

# The Hodge Conjecture and arithmetic quotients of complex balls

j.w./ J. Millson & C. Moeglin

# Ball quotients

Let  $\mathbf{B}^n$  be the complex  $n$ -ball. The group of biholomorphisms of  $\mathbf{B}^n$  is  $\mathrm{PU}(n, 1)$ .

# Ball quotients

Let  $\mathbf{B}^n$  be the complex  $n$ -ball. The group of biholomorphisms of  $\mathbf{B}^n$  is  $\mathrm{PU}(n, 1)$ .

I will be interested in complex manifolds obtained as compact **ball quotients**:

$$S = S(\Gamma) = \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{B}^n$$

where  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PU}(n, 1)$  is a torsion free discrete subgroup.

The group

$$\mathrm{PU}(n, 1) \curvearrowright \mathbf{B}^n$$

transitively and by biholomorphisms; it preserves the Bergman (symmetric) metric. Denote by  $\Omega$  the corresponding Kähler form.

The group

$$\mathrm{PU}(n, 1) \curvearrowright \mathbf{B}^n$$

transitively and by biholomorphisms; it preserves the Bergman (symmetric) metric. Denote by  $\Omega$  the corresponding Kähler form.

Then  $\frac{1}{2i\pi}\Omega$  induces a  $(1, 1)$ -form on  $S$  which is the Chern form of the canonical fiber bundle. It follows from Kodaira's theorem that  $S$  is a **complex projective manifold**.

# Arithmetic ball quotients

The ball quotients I will consider are **arithmetic** ball quotients or **Shimura varieties** uniformized by the complex  $n$ -ball.

# Arithmetic ball quotients

The ball quotients I will consider are **arithmetic** ball quotients or **Shimura varieties** uniformized by the complex  $n$ -ball.

Let  $E$  be a CM-field with totally real maximal subfield  $F$ ,  $[F : \mathbf{Q}] = d$ . Let  $V$  be a non-degenerate **anisotropic** Hermitian  $E$ -vector space of dimension  $n + 1$ . Set

$$G = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \mathrm{U}(V).$$

Suppose that

$$G(\mathbf{R}) \cong \mathrm{U}(n, 1) \times \mathrm{U}(n + 1)^{d-1}.$$

Let  $\Gamma \subset G^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{Q})$  be a torsion free **congruence** subgroup:

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_K := G^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{Q}) \cap K^{\text{ad}}, \quad K \subset G(\mathbf{A}_f) \text{ compact-open.}$$

Then

$$S = S(\Gamma) = \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{B}^n$$

is a compact ball quotient.



Let  $\Gamma \subset G^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{Q})$  be a torsion free **congruence** subgroup.

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_K := G^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{Q}) \cap K^{\text{ad}}, \quad K \subset G(\mathbf{A}_f) \text{ compact-open.}$$

Then

$$S = S(\Gamma) = \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{B}^n$$

is a compact ball quotient.

**Shimura-Deligne:**  $S$  has a canonical model defined over a finite abelian extension of  $E$ .

## Main theorem

A cohomology class on  $S$  is of **level  $c$**  if it is the pushforward of a cohomology class on a  $c$ -codimensional subvariety of  $S$ .

# Main theorem

A cohomology class on  $S$  is of **level  $c$**  if it is the pushforward of a cohomology class on a  $c$ -codimensional subvariety of  $S$ .

## Definition

Let  $N^c H^\bullet(S, \mathbf{Q})$  be the subspace of  $H^\bullet(S, \mathbf{Q})$  which consists of classes of level  $\geq c$ .

## Main theorem

A cohomology class on  $S$  is of **level  $c$**  if it is the pushforward of a cohomology class on a  $c$ -codimensional subvariety of  $S$ .

### Definition

Let  $N^c H^\bullet(S, \mathbf{Q})$  be the subspace of  $H^\bullet(S, \mathbf{Q})$  which consists of classes of level  $\geq c$ .

Note that we have:

$$N^c H^k(S, \mathbf{Q}) \subset H^k(S, \mathbf{Q}) \cap \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{a+b=k \\ a,b \geq c}} H^{a,b}(S, \mathbf{C}) \right).$$

In particular  $N^c H^k(S, \mathbf{Q}) = \{0\}$  if  $k < 2c$ .

Our main theorem is:

### Theorem 1

Let  $k, c \in \mathbf{N}$  s.t.  $2k - c < n + 1$ . Then we have:

$$N^c H^k(S, \mathbf{Q}) = H^k(S, \mathbf{Q}) \cap \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{a+b=k \\ a,b \geq c}} H^{a,b}(S, \mathbf{C}) \right).$$

Our main theorem is:

### Theorem 1

Let  $k, c \in \mathbf{N}$  s.t.  $2k - c < n + 1$ . Then we have:

$$N^c H^k(S, \mathbf{Q}) = H^k(S, \mathbf{Q}) \cap \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{a+b=k \\ a,b \geq c}} H^{a,b}(S, \mathbf{C}) \right).$$

*Remark.* The RHS is not always a Hodge structure (Grothendieck) whereas the LHS is. So what we prove is a strong version of the generalized Hodge conjecture that cannot hold in general.

Theorem 1 indeed generalizes the Hodge conjecture: consider the case where  $k = 2p$  and  $c = p$ . We have:

### Corollary

Let  $p \in [0, n] \setminus \frac{n}{3}, \frac{2n}{3}[$ . Then every Hodge class in  $H^{2p}(S, \mathbf{Q})$  is a linear combination with rational coefficients of the cohomology classes of subvarieties.

Theorem 1 indeed generalizes the Hodge conjecture: consider the case where  $k = 2p$  and  $c = p$ . We have:

### Corollary

Let  $p \in [0, n] \setminus \left] \frac{n}{3}, \frac{2n}{3} \right[$ . Then every Hodge class in  $H^{2p}(S, \mathbf{Q})$  is a linear combination with rational coefficients of the cohomology classes of subvarieties.

### Proof.

By definition  $N^p H^{2p}$  is the rational span of cohomology classes of subvarieties. Now:

$$2k - c = 4p - p = 3p < n + 1 \Leftrightarrow p \in \left[ 0, \frac{n}{3} \right].$$

The corollary therefore follows from Theorem 1 and Poincaré duality. □



## Special cycles

Let  $t \in \mathbf{N}^*$ ,  $t \leq n$ . To any **totally positive** subspace  $U \subset V$  of dimension  $t$  we associate

$$H = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} U(U^\perp) \subset \text{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} U(V) = G.$$

## Special cycles

Let  $t \in \mathbf{N}^*$ ,  $t \leq n$ . To any **totally positive** subspace  $U \subset V$  of dimension  $t$  we associate

$$H = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} U(U^\perp) \subset \text{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} U(V) = G.$$

It corresponds a sub-ball

$$\mathbf{B}_H^{n-t} \subset \mathbf{B}^n \quad (\text{negative lines which lie in } U^\perp).$$

It is a complex (totally geodesic) submanifold of codimension  $t$ .

Let  $\Gamma_U = \Gamma \cap H^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{Q})$ . It corresponds an immersion

$$\Gamma_U \backslash \mathbf{B}_H^{n-t} \hookrightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{B}^n.$$

Let  $\Gamma_U = \Gamma \cap H^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{Q})$ . It corresponds an immersion

$$\Gamma_U \backslash \mathbf{B}_H^{n-t} \hookrightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{B}^n.$$

- ▶  $C_U$  algebraic cycle (defined over an abelian extension of  $E$ )

Let  $\Gamma_U = \Gamma \cap H^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{Q})$ . It corresponds an immersion

$$\Gamma_U \backslash \mathbf{B}_H^{n-t} \hookrightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{B}^n.$$

- ▶  $C_U$  algebraic cycle (defined over an abelian extension of  $E$ )
- ▶  $[C_U] \in H^{2t}(S, \mathbf{Q}) \cap H^{t,t}(S, \mathbf{C})$ .

We rather consider **composite cycles**: let  $\beta \in \text{Herm}_r(E)$  totally positive semidefinite. We set

$$\Omega_\beta = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in V^r \left| \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2}((x_i, x_j))_{1, \dots, r} = \beta \text{ and} \\ \dim \underbrace{\text{Vect}_E(x_1, \dots, x_r)}_{=U(\mathbf{x})} = \text{rank}(\beta) \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

The group  $\Gamma = \Gamma_K$  acts with finitely many orbits on  $\Omega_\beta$ . Given a  $K$ -invariant  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(V(\mathbf{A}_f)^r)$  we define

$$c_{\beta, \varphi} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega_\beta \\ \text{mod } \Gamma}} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) c_{U(\mathbf{x})}.$$



## A classical example

Consider the case where  $V$  is orthogonal and positive definite of dimension  $n$  over  $F = \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $\Gamma = \{1\}$  ( $K = \prod_p \mathbf{Z}_p$ ) and  $r = 1$ .

If  $\beta = \frac{k}{2} \in \text{Sym}_1(\mathbf{Q})$  with  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\varphi = \mathbf{1}_{V(\prod_p \mathbf{z}_p)}$ . Then  $C_{\beta, \varphi}$  is the “number”

$$r(k) = \{x \in \mathbf{Z}^n \mid x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = k\}.$$



Composite cycles behave well under congruence covers. If  $\Gamma' = \Gamma_{K'}$  and  $\Gamma = \Gamma_K$  with  $K' \subset K$ , consider the natural projection  $p : S(\Gamma') \rightarrow S(\Gamma)$ . We have:

$$p^*(C_{\beta,\varphi}) = C_{\beta,\varphi}.$$



Composite cycles behave well under congruence covers. If  $\Gamma' = \Gamma_{K'}$  and  $\Gamma = \Gamma_K$  with  $K' \subset K$ , consider the natural projection  $p : S(\Gamma') \rightarrow S(\Gamma)$ . We have:

$$p^*(C_{\beta, \varphi}) = C_{\beta, \varphi}.$$

For all  $\beta \gg 0$  we finally get a  $G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ -equivariant map

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{S}(V(\mathbf{A}_f)^r)_{\mathbf{Q}} & \rightarrow H^{2r}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{Q}) := \varinjlim_{\Gamma} H^{2r}(S(\Gamma), \mathbf{Q}) \\ \varphi & \mapsto [\beta, \varphi] := [C_{\beta, \varphi}]. \end{cases}$$

Composite cycles behave well under congruence covers. If  $\Gamma' = \Gamma_{K'}$  and  $\Gamma = \Gamma_K$  with  $K' \subset K$ , consider the natural projection  $p : S(\Gamma') \rightarrow S(\Gamma)$ . We have:

$$p^*(C_{\beta,\varphi}) = C_{\beta,\varphi}.$$

For all  $\beta \gg 0$  we finally get a  **$G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ -equivariant** map

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{S}(V(\mathbf{A}_f)^r)_{\mathbf{Q}} & \rightarrow H^{2r}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{Q}) := \lim_{\rightarrow} H^{2r}(S(\Gamma), \mathbf{Q}) \\ \varphi & \mapsto [\beta, \varphi] := [C_{\beta,\varphi}]. \end{cases}$$

We extend this construction to positive **semidefinite**  $\beta$ 's by setting:

$$[\beta, \varphi] = L^{r-t}([C_{\beta,\varphi}]) \text{ where } t = \mathrm{rank}(\beta)$$

and  $L$  is the cup-product with the Lefschetz class  $\Omega$ .

## Definition

Let  $SC^{2r}(\mathrm{Sh}(G)) \subset H^{2r}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{Q})$  be the subspace spanned by the classes  $[\beta, \varphi]$ .

## Definition

Let  $SC^{2r}(\mathrm{Sh}(G)) \subset H^{2r}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{Q})$  be the subspace spanned by the classes  $[\beta, \varphi]$ .

It is a rational subspace that is Hecke stable and it follows from **Kudla-Millson** that

$$SC^\bullet(\mathrm{Sh}(G)) := \bigoplus_r SC^{2r}(\mathrm{Sh}(G))$$

is a **subring** of  $H^\bullet(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{Q})$ .

# On the proof of Theorem 1

Our main technical result is the following:

## Theorem 2

Let  $a, b \in \mathbf{N}$  (with say  $a \geq b$ ) and  $3(a + b) + |a - b| < 2(n + 1)$ .

- ▶ If  $a > b$  (and  $c = a - b$ ), then the natural cup-product map

$$SC^{2b}(\mathrm{Sh}(G)) \times H^{c,0}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{prim}}^{a,b}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C})$$

is **surjective**.

- ▶ If  $a = b$ , then the natural cup-product map

$$SC^{2(a-1)}(\mathrm{Sh}(G)) \times H^{1,1}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{prim}}^{a,a}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C})$$

is **surjective**.

*Remarks.* 1. The symmetrical result with  $a < b$  and  $H^{c,0}$  replaced by  $H^{0,c}$  holds as well.

*Remarks.* 1. The symmetrical result with  $a < b$  and  $H^{c,0}$  replaced by  $H^{0,c}$  holds as well.

2. When  $a = b$ , it is **not true** that  $SC^{2a} \rightarrow H_{\text{prim}}^{a,a}$  is surjective:  
**totally geodesic codimension one cycles don't span.**

*Remarks.* 1. The symmetrical result with  $a < b$  and  $H^{c,0}$  replaced by  $H^{0,c}$  holds as well.

2. When  $a = b$ , it is **not true** that  $SC^{2a} \rightarrow H_{\text{prim}}^{a,a}$  is surjective: **totally geodesic codimension one cycles don't span.**

We furthermore prove:

### Proposition

If  $a, b \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $3(a + b) + |a - b| < 2(n + 1)$  then there exists a **rational** subspace  $Y \subset H^{a+b}(\text{Sh}(G), \mathbf{Q})$  s.t.

$$Y \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} = H^{a,b}(\text{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C}) \oplus H^{b,a}(\text{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C}).$$



## Theorem 2 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 1

It follows from the above Proposition and Theorem 2 that we have:

- ▶ if  $a = b$  the image of the cup-product map

$$SC^{2(a-1)}(\mathrm{Sh}(G)) \times (H^{1,1}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C}) \cap H^2(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{Q})) \\ \rightarrow H^{a,a}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C})$$

spans the whole primitive subspace when  $6a < 2(n+1)$  (i.e.  $a < \frac{1}{3}(n+1)$ ).

The Hodge Conjecture then follows from Lefschetz' (1, 1)-Theorem.

- if  $a \neq b$  cup-products of classes in  $SC^{2\min(a,b)}(\mathrm{Sh}(G))$  and in

$$\left( H^{|a-b|,0}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C}) \oplus H^{0,|a-b|}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C}) \right) \cap H^{|a-b|}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{Q})$$

span

$$H_{\mathrm{prim}}^{a,b}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C}) \oplus H_{\mathrm{prim}}^{b,a}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C})$$

when  $3(a+b) + |a-b| < 2(n+1)$ .

- ▶ if  $a \neq b$  cup-products of classes in  $SC^{2\min(a,b)}(\mathrm{Sh}(G))$  and in

$$\left( H^{|a-b|,0}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C}) \oplus H^{0,|a-b|}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C}) \right) \cap H^{|a-b|}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{Q})$$

span

$$H_{\mathrm{prim}}^{a,b}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C}) \oplus H_{\mathrm{prim}}^{b,a}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C})$$

when  $3(a+b) + |a-b| < 2(n+1)$ .

This applies to

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{a+b=k \\ a,b \geq c}} H^{a,b}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C})$$

as long as

$$3k + k - 2c < 2(n+1) \Leftrightarrow 2k - c < n+1.$$

Here  $k = a+b$  and  $|a-b|$  is maximal if say  $b=c$  and  $a = k - c$ .

## Theta series

Consider the **split** Hermitian space  $W$  of even dimension  $2r$  over  $E$ . Let

$$G' = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \text{U}(W).$$

Given  $\beta \geq 0$ , it corresponds a **Whittaker function**  $W_\beta(g')$  on  $G'(\mathbf{R}) = \text{U}(r, r)^d$ .

## Theta series

Consider the **split** Hermitian space  $W$  of even dimension  $2r$  over  $E$ . Let

$$G' = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \text{U}(W).$$

Given  $\beta \geq 0$ , it corresponds a **Whittaker function**  $W_\beta(g')$  on  $G'(\mathbf{R}) = \text{U}(r, r)^d$ .

### Example

In case  $r = 1$  and  $d = 1$ , the symmetric space associated to  $G'(\mathbf{R}) = \text{U}(1, 1)$  identifies with the Poincaré upper half plane  $\mathbf{H}$  and the function  $W_\beta$  defines a function on  $\mathbf{H}$  which turns out to be

$$\tau \mapsto e^{2i\pi\tau\beta}.$$

Kudla-Millson introduce the generating series

$$\theta_\varphi(\mathbf{g}') = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} [\beta, \varphi] W_\beta(\mathbf{g}')$$

with values in  $H^{r,r}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C})$ .



## A classical example

Consider again the case where  $V$  is orthogonal and positive definite of dimension  $n$  over  $F = \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $\Gamma = \{1\}$  ( $K = \prod_p \mathbf{Z}_p$ ) and  $r = 1$ .



Then

$$\theta_\varphi(\tau) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{Z}^n} e^{i\pi\tau(x,x)} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} r(k) e^{i\pi\tau k}$$

is a classical  $\theta$ -series.

→ It is **modular** in  $\tau$ .

Kudla-Millson introduce the generating series

$$\theta_\varphi(g') = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} [\beta, \varphi] W_\beta(g')$$

with values in  $H^{r,r}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C})$ .

Kudla-Millson:

The map

$$g' \mapsto \theta_\varphi(g') \in H^{r,r}(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C})$$

defines an automorphic form.



The proof follows from a Poisson summation formula in  $L^2(V(\mathbf{A})^r)$  applied to  $\phi = \varphi_{\text{KM}} \otimes \varphi$  with

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{\text{KM}} &\in \text{Hom}_{\text{U}(n) \times \text{U}(1)}(\wedge^{r,r} \mathbf{C}^n, \mathcal{S}(V_\infty^r)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{U}(n,1)}(\Omega^{r,r}(\mathbf{B}^n), \mathcal{S}(V_\infty^r))\end{aligned}$$

The proof follows from a Poisson summation formula in  $L^2(V(\mathbf{A})^r)$  applied to  $\phi = \varphi_{\text{KM}} \otimes \varphi$  with

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{\text{KM}} &\in \text{Hom}_{\text{U}(n) \times \text{U}(1)}(\wedge^{r,r} \mathbf{C}^n, \mathcal{S}(V_\infty^r)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{U}(n,1)}(\Omega^{r,r}(\mathbf{B}^n), \mathcal{S}(V_\infty^r))\end{aligned}$$

It yields a **lifting map**

$$\{\text{automorphic forms on } G'\} \rightarrow H^{r,r}(\text{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C})$$

whose image is  $\text{Span}\{[\beta, \varphi]\}$ .

This fits in the general framework of  $\theta$ -liftings — as developed by Howe — from a general unitary group  $U(W)$ . But in the general case there is no more relations with cycles.

This fits in the general framework of  $\theta$ -liftings — as developed by Howe — from a general unitary group  $U(W)$ . But in the general case there is no more relations with cycles.

I can now explain the main ideas of the proof of Theorem 2.

## On the proof of Theorem 2

Decompose

$$H^\bullet(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C}) = \bigoplus_{\pi \text{ autom}} H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; \pi_\infty) \otimes \pi_f.$$

## On the proof of Theorem 2

Decompose

$$H^\bullet(\mathrm{Sh}(G), \mathbf{C}) = \bigoplus_{\pi \text{ autom}} H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; \pi_\infty) \otimes \pi_f.$$

We first prove:

### Theorem 3

Let  $a, b \in \mathbf{N}$  be s.t.  $3(a + b) + |a - b| < 2(n + 1)$ . If  $\pi$  is s.t.

$$H^{a,b}(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; \pi_\infty) \neq \{0\},$$

then  $\pi$  is a  $\theta$ -lift from a  $U(W)$  of signature  $(a, b)$  at infinity.

We then prove that if  $U(W)$  is **quasi-split** ( $\Leftrightarrow W$  split) then any  $\theta$ -lift belongs to the span of KM lifts.

We then prove that if  $U(W)$  is **quasi-split** ( $\Leftrightarrow W$  split) then any  $\theta$ -lift belongs to the span of KM lifts.

[As  $\varphi$  is arbitrary, this amounts to local (Archimedean) computations: show that one may reduce to  $\varphi_\infty = \varphi_{\text{KM}}$ . This boils down to compute part of the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -cohomology of  $\mathcal{S}(V_\infty^r)$ .]



Theorem 2 then follows from the classification of Hermitian spaces:

- ▶ If  $a > b$ , then  $W$  decomposes as

$$W = W_{b,b}^{\text{split}} \oplus W_{a-b,0}.$$

- ▶ If  $a = b$ , then  $W$  decomposes as

$$W = W_{a,a}^{\text{split}} \text{ or } W = W_{a-1,a-1}^{\text{split}} \oplus W_{1,1}.$$

'Functoriality' of  $\theta$ -lift then implies that

$$\blacktriangleright \theta(W) = \underbrace{\theta(W_{b,b}^{\text{split}})}_{\text{cycle}} \wedge \underbrace{\theta(W_{a-b,0})}_{\text{holom class of deg } a-b}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \theta(W) = \underbrace{\theta(W_{a,a}^{\text{split}})}_{\text{cycle}} \text{ or } \underbrace{\theta(W_{a-1,a-1}^{\text{split}})}_{\text{cycle}} \wedge \underbrace{\theta(W_{1,1})}_{(1,1)\text{-class}}.$$

# On the proof of Theorem 3

There are two steps:

- ▶ If  $\pi$  is 'very non tempered' then  $\pi$  is a  $\theta$ -lift.  
[The precise statement is the analogue for unitary groups of a theorem of Kudla-Rallis. The main ingredient of the proof is due to Ichino.]

# On the proof of Theorem 3

There are two steps:

- ▶ If  $\pi$  is 'very non tempered' then  $\pi$  is a  $\theta$ -lift.  
[The precise statement is the analogue for unitary groups of a theorem of **Kudla-Rallis**. The main ingredient of the proof is due to **Ichino**.]
- ▶ **Arthur**'s classification of automorphic representations implies that *if an automorphic representation is very non tempered at one place then it is very non tempered everywhere*. We are therefore reduced to study the (non) temperedness of representations  $\pi_\infty$  s.t.  $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; \pi_\infty) \neq \{0\}$ .  
→ condition  $3(a + b) + |a - b| < 2(n + 1)$ .



A weak form of Arthur's endoscopic classification of automorphic representations of classical groups implies:

### Weak base change

Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible automorphic representation of  $G$  which occurs as an irreducible subspace of  $L^2(G(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbf{A}))$ . Then, there exists a (unique) global representation  $\Pi = \Pi_\Psi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbf{A}_E)$ , induced from square integrable automorphic representations encoded in a parameter

$$\Psi = \mu_1 \boxtimes R_{m_1} \boxplus \dots \boxplus \mu_r \boxtimes R_{m_r},$$

and a finite set of places  $S$  s.t. we have:

$$L^S(s, \pi_v) = L^S(s, \Pi_v).$$

Here each  $\mu_j$  is an irreducible, unitary, cuspidal automorphic representation of  $GL(d_j)/F$ ,  $R_{m_j}$  is an irreducible representation of  $SL_2(\mathbf{C})$  of dimension  $m_j$  and  $n + 1 = m_1 d_1 + \dots + m_r d_r$ .



Given any  $\mu_j \boxtimes R_{m_j}$  we form the induced representation

$$\text{ind}(\mu_j | \cdot |_{\mathbf{A}_E}^{\frac{1}{2}(m_j-1)}, \mu_j | \cdot |_{\mathbf{A}_E}^{\frac{1}{2}(m_j-3)}, \dots, \mu_j | \cdot |_{\mathbf{A}_E}^{\frac{1}{2}(1-m_j)})$$

(normalized induction from the standard parabolic subgroup of type  $(d_j, \dots, d_j)$ ). We then write  $\Pi_j$  for the unique irreducible quotient of this representation; it is a square integrable automorphic representation.

Given any  $\mu_j \boxtimes R_{m_j}$  we form the induced representation

$$\text{ind}(\mu_j | \cdot |_{\mathbf{A}_E}^{\frac{1}{2}(m_j-1)}, \mu_j | \cdot |_{\mathbf{A}_E}^{\frac{1}{2}(m_j-3)}, \dots, \mu_j | \cdot |_{\mathbf{A}_E}^{\frac{1}{2}(1-m_j)})$$

(normalized induction from the standard parabolic subgroup of type  $(d_j, \dots, d_j)$ ). We then write  $\Pi_j$  for the unique irreducible quotient of this representation; it is a square integrable automorphic representation.

We finally define  $\Pi_\Psi$  as the induced representation

$$\text{ind}(\Pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \Pi_r)$$

(normalized induction from the standard parabolic subgroup of type  $(m_1 d_1, \dots, m_r d_r)$ ).



The Euler product

$$L^S(s, \Pi_\Psi) = \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{v \notin S} L_v(s - \frac{m_j - 1}{2}, \mu_{j,v}) \dots L_v(s - \frac{1 - m_j}{2}, \mu_{j,v})$$

is the product of partial  $L$ -functions of the square integrable automorphic representations associated to the parameters  $\mu_j \boxtimes R_{m_j}$ .

## The Euler product

$$L^S(s, \Pi_\Psi) = \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{v \notin S} L_v(s - \frac{m_j - 1}{2}, \mu_{j,v}) \dots L_v(s - \frac{1 - m_j}{2}, \mu_{j,v})$$

is the product of partial  $L$ -functions of the square integrable automorphic representations associated to the parameters  $\mu_j \boxtimes R_{m_j}$ .

### Example

If  $\mu_j$  is a Dirichlet character then  $L^S(s, \mu_j)$  is just its usual (partial) Dirichlet series. In particular if  $\mu_j = 1$  then  $L^S(s, \mu_j)$  is the (partial) Riemann zeta function; it has a pole in  $s = 1$ .

## Kudla-Rallis, Ichino, ...

Let  $\eta$  be a character of  $\mathbf{A}_E^\times/E^\times$ . Assume that there exists some integer  $u > 1$  such that the partial  $L$ -function  $L^S(s, \pi \times \eta)$  is holomorphic in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}(u - 1)$  and has a pole in  $s = \frac{1}{2}(u - 1)$ .

Then there exists some  $(n + 1 - u)$ -dimensional Hermitian space over  $E$  such that  $\pi$  is in the image of the cuspidal theta correspondence from the group  $U(W)$ .



The goal is to construct  $W$  such that

$$\Theta_{V \rightarrow W}(\pi) \neq 0. \quad (1)$$

The result then follows by duality.

The goal is to construct  $W$  such that

$$\Theta_{V \rightarrow W}(\pi) \neq 0. \quad (1)$$

The result then follows by duality.

To prove (1) we take  $f$  in the space of  $\pi$  and compute the square of the Petersson norm of  $\theta(f)$ . The latter takes the rough form:

$$\|\theta(f)\|^2 = c \operatorname{Res}_{s=\frac{u}{2}} L^S(s + \frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \eta),$$

where  $c$  is a non-zero constant involving local coefficients of the oscillator representation and  $\eta$  some character.

If  $\pi_\infty$  is cohomological and is the Langlands' quotient of a standard representation with an exponent  $(z/\bar{z})^{p/2}(z\bar{z})^{(u-1)/2}$ , we have:

### Lemma

If  $3u > n + 1 + |p|$  then in the parameter  $\Psi$  some of the factor  $\mu_j \boxtimes R_{m_j}$  is such that  $m_j \geq u$  and the representation  $\mu_j$  is a character.

If  $\pi_\infty$  is cohomological and is the Langlands' quotient of a standard representation with an exponent  $(z/\bar{z})^{p/2}(z\bar{z})^{(u-1)/2}$ , we have:

### Lemma

If  $3u > n + 1 + |p|$  then in the parameter  $\Psi$  some of the factor  $\mu_j \boxtimes R_{m_j}$  is such that  $m_j \geq u$  and the representation  $\mu_j$  is a character.

**Vogan-Zuckerman:** if  $\pi_\infty$  is cohomological of degree  $(a, b)$  then it is the Langlands' quotient of a standard representation with an exponent  $(z/\bar{z})^{(b-a)/2}(z\bar{z})^{(n+1-(a+b)-1)/2}$ . This yields the condition

$$3(n+1) - 3(a+b) > n+1 + |a-b| \Leftrightarrow 3(a+b) + |a-b| < 2(n+1).$$

THE END