.b.* Fix two distinct points  $x_-$  and  $x_+$  in  $X$ , let  $g$  be an element of  $G$  with  $x_-$  and  $x_+$  as repulsing and attracting fixed points, let  $F$  be a compact fundamental domain for the action of the cyclic group  $\langle g \rangle$  on  $X \setminus \{x_-, x_+\}$  chosen so that the sequence of compact sets

$$F_n := \bigcup_{-n < k < n} g^k F$$

which covers  $X \setminus \{x_-, x_+\}$  also satisfies  $F_n \subset \overset{\circ}{F}_{n+1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}_{x_-, x_+}$  be the set of compact subsets  $K \subset X$  containing both  $x_-$  and  $x_+$ , and

let  $(K_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a dense sequence in  $\mathcal{K}_{x_-, x_+}$ . Then the compact set  $K$  defined as a disjoint union

$$K := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} g^{n^2}(K_n \cap F_n) \cup \{x_-, x_+\}$$

has a dense  $\langle g \rangle$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{K}_{x_-, x_+}$ .

Indeed, for any  $Y \in \mathcal{K}_{x_-, x_+}$ , one can find a sequence  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that the subsequence  $(K_n)_{n \in S}$  of compact sets converges to  $Y$ . But then, since, for all  $n \geq 1$ , the compact set  $g^{-n^2}(K)$  coincides with  $K_n$  on  $F_n$  and contains  $\{x_-, x_+\}$ , the sequence of compact sets  $(g^{-n^2}(K))_{n \in S}$  converges also to  $Y$ .

This proves that  $K$  has a dense  $G$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$ . □

**6.4. Minimal subsets for the free group.** Finally, we check that  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$  contains non-closed orbits  $GK$  whose closures are minimal. We want to construct a compact set  $K \in \mathcal{K}_2(X)$  such that the orbit  $GK$  is not closed but the orbit closure  $\overline{GK}$  is  $G$ -minimal. This means that, for every  $K_\infty$  in the orbit closure  $\overline{GK}$ , the element  $K$  belongs to the orbit closure  $\overline{GK_\infty}$ .

We begin with a special case. Let  $G_0$  be the free group with three generators  $S_0 = \{a, b, c\}$ , i.e. the set of reduced finite words

$$G_0 := \{w = s_1 \cdots s_n \mid n \geq 0, s_i \in S_0^{\pm 1} \text{ and } s_{i+1} \neq s_i^{-1}\}.$$

Let  $X_0$  be the Gromov boundary of  $G_0$ , i.e. the set of reduced infinite words

$$X_0 := \{w = s_1 \cdots s_n \cdots \mid s_i \in S_0^{\pm 1} \text{ and } s_{i+1} \neq s_i^{-1}\}.$$

We set as before

$$\mathcal{K}_2(X_0) := \{K \text{ compact subsets of } X_0 \text{ containing at least two points.}\}$$

**Lemma 6.4.** *The space  $\mathcal{K}_2(X_0)$  contains a non-closed  $G_0$ -orbit  $G_0K$  whose closure is  $G_0$ -minimal.*

We need to introduce more notations. Let  $Z$  be the compact set

$$Z = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{ and } \Sigma = \langle \sigma \rangle$$

be the infinite cyclic group generated by the shift  $\sigma : Z \rightarrow Z$ , which is defined, for  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , by  $(\sigma\varepsilon)_n = \varepsilon_{n+1}$ . Let

$$G(0) := \langle b \rangle \text{ and } G(1) := \langle c \rangle$$

be the cyclic subgroups of  $G_0$  generated respectively by  $b$  and  $c$ . For each  $\varepsilon$  in  $Z$  we define a compact subset  $K_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{K}_2(X_0)$  by

$$K_\varepsilon := \{ \text{reduced infinite words } w \text{ in } X_0 \text{ of the form} \\ a^{n_1} \gamma_1 a^{n_2} \gamma_2 \cdots a^{n_k} \gamma_k \cdots \text{ with } n_k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{and } \gamma_k \in G(\varepsilon_{n_1 + \cdots + n_k}) \text{ for all } k \geq 1. \}$$

It is worth noticing that *the compact sets  $K_\varepsilon$  depend continuously on their parameter  $\varepsilon \in Z$* . Indeed, by definition of  $K_\varepsilon$ , for all  $N \geq 1$ , the set  $S_{\varepsilon, N}$  of reduced words of length  $N$  that appear at the beginning of a word  $w \in K_\varepsilon$  depends only on the finite sequence  $(\varepsilon_n)_{-N \leq n \leq N}$ .

*Remark 6.5.* Before going on, we give an intuitive and hand-waving way to recognize that an infinite reduced word  $w$  belongs to  $K_\varepsilon$ . I think of  $\varepsilon$  as a biinfinite sequence of bits written on the line  $\mathbb{Z}$ . While I am reading the word  $w$  from the beginning, I ask a friend to move on this line starting from the spot  $s = 0$ . When my friend is at the spot  $s$  he can read the bit  $\varepsilon_s$ .

- If I read the letter  $a$ , I ask my friend to move one step forward.
- If I read the letter  $a^{-1}$ , I ask him to move one step backward.
- If I read the letter  $b$  or  $b^{-1}$ , I check that he reads the bit 0.
- If I read the letter  $c$  or  $c^{-1}$ , I check that he reads the bit 1.

The word  $w$  belongs to  $K_\varepsilon$  if and only if it passes all these checks.

*Proof of Lemma 6.4.* We will choose the compact  $K$  to be  $K_\varepsilon$  for an element  $\varepsilon$  in  $Z$  whose orbit  $\Sigma\varepsilon$  is not closed in  $Z$  but whose orbit closure  $\overline{\Sigma\varepsilon}$  is  $\Sigma$ -minimal. The claim of Lemma 6.4 follows then from Lemma 6.6 below.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $G_0$  be the free group on three generators  $a, b, c$ ,  $X_0$  be its boundary, and  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$  be elements of  $Z$ .*

- a) *The compact  $K_{\varepsilon'}$  belongs to  $G_0 K_\varepsilon$  if and only if  $\varepsilon'$  belongs to  $\Sigma\varepsilon$ .*
- b) *The orbit closure of  $K_\varepsilon$  in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X_0)$  is equal to*

$$\overline{G_0 K_\varepsilon} = \{g K_{\varepsilon'} \mid g \in G_0 \text{ and } \varepsilon' \in \overline{\Sigma\varepsilon}\}.$$

*Proof of Lemma 6.6.* We first notice the following facts :

- One always has the equality  $aK_{\sigma(\varepsilon)} = K_\varepsilon$ .
- When  $\varepsilon_0 = 0$ , one has the equality  $bK_\varepsilon = K_\varepsilon$ , the compact  $K_\varepsilon$  contains no word beginning with  $c$  or  $c^{-1}$ , and hence all reduced words of  $c^{\pm 1} K_\varepsilon$  begin with the letter  $c^{\pm 1}$ .
- When  $\varepsilon_0 = 1$ , one has the equality  $cK_\varepsilon = K_\varepsilon$ , the compact  $K_\varepsilon$  contains no word beginning with  $b$  or  $b^{-1}$ , and hence all reduced words of  $b^{\pm 1} K_\varepsilon$  begin with the letter  $b^{\pm 1}$ .

a) Let  $g \in G_0$  be an element such that  $K_\varepsilon = gK_{\varepsilon'}$ . The previous remarks prove that the element  $g$  belongs to the set

$$(6.1) \quad S_\varepsilon := \{g = a^{n_1}\gamma_1 \cdots a^{n_p}\gamma_p \text{ with } n_k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \gamma_k \in G(\varepsilon_{n_1+\dots+n_k})\}$$

and that, for such a  $g$ , one has  $\varepsilon = \sigma^{n_1+\dots+n_p}(\varepsilon')$ .

b) Since the map  $Z \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_2(X_0); \varepsilon \mapsto K_\varepsilon$  is continuous, all compact sets  $gK_{\varepsilon'}$  with  $\varepsilon'$  in  $\overline{\Sigma\varepsilon}$  belong to the orbit closure  $\overline{G_0K_\varepsilon}$ .

Conversely, let  $K_\infty \in \mathcal{K}_2(X_0)$  be a compact set belonging to the orbit closure  $\overline{G_0K_\varepsilon}$ . We want to prove that  $K_\infty = h_\infty K_{\varepsilon'}$ , for some  $h_\infty$  in  $G_0$  and  $\varepsilon'$  in  $\overline{\Sigma\varepsilon}$ . Let  $g_n$  be a sequence of elements of  $G_0$  such that

$$g_n K_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} K_\infty.$$

Write the reduced word  $g_n$  as a product  $g_n = h_n j_n$  where  $j_n$  is the longest possible word such that  $j_n^{-1}$  belongs to the set  $S_\varepsilon$ . According to the previous discussion, one has the equality

$$g_n K_\varepsilon = h_n K_{\varepsilon^{(n)}},$$

where  $\varepsilon^{(n)}$  belongs to  $\Sigma\varepsilon$ .

We first check that the length of  $h_n$  is bounded. By construction, for every  $x$  in  $K_{\varepsilon^{(n)}}$ , the concatenated word  $h_n x$  is a reduced word. Hence the diameter of  $h_n K_{\varepsilon^{(n)}}$  goes to zero when the length of  $h_n$  goes to  $\infty$ . Since the limit compact set  $K_\infty$  contains at least two points, the length of  $h_n$  must be bounded.

Since the length of  $h_n$  is bounded, after extracting, the sequence  $h_n$  is constant  $h_n = h_\infty$ , the sequence  $\varepsilon^{(n)}$  converges to an element  $\varepsilon' \in \overline{\Sigma\varepsilon}$ , and one has  $K_\infty = h_\infty K_{\varepsilon'}$  as required.  $\square$

*Remark 6.7.* One can prove that the compact set  $K_\varepsilon$  is equal to the limit set of the subgroup of  $G_0$

$$H_\varepsilon := \{g = a^{n_1}\gamma_1 \cdots a^{n_p}\gamma_p \in S_\varepsilon \mid n_1 + \dots + n_p = 0\}.$$

However using a similar construction, one can also produce compact subsets  $K$  of  $X_0$  that satisfy the conditions of Lemma 6.4 and whose stabilizer in  $G_0$  is trivial.

**6.5. Minimal subsets.** We now relate orbit closures in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X_0)$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$  thanks to the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.8.** *Let  $G$  be a simple Lie group of real rank one and  $X$  be its boundary. Let  $G_0$  be a closed convex cocompact subgroup of  $G$  and  $X_0$  be its limit set. Let  $K \subset X_0$  be a compact subset containing at least two points.*

a) *If the orbit  $G_0K$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X_0)$ , then the orbit  $GK$  is also closed in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$ .*

b) If the orbit closure  $\overline{G_0K}$  is  $G_0$ -minimal in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X_0)$ , then the orbit closure  $\overline{GK}$  is also  $G$ -minimal in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$ .

*Proof of Lemma 6.8.* Both a) and b) will follow from the following claim : For every limit  $K_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n K$  in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$  with  $g_n$  in  $G$ , there exist  $h_\infty \in G$  and  $K'_\infty \in \overline{G_0K}$  such that  $K_\infty = h_\infty K'_\infty$ .

We first prove this claim. After extraction, the sequence  $g_n X_0$  converges to a compact subset  $X_{0,\infty} \subset X$  that contains at least two points. Since, by Theorem 3.1, the orbit  $GX_0$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$ , the limit  $X_{0,\infty}$  belongs to the orbit  $GX_0$ . By Remark 3.6, the closedness of the orbit  $GX_0$  in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$  implies also that the map  $G/G_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} GK$  is proper. Hence there exists a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $G_0$  such that the sequence  $h_n := g_n \gamma_n^{-1}$  converges to an element  $h_\infty$  in  $G$ . Then the following sequence converges

$$\gamma_n K = h_n^{-1} g_n K \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} h_\infty^{-1} K_\infty.$$

This proves our claim.

a) We assume that  $G_0K$  is closed. Let  $K_\infty \in \overline{GK}$ . We want to check that  $K_\infty \in GK$ . According to our claim, one can write  $K_\infty = h_\infty K'_\infty$  with  $h_\infty \in G$  and  $K'_\infty \in \overline{G_0K}$ . Since  $G_0K$  is closed, the compact set  $K'_\infty$  belongs to the orbit  $G_0K$  and hence the compact set  $K_\infty$  belongs to the orbit  $GK$ .

b) We assume that  $\overline{G_0K}$  is minimal. Let  $K_\infty \in \overline{GK}$ . We want to check that  $K \in \overline{GK}_\infty$ . According to our claim, one can write  $K_\infty = h_\infty K'_\infty$  with  $h_\infty \in G$  and  $K'_\infty \in \overline{G_0K}$ . Since  $\overline{G_0K}$  is minimal, the compact set  $K$  belongs to  $\overline{G_0K'_\infty}$ , and hence the compact set  $K$  also belongs to  $\overline{GK}_\infty$ .  $\square$

Finally, we can check that  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$  contains non-closed orbits  $GK$  whose closure  $\overline{GK}$  are  $G$ -minimal.

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.c.* We keep the notations of both Lemmas 6.6 and 6.8. We choose  $G_0 \subset G$  to be a Schottky subgroup on three generators  $S_0 := \{a, b, c\}$ . This implies that  $G_0$  is a free group on these generators, that  $G_0$  is a discrete convex cocompact subgroup of  $G$  and that the boundary  $X_0$  of  $G_0$  is nothing but the limit set  $X_0 = \Lambda_{G_0} \subset X$ .

We can choose the group  $G_0$  and its generators so that

(6.2) the centralizer in  $G$  of the group  $\langle b, aca^{-1} \rangle$  is trivial.

We choose the compact  $K$  to be  $K_\varepsilon$  for an element  $\varepsilon$  in  $Z$  whose orbit  $\Sigma\varepsilon$  is not closed in  $Z$  but whose orbit closure  $\overline{\Sigma\varepsilon}$  is  $\Sigma$ -minimal.

According to Lemma 6.6, the orbit closure  $\overline{G_0 K_\varepsilon}$  in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X_0)$  is  $G_0$ -minimal. Hence by Lemma 6.8, the orbit closure  $\overline{G K_\varepsilon}$  in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$  is  $G$ -minimal.

It remains to check that the orbit  $GK_\varepsilon$  is not closed in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$ . Assume, by contradiction, that this orbit  $GK_\varepsilon$  is closed. Since  $K_\varepsilon$  is totally disconnected, the stabilizer  $G_{K_\varepsilon}$  is a discrete subgroup of  $G$ . Since this orbit  $GK_\varepsilon$  is closed there exists for any  $\eta \in \overline{\Sigma\varepsilon}$ , an element  $g_\eta$  in  $G$  such that

$$K_\eta = g_\eta K_\varepsilon.$$

Choose an integer  $n = n(\eta)$  such that  $\eta_n = 0$  and  $\eta_{n+1} = 1$ . By definition of  $K_\eta$ , the following elements belong to its stabilizer,

$$a^n b a^{-n} \in G_{K_\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad a^{n+1} c a^{-n-1} \in G_{K_\eta}.$$

Hence their conjugates belong to the stabilizer of  $K_\varepsilon$ ,

$$g_\eta^{-1} a^n b a^{-n} g_\eta \in G_{K_\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad g_\eta^{-1} a^{n+1} c a^{-n-1} g_\eta \in G_{K_\varepsilon}.$$

According to (6.2), the element  $g_\eta$  is determined by the value of  $n$  and by these two elements of  $G_{K_\varepsilon}$ . This leaves only countably many possibilities for the element  $g_\eta$ , and hence for the compact set  $K_\eta$  and also for the parameter  $\eta$ . Since the orbit closure  $\overline{\Sigma\varepsilon}$  is uncountable, this gives a contradiction.  $\square$

## 7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this chapter, we briefly discuss without proof various analogs of our results.

**7.1. Closed orbits in  $\mathcal{K}_i$ .** In the context of Theorems 3.1 and 4.1, it is natural to look for a similar characterization of closed  $G$ -orbits  $GK$  in the space

$$\mathcal{K}_i(X) := \{ \text{compact subset } K \text{ of } X \text{ containing at least } i \text{ points} \}$$

when  $i \geq 4$ . Unfortunately, one can check that there are many closed orbits in  $\mathcal{K}_i(X)$  which are not related to discrete subgroups of  $G$ . Indeed, for any finite subset  $F_0 \subset X$  containing at least three points, there exists a compact subset  $K$  in  $\mathcal{K}_i(X)$  whose orbit closure in  $\mathcal{K}_3(X)$  is equal to  $\overline{GK} = GK \cup GF_0$  and whose stabilizer  $\Gamma_K$  is trivial. For example, using the notations of Section 6.3, one can assume that  $\{x_+, x_-\} \subset F_0$  and choose  $K = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} g^{n^2} F_0$ .

**7.2. Gromov hyperbolic groups.** Let  $(M, d)$  be a proper geodesic Gromov hyperbolic space. Let  $X$  be the Gromov boundary of  $M$  and  $G \subset \text{Isom}(M)$  be a non-elementary closed subgroup that acts cocompactly on  $M$  (see [15], [13], or [24] for precise definitions). Such a locally compact group  $G$  will be called a *Gromov hyperbolic group*. In this setting the notion of convex cocompact subgroup of  $G$  has been replaced by the notion of *quasiconvex subgroup*. This is a closed subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  that acts cocompactly on the union of geodesics joining points of its limit set (see the main theorem of [22] for various equivalent definitions of a quasiconvex subgroup). With this modification, one can check that *Theorems 3.1, 4.1, 5.1, and 6.1 are still valid for such a group  $G$  and its boundary  $X$* . For instance, one has

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $G$  be a Gromov hyperbolic group and  $X$  be its boundary. Let  $K \subset X$  be a compact subset containing at least two points. The following are equivalent :*

- (i) *The orbit  $GK$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$ .*
- (ii) *There exists a quasiconvex subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  such that  $K = \Lambda_\Gamma$ .*
- (iii) *The stabilizer  $\Gamma_K$  is a quasiconvex subgroup and  $K$  is its limit set.*

Indeed the proof of Theorem 3.1 still works in this general context even though the "convex cocompact" subgroups  $\Gamma_K$  might not contain any cocompact discrete subgroups. We do not repeat this proof.

Important examples of such Gromov hyperbolic spaces  $M$  are

- (a) Cayley graphs of finitely generated word-hyperbolic groups  $G$ .
- (b) Universal covers of compact Riemannian manifolds with negative curvature.

In all this paper we have implicitly used the point of view of "convergence groups" (see [12] or [23]). Note that, according to Theorem 8.1 of [9], the words "discrete Gromov hyperbolic groups" are synonymous to the words "uniform convergence groups".

**7.3. Divisible Convex sets.** Let  $G = \text{SL}(d+1, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  and

$$\mathcal{K}_c(X) := \{K \text{ properly convex compact subset of } X\}.$$

Recall that a compact convex subset  $K$  of  $X$  is said to be properly convex if  $K$  is included in an affine chart of  $X$  and if its interior  $\Omega := \overset{\circ}{K}$  is not empty. The following theorem is an analog of Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $G = \text{SL}(d+1, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ ,  $K \subset X$  be a properly convex compact subset and  $\Omega = \overset{\circ}{K}$ . The following are equivalent :*

- (i) *The orbit  $GK$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}_c(X)$ .*

- (ii) *There exists a closed subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma_K$  acting cocompactly on  $\Omega$ .*
- (iii) *The stabilizer  $\Gamma_K$  acts cocompactly on  $\Omega$ .*

In (ii) the group  $\Gamma$  can not always be chosen to be discrete.

The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is due to Benzecri (see [2, Cor. 2.4] or [5]). Proving the converse implication was indeed the starting point of our investigations.

The proof of Theorem 7.2 is the same as the proof of Theorem 4.1, replacing Lemma 4.3 by the following analog fact which is due to Benzecri (see loc. cit. or [3]) : *the group  $G$  acts properly cocompactly on the set  $\mathcal{L}_c(X)$  of pointed open properly convex subsets  $(\Omega, x)$  of  $X$ .*

Since a few readers asked us to repeat this same proof in this new context, here it is:

*Proof of Theorem 7.2. (ii)  $\implies$  (i)* Let  $K$  be the closure of a properly convex open set  $\Omega$  of  $X$  on which a subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  acts cocompactly. Let  $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $G$  such that the limit  $K_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n K$  exists in  $\mathcal{K}_c(X)$ . We want to find an element  $h_\infty$  in  $G$  such that  $K_\infty = h_\infty K$ . The open set  $\Omega_\infty := \overset{\circ}{K}_\infty$  contains at least one point  $x_\infty$ . Thus, there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Omega$  such that

$$g_n x_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} x_\infty.$$

Since  $\Gamma$  acts cocompactly on  $\Omega$ , there exists a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma$  such that the sequence  $\gamma_n x_n$  is bounded in  $\Omega$ . Then, since the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{L}_c(X)$  is proper, the sequence  $h_n := g_n \gamma_n^{-1}$  is bounded in  $G$  and, after extraction, it converges to an element  $h_\infty$  in  $G$ . One then has

$$K_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n K = h_\infty K.$$

(i)  $\implies$  (iii) We want to prove that the stabilizer  $\Gamma_K$  acts cocompactly on  $\Omega := \overset{\circ}{K}$ . Let  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $\Omega$ . We want to find a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma_K$  such that, after extraction, the sequence  $\gamma_n x_n$  converges in  $\Omega$ . Since  $G$  acts cocompactly on  $\mathcal{L}_c(X)$ , there exists a sequence  $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $G$  such that the sequence  $g_n(\Omega, x_n)$  converges to a couple  $(\Omega_\infty, x_\infty)$  in  $\mathcal{L}_c(X)$ . Since the orbit  $GK$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}_c(X)$ , there exists an element  $h_\infty$  in  $G$  such that  $\Omega_\infty = h_\infty \Omega$ . The closedness of the orbit  $GK$  in  $\mathcal{K}_c(X)$  also implies that the natural bijection  $G/\Gamma_K \xrightarrow{\sim} GK$  is a homeomorphism. Hence, there exists a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma_K$  such that the sequence  $h_n := g_n \gamma_n^{-1}$  converges to  $h_\infty$ . Then the following sequence converges

$$\gamma_n x_n = h_n^{-1} g_n x_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} h_\infty^{-1} x_\infty \in \Omega.$$

(iii)  $\implies$  (ii) This implication is clear. □

**7.4. Higher rank.** For a higher rank semisimple Lie group  $G$ , its flag variety  $X$ , and a well-chosen  $G$ -invariant open subset  $\mathcal{K}' \subset \mathcal{K}(X)$ , the analogous question is appealing: *can one describe the compact subsets  $K \in \mathcal{K}'$  such that  $GK$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}'$ ?*

Note that in this setting the notion of convex cocompact subgroups is replaced with the notion of Anosov subgroups. See [14] and [17].

Here is an answer to this question when  $G$  is a product of rank-one Lie groups  $G = G_1 \times G_2$ , and hence  $X$  is the product  $X = X_1 \times X_2$  of their boundaries. We will say that a compact subset of  $X$  is *degenerate* if it is included in a *cross*  $\{x_1\} \times X_2 \cup X_1 \times \{x_2\}$ . We first notice that, when  $K \neq X$ , the orbit closure  $\overline{GK}$  in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  always contains a degenerate compact subset. This is why it is natural to introduce the set  $\mathcal{K}'$  of nondegenerate compact subsets of  $X$ :

$$\mathcal{K}' := \{K \in \mathcal{K}(X) \mid K \not\subset \{x_1\} \times X_2 \cup X_1 \times \{x_2\} \ \forall x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2\}.$$

We just state here the final result without proof.

**Theorem 7.3.** *Let  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  be the product of two rank one Lie groups and  $K \in \mathcal{K}'$ . If the orbit  $GK$  is closed in  $\mathcal{K}'$ , we are in one of the following four cases, modulo exchange of the factors :*

- (i)  *$K$  is elementary i.e.  $K$  is included in a finite union of crosses  $\{x_1\} \times X_2 \cup X_1 \times \{x_2\}$ .*
- (ii) *There exist a convex cocompact subgroup  $\Gamma_1 \subset G_1$ , a  $\Gamma_1$ -invariant compact set  $K_1 \subset X_1$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$  such that  $K = \Lambda_{\Gamma_1} \times X_2 \cup K_1 \times \{x_2\}$ .*
- (iii) *There exist convex cocompact subgroups  $\Gamma_1 \subset G_1$  and  $\Gamma_2 \subset G_2$  such that  $K$  is the product of their limit sets  $K = \Lambda_{\Gamma_1} \times \Lambda_{\Gamma_2}$ .*
- (iv) *There exist convex cocompact subgroups  $\Gamma_1 \subset G_1$  and  $\Gamma_2 \subset G_2$  such that  $K$  is the limit set of the graph of an isomorphism  $\varphi : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ .*

One can also list the compact sets  $K$  that occur in case (i). In particular, either  $K$  is finite, or is contained in the union of two crosses.

In case (iii), it is interesting to notice that the stabilizer  $\Gamma_K$  is not an Anosov subgroup of  $G$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Anderson, The Dirichlet problem at infinity for manifolds of negative curvature, *Jour. Diff. Geom.* **18** (1983), 701-721.
- [2] Y. Benoist, Convexes hyperboliques et fonctions quasimétriques *Publ. Math. IHES* **97** (2003) 181-237.
- [3] Y. Benoist, D. Hulin, Cubic differentials and hyperbolic convex sets, *Jour. Diff. Geom.* **98** (2014) 1-19.
- [4] Y. Benoist, D. Hulin, Quasi-circles and the conformal group, preprint (2014).
- [5] J.P. Benzecri, Sur les variétés localement affines et localement projectives, *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.* **88** (1960) 229-332.

- [6] L. Bers, On boundaries of Teichmüller spaces and on Kleinian groups, *Annals of Math.* **91** (1970) 570-600.
- [7] A. Borel, Compact Clifford-Klein forms of symmetric spaces, *Topology* **2** (1963) 111-122.
- [8] B. Bowditch, Some results on the geometry of convex hulls in manifolds of pinched negative curvature, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **69** (1994) 49-81.
- [9] B. Bowditch, A topological characterization of hyperbolic groups, *Journ. Amer. Math. Soc.* **11** (1998) 643-667.
- [10] J. Cannon, The combinatorial structure of cocompact discrete hyperbolic groups, *Geometriae Dedicata* **16** (1984) 123-148.
- [11] M. Desgroseilliers, F. Haglund, On some convex cocompact groups in real hyperbolic space, *Geom. Topol.* **17** (2013) 2431-2484.
- [12] F. Gehring, G. Martin, Discrete quasiconformal groups I *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1987), 331-358.
- [13] E. Ghys, P. de la Harpe, Sur les groupes hyperboliques d'après Mikhael Gromov, *Progress in Math* **83** Birkhäuser(1990).
- [14] O. Guichard, A. Wienhard, Anosov representations: domains of discontinuity and applications, *Invent. Math.* **190** (2012) 357-438.
- [15] M. Gromov, Hyperbolic groups, *MSRI Publ.* **8** (1987) 75-263.
- [16] M. Kapovich, Kleinian Groups in Higher Dimensions, *PM* **265** (2007)485-562.
- [17] M. Kapovich, B. Leeb, J. Porti, Dynamics at infinity of regular discrete subgroups of isometries of higher rank symmetric spaces, arXiv:1306.3837.
- [18] P. Haissinsky, Hyperbolic groups with planar boundaries, *Inv. Math.* (2014) 239-307.
- [19] B. Maskit, Kleinian groups, *Grundlehren 287* Springer (1987).
- [20] D. Mumford, C. Series, D. Wright, Indra's Pearls *Camb. Univ. Press* (2002).
- [21] D. Montgomery, L. Zippin, Topological transformation groups (1955).
- [22] E. Swenson, Quasi-convex groups of isometries of negatively curved spaces, *Topology and its applications* **110** (2001) 119-129.
- [23] P. Tukia, Convergence groups and Gromov's metric hyperbolic spaces, *New Zealand J. Math.* **23** (1994) 157-187.
- [24] J. Vaisala, Gromov hyperbolic spaces, *Expos. Math.* **23** (2005) 187-231.

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD BAT.425, 91405 ORSAY  
*E-mail address:* yves.benoist@math.u-psud.fr

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD BAT.425, 91405 ORSAY  
*E-mail address:* dominique.hulin@math.u-psud.fr