A short proof of Cramér's theorem in \mathbb{R}

Raphaël Cerf and Pierre Petit

Université Paris Sud

February 18, 2010

The most fundamental result in probability theory is the law of large numbers for a sequence $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of independent and identically distributed real valued random variables. Define the empirical mean of $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ by

$$\overline{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

The law of large numbers asserts that the empirical mean \overline{X}_n converges (almost surely) towards the theoretical mean $\mathbb{E}(X_1)$ provided that $\mathbb{E}(|X_1|)$ is finite. The next fundamental results are the central limit theorem and Cramér's theorem. Both are refinements of the law of large numbers in two different directions. The central limit theorem describes the random fluctuations of \overline{X}_n around $\mathbb{E}(X_1)$. Cramér's theorem estimates the probability that \overline{X}_n deviates significantly from $\mathbb{E}(X_1)$:

$$\mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_n \ge \mathbb{E}(X_1) + \varepsilon) \quad \text{for } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Such an event is called a "large deviations" event since it has a very small probability: it turns out that this probability decays exponentially fast with n. The first estimate of this kind can be traced back to Cramér's paper [5] which deals with variables possessing a density. In [4] Chernoff relaxed this assumption. Then coming from statistical mechanics Lanford imported the subadditivity argument in the proof [8]. Cramér's theory was extended to infinite dimensional topological vector spaces by Bahadur and Zabell [2]. The classical texts of Azencott [1], Deuschel and Strook [7] and Dembo and Zeitouni [6] take stock of the foregoing improvements. At this point in time classical proofs of Cramér's theorem in \mathbb{R} resort either to the law of large numbers (see e.g. [6]) or to Mosco's theorem (see e.g. [3]). We expose here a direct proof of Cramér's theorem in \mathbb{R} based on convex duality. Not only is the proof shorter, but it can easily adapt to a broader setting. **Theorem** (Cramér). Let $(X_n)_{n \ge 1}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed real valued random variables and let \overline{X}_n be the empirical mean:

$$\overline{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the sequence

$$\frac{1}{n}\log\mathbb{P}\big(\overline{X}_n\geqslant x\big)$$

converges in $[-\infty, 0]$ and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_n \ge x) = \inf_{\lambda \ge 0} \left(\log \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X_1}) - \lambda x \right)$$

Let us define the entropy of the sequence $(X_n)_{n \ge 1}$ by

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \qquad s(x) = \sup_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_n \ge x)$$

and the pressure of X_1 (or the log-Laplace transform of the law of X_1) by

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$
 $p(\lambda) = \log \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X_1})$

The entropy and the pressure may take infinite values. Our strategy is to show a dual version, in the sense of convex functions, of Cramér's theorem.

Proposition (dual equality). For all $\lambda \ge 0$,

$$p(\lambda) = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} (\lambda u + s(u))$$

Proof. The classical Chebychev inequality will yield one part of the proof of the above equality. To prove the other part we condition \overline{X}_n to be bounded by K and then let K grow towards $+\infty$. Since X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent and identically distributed, we have for all $\lambda \ge 0$

$$\forall n \ge 1$$
 $\mathbb{E}(e^{n\lambda \overline{X}_n}) = \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X_1})^n$

Thus, for $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \ge 1$, it follows from Chebychev inequality that

$$p(\lambda) = \log \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X_1}) = \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{E}(e^{n\lambda \overline{X}_n})$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{n} \log \left(e^{n\lambda u} \mathbb{P}(e^{n\lambda \overline{X}_n} \ge e^{n\lambda u}) \right) \ge \lambda u + \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_n \ge u)$$

Hence, taking the supremum over $n \ge 1$ and then over $u \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$\forall \lambda \ge 0 \qquad p(\lambda) \ge \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\lambda u + s(u) \right)$$

Next, we prove the converse inequality for $\lambda = 0$: for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$0 \ge s(u) \ge \sup_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(X_1 \ge u)^n = \log \mathbb{P}(X_1 \ge u)$$

Hence, letting u go to $-\infty$, we see that

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} s(u) = 0 = p(0)$$

Now let $\lambda > 0$ and K > 0. For all $n \ge 1$, using the fact that X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent and identically distributed, we have

$$\log \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\lambda X_{1}}1_{|X_{1}|\leqslant K}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\log \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\lambda(X_{1}+\dots+X_{n})}1_{|X_{1}|\leqslant K}\dots 1_{|X_{n}|\leqslant K}\right)$$
$$\leqslant \frac{1}{n}\log \mathbb{E}\left(e^{n\lambda\overline{X}_{n}}1_{|\overline{X}_{n}|\leqslant K}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n}\log \mathbb{E}\left(\left(e^{-n\lambda K} + \int_{-K}^{\overline{X}_{n}}n\lambda e^{n\lambda u}du\right)1_{|\overline{X}_{n}|\leqslant K}\right)$$
$$\leqslant \frac{1}{n}\log\left(e^{-n\lambda K} + \int \mathbb{E}\left(1_{-K\leqslant u\leqslant \overline{X}_{n}}1_{|\overline{X}_{n}|\leqslant K}\right)n\lambda e^{n\lambda u}du\right)$$

the last step being a consequence of Fubini's theorem. Since

$$\mathbb{E}\left(1_{-K\leqslant u\leqslant\overline{X}_{n}}1_{|\overline{X}_{n}|\leqslant K}\right)\leqslant\mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}_{n}\geqslant u\right)1_{|u|\leqslant K}\leqslant e^{ns(u)}1_{|u|\leqslant K}$$

we get

$$\log \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\lambda X_1} 1_{|X_1| \leqslant K}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{n} \log \left(e^{-n\lambda K} + \int_{-K}^{K} n\lambda e^{n(\lambda u + s(u))} du\right)$$
$$\leqslant \frac{1}{n} \log \left(e^{-n\lambda K} + 2Kn\lambda \exp\left(n\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\lambda u + s(u)\right)\right)\right)$$

Let K be large enough so that (recall that the supremum of s is 0)

$$-\lambda K < \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\lambda u + s(u) \right)$$

Sending n to ∞ we obtain

$$\log \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\lambda X_1} 1_{|X_1| \leqslant K}\right) \leqslant \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\lambda u + s(u)\right)$$

Eventually sending K to $+\infty$ we get

$$p(\lambda) \leqslant \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} (\lambda u + s(u))$$

To deduce Cramér's theorem from the dual equality we have just proved, we need some properties of the function s. First of all it follows from the definition of s that s is non-increasing.

Proposition. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the sequence

$$\frac{1}{n}\log\mathbb{P}\big(\overline{X}_n \ge x\big)$$

converges in $[-\infty, 0]$ towards s(x). The function $s : \mathbb{R} \to [-\infty, 0]$ is concave.

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x \leq y$. Let also $\alpha \in]0, 1[$. Suppose that $\mathbb{P}(X_1 \geq y) > 0$ and let $n \geq m \geq 1$. Let n = mq + r be the Euclidian division of n by m. On the event

$$\bigcap_{k=0}^{\lfloor \alpha q \rfloor - 1} \left\{ \sum_{i=mk+1}^{m(k+1)} X_i \ge mx \right\} \cap \bigcap_{k=\lfloor \alpha q \rfloor}^{q-1} \left\{ \sum_{i=mk+1}^{m(k+1)} X_i \ge my \right\} \cap \bigcap_{i=mq+1}^{n} \left\{ X_i \ge y \right\}$$

we have (remember that $x \leq y$) :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \ge \lfloor \alpha q \rfloor mx + (q - \lfloor \alpha q \rfloor) my + ry \ge n (\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y)$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_n \ge \alpha x + (1-\alpha)y) \ge \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_m \ge x)^{\lfloor \alpha q \rfloor} \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_m \ge y)^{q-\lfloor \alpha q \rfloor} \mathbb{P}(X_1 \ge y)^r$$

Since $\mathbb{P}(X_1 \ge y) > 0$ and $0 \le r < m$, taking logarithms, dividing by n and sending n to ∞ , we get for all $m \ge 1$

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_n \ge \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \\ \ge \frac{\alpha}{m} \log \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_m \ge x) + \frac{1 - \alpha}{m} \log \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_m \ge y)$$

If x = y, taking the supremum over $m \ge 1$, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_n \ge x) = s(x)$$

If x < y, sending m to ∞ , we get

$$s(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \ge \alpha s(x) + (1 - \alpha)s(y)$$

If y is such that $\mathbb{P}(X_1 \ge y) = 0$, then

$$\forall n \ge 1$$
 $\frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_n \ge y) = -\infty$

whence $s(y) = -\infty$ and the concavity inequality still holds.

We now finish the proof of Cramér's theorem. At this point we know that for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$

$$\inf_{\lambda \ge 0} \left(p(\lambda) - \lambda x \right) = \inf_{\lambda \ge 0} \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\lambda(u - x) + s(u) \right)$$

It remains to prove that the latter quantity equals s(x). The result is standard in convex functions theory. Let us give an elementary proof in our setting. The right-hand side of the previous equation is clearly superior or equal to s(x): take u = x. To prove the converse inequality we set

$$c = \inf \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{P}(X_1 \ge x) = 0 \right\}$$

and we distinguish the three cases x < c, x > c and x = c. • Suppose x < c. Since s is concave and non-increasing let $-\lambda = s'_g(x) \leq 0$ be the left derivative of s at point x. Then $s(x) > -\infty$ and

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R} \qquad s(u) \leqslant s(x) - \lambda(u - x)$$

from which the result follows.

• Suppose x > c. Then $s(x) = -\infty$ and, for all $\lambda \ge 0$,

$$p(\lambda) - \lambda x = \log \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda(X_1 - x)}) \leq \log e^{\lambda(c - x)} = \lambda(c - x)$$

so the infimum over $\lambda \ge 0$ is indeed $-\infty$.

• Suppose x = c. Then, for all $\lambda \ge 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$p(\lambda) - \lambda c = \log \mathbb{E} \left(e^{\lambda (X_1 - c)} (1_{X_1 < c - \varepsilon} + 1_{c - \varepsilon \leqslant X_1 \leqslant c}) \right)$$
$$\leqslant \log \left(e^{-\lambda \varepsilon} + \mathbb{P} (X_1 \ge c - \varepsilon) \right)$$

Taking the infimum over $\lambda \ge 0$ and sending ε to 0 we get

$$\inf_{\lambda \ge 0} \left(p(\lambda) - \lambda x \right) \le \log \mathbb{P} \left(X_1 \ge c \right) \le s(c) \qquad \Box$$

- R. Azencott. Grandes déviations et applications. Ecole d'Eté de Probabilités de Saint-Flour, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 774. Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [2] R. R. Bahadur and S. L. Zabell. Large deviations of the sample mean in general vector spaces. Ann. Prob., 7(4):587–621, 1979.
- [3] R. Cerf. On Cramér's theory in infinite dimensions. Panoramas et Synthèses 23. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2007.
- [4] H. Chernoff. A measure of asymptotic efficiency for tests of a hypothesis based on the sum of observations. Ann. Math. Statist., 23:493–507, 1952.
- [5] H. Cramér. Sur un nouveau théorème limite de la théorie des probabilités. Actualités scientifiques et industrielles, 736:5–23, 1938.
- [6] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni. Large deviations techniques and applications. Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [7] J.-D. Deuschel and D. W. Stroock. *Large deviations*. Academic Press, 1989.
- [8] O. E. Lanford. Entropy and equilibrium states in classical statistical mechanics. Lecture Notes in Physics 20. Springer, 1973.