

Synthesis of Local Objects: the antipodal involution.

The anchor point is once again the Bridge equation:

$$\mathbf{BE} \quad \Delta_\omega Y(z, \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{A}_\omega Y(z, \mathbf{u}) \quad (\omega \in \Omega)$$

but approached from the other end: *start from an (admissible) set $\{\mathbf{A}_\omega; \omega \in \Omega\}$ and construct a local object \mathbf{Ob} that admits $\{\mathbf{A}_\omega; \omega \in \Omega\}$ as its system of invariants.*

The way to \mathbf{Ob} obviously passes through $Y(z, \mathbf{u})$, and finding $Y(z, \mathbf{u})$ is a problem for ‘integral alien calculus’. It formally reduces to considering suitable expansions of type:

$$\sum_{1 \leq r} \sum_{\omega_i \in \Omega} a_{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r} \mathcal{U}_c^{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r}(z) \mathbf{A}_{\omega_1} \dots \mathbf{A}_{\omega_r} \quad (c \in \mathbb{R}^+)$$

The crux, however, is to ensure convergence in these expansions. This calls for a quite specific type of resurgence monomials – the so-called *spherical* monomials $\mathcal{U}_c^\bullet(z)$, which crucially depend on a parameter c and whose chief peculiarity is their roughly similar behaviour at the antipodes 0 and ∞ of the Riemann sphere. Accordingly, ‘object synthesis’ has this singular feature: along with the sought-after object \mathbf{Ob} it automatically produces an antipodal shadow \mathbf{Ob}^* .