



Bismut Fest Branched Coverings and K-theory



Michael Atiyah (Edinburgh) Paris, 29 May, 2013

Princeton 1953

Chern classes c_j of complex vector bundle

►
$$1 + c_1 + c_2 ... + c_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i)$$

For complex manifold X

$$c_i(X) =$$
 Chern class of tangent bundle

Pontryagin classes p_j of real vector bundle

$$1 + p_1 + ..., p_k = \prod_{i=1}^{2k} (1 + x_i^2)$$

For real manifold X

 $p_i(X)$ = Pontryagin classes of tangent bundle


Borel, Chern and Hirzebruch



Todd genus $T = \sum_{n} T_{n}(c_{1}, c_{2}, ...) = \prod_{i} \frac{x_{i}}{1 - e^{-x_{i}}}$ $T_{1} = \frac{c_{1}}{2}, \quad T_{2} = \frac{c_{1}^{2} + c_{2}}{12}$ $T_{3} = \frac{c_{1}c_{2}}{24}, \quad T_{4} = \frac{1}{720}(-c_{4} + c_{3}c_{1} + 3c_{2}^{2} + 4c_{2}c_{1}^{2} - c_{1}^{4})$



Leray and Cartan





Spencer, Serre, Kodaira, Weyl



$$L = \sum L_k(p_1, p_2, ...) = \prod(\frac{x_i}{\tanh x_i})$$
$$L_1 = \frac{p_1}{3}$$
$$L_2 = \frac{7p_2 - p_1^2}{45}$$
$$L_3 = \frac{1}{3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7} (62p_3 - 13p_1p_2 + 2p_1^3)$$

▶ Relation between *T* and *L*

$$\frac{x}{\tanh x} + x = \frac{2x}{1 - e^{-2x}}$$

Riemann-Roch

- ➤ X compact complex manifold dim_C X = n
- \mathcal{O} sheaf of holomorphic functions on X
- ► H^q(X, 𝒞) cohomology groups

• $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}) = \sum_{q=0}^{n} (-1)^q \dim H^q(X, \mathcal{O})$ Arithmetic Genus

Theorem 1 (Hirzebruch Riemann-Roch)

$$\chi(X,\mathscr{O}) = T_n(X)$$

• n = 1, X Riemann surface

$$\chi = \frac{c_1}{2} = 1 - g$$

Signature

- X compact oriented manifold of dimension 4k
- → H^{2k}(X; ℝ) has a non-degenerate quadratic form, with p + q = dim H^{2k}(X; ℝ) non-zero eigenvalues, p positive signs, q negative signs
- The signature of X is the signature of the form

$$\operatorname{Sign}(X) = p - q \in \mathbb{Z}$$
.

Theorem 2 (Hirzebruch Signature Theorem)


$$Sign(X) = L_k(X)$$

•
$$k = 1$$
, dim $X = 4$, Sign $(X) = p_1/3$.

Mexico, 1956



Bonn, 1977



$\widehat{A}\text{-}\mathbf{genus}$

•
$$\widehat{A}(p_1, p_2, ...) = \prod_i \frac{x_i/2}{\sinh x_i/2}$$

• $T = e^{-c_1/2}\widehat{A}$ (involves only c_1 and p_j)
• **Theorem 3 (A-S 1963)**

$$\widehat{A}(X) = \operatorname{index} D$$

► D Dirac operator

Bernoulli numbers

$$\frac{x}{1-e^{-x}} = 1+\frac{x}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{b_{2k}}{(2k)!}x^{2k}$$

Define

$$B_{k} = (-1)^{k-1} b_{2k}$$

$$B_{1} = \frac{1}{6}$$

$$B_{2} = \frac{1}{30}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$B_{8} = \frac{3617}{510}$$

Cauchy Residues

▶ HRR for $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ gives $T(P_n(\mathbb{C})) = 1$

• total Chern class of $P_n(\mathbb{C}) = (1+x)^{n+1}$

$$T(P_n(\mathbb{C})) = \text{ coefficient of } x^n \text{ in } \left(\frac{x}{1-e^{-x}}\right)^{n+1}$$

shown to be 1 by Cauchy residue formula

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{dx}{(1 - e^{-x})^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{dy}{y^{n+1}(1 - y)} = 1$$

(where $y = 1 - e^{-x}$)

Defects (of singularities)

- If X has Riemannian metric (Hermitian in complex case) then the p_j and c_j are represented by differential forms and Theorems 1 and 2 express χ and Sign as integrals over X.
- If X has a singular set Σ, but χ or Sign are still defined, then the difference between this invariant and the integral is called the defect due to Σ.
- Three cases where this happens are:
 - 1. X is a rational homology manifold (e.g. an orbifold), so signature still defined.
 - 2. X is a complex variety with singular set Σ , but χ is still defined by sheaf cohomology.
 - 3. X is a manifold but the metric has singularities along Σ .

Zagier and Patodi






- Hirzebruch (Zagier) studied orbifold singularities using the G-signature theorem (equivariant version of Theorem 2) and found interesting relations with number theory (Dedekind sums).
- 2. Hirzebruch also studied cusp singularities of Hilbert modular surfaces and this motivated extension of Theorems 1 and 2 to manifolds with boundary and introduction of η -invariant (A-Patodi-Singer, 1973)
- If Σ ⊂ X is real codimension 2 sub-manifold (e.g. complex codimension 1) then we can have metrics on X with conical singularities (of fixed angle β) along Σ. (A 2013)

Cones

- Local model dimension 2
- $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ vertex at origin



- metric = $dr^2 + \beta^2 r^2 d\theta^2$ $(0 \le \theta \le 2\pi)$
- Flat except at origin (vertex) where curvature κ is multiple of delta function: 2π(1 − β)δ
 - $eta < 1 \quad \kappa > 0 \quad {\rm positive\ curvature}$
- $\beta = 1$ $\kappa = 0$ flat
 - $\beta > 1 \quad \kappa < 0 \quad {\rm negative \ curvature}$
- Smooth out metric near vertex preserving rotational symmetry. Then Gauss-Bonnet relates curvature integral to geodesic curvature along boundary.
- Note: For $\beta > 1$ picture cannot be drawn in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Integer Angles

When β = ¹/_q with q integer, cone is just quotient of ℝ² by Z_q cyclic group of order q. In complex coordinates

$$z = u^{q}$$

and the u-plane is q-fold branched covering of z-plane.

- The standard flat metric on *u*-plane pushes down to a conical metric with $\beta = \frac{1}{q}$ on *z*-plane.
- But we can reverse the process and lift up the flat metric on z-plane to give a conical metric on u-plane with β = q.
- Note. C ≃ C/Z_q either in topology or in complex analysis (invariant functions are functions on quotient). But not in real differential geometry, which is where cones appear.

Rational Angles I.

Cones with β = ^p/_q rational occur for the correspondence between *u*-plane and *v*-plane where

$$u^q = v^p (= z)$$

The flat u-metric pushed down to z-plane and then lifted up to the v-plane becomes conical with

$$\beta = \frac{p}{q}$$


In polar coordinates if $u = e^{i\theta}$, $v = e^{i\phi}$

$$q\theta = p\phi$$
 .

Rational Angles II.

 All maps are compatible with rotation. Formally they are U(1)-equivariant where U(1) is the phase group of the w-plane, with z = w^{pq}

►



Todd genus defect I.

• $\Sigma \subset X$ codimension 2 with metric on X with (constant) angle $2\pi\beta$. Define the defect

$$\delta_{T}(\beta) = \int_{X} T_{n} - \int_{X-\Sigma} T_{n}(\beta)$$

where T_n is the Todd form of a smooth metric on X and $T_n(\beta)$ is the Todd form of the conical metric.

Theorem 1 (β)

$$\delta_{\mathcal{T}}(\beta) = \left[\frac{\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)}{x}\left\{\frac{x}{1-e^{-x}}-\frac{\beta x}{1-e^{-\beta x}}\right\}\right][\Sigma]$$

where $x \in H^2(\Sigma)$ is c_1 of normal bundle.

Todd genus defect II.

Expanding in terms of Bernoulli numbers we get

$$\begin{split} \delta_{T}(\beta) &= \\ &\left\{ \frac{1-\beta}{2} T_{n-1}(\Sigma) + \sum_{k \ge 1} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{T_{n-2k}(\Sigma) B_{k}(1-\beta^{2k})}{2k!} x^{2k-1} \right\} [\Sigma] \end{split}$$

• **Example**. dim X = 4

$$\delta ~=~ rac{1-eta}{2}(1-g)-rac{(1-eta^2)}{12}\Sigma^2$$

L-genus defect

- Theorem 2 (β)
- Similar formula to Theorem 1(β) but with L-genus instead of *T*-genus and using the formula

$$\frac{1}{\tanh x} = \frac{2}{1 - e^{-x}} - 1$$

- We get essentially same extra terms involving Bernoulli numbers but with the constant term dropped.
- Example dim X = 4 we get no dependence on the genus of Σ only a term $\frac{1 \beta^2}{3} \Sigma^2$.
- There is also Theorem 3(β) dealing with the Dirac index of a spin-manifold and more generally the Dirac index of a Spin^c-manifold where the formula is just that of the Todd-genus.

Euler characteristic defect

Theorem 1(β), 2(β) and 3(β) should be compared with the more elementary formula for the ordinary Euler characteristic *E* where the defect is just


$$(1 - \beta)E(\Sigma)$$

This just comes from the one odd Bernoulli number b₁ and is the obvious extension of the formula for dimension 2.

First Arbeitstagung 1957

- Grothendieck-Riemann Roch
- Algebraic Geometry
- K-theory of coherent sheaves, vector bundles and resolutions.
- Key components:
 - 1. K-theory of vector bundles via exact sequences.
 - K-theory of coherent sheaves isomorphic (for non-singular X) to K-theory of vector bundles: use projective resolutions.
 - 3. Definition of $f_! : K(X) \to K(Y)$ for a map $f : X \to Y$, reducing to $\chi(X)$ when $Y = \{\text{point}\}$.
 - 4. Functoriality of $f_{!}$.
 - 5. $K(X \times P_1) \cong K(X) \otimes K(P_1), K(P_1) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$

Grothendieck



First Decade of AT


- Bott Periodicity 1957
- Topological K-Theory (AH) 1959
- Index Theory (AS) 1963
- Equivariant K_G-theory (Segal) 1968
- Key Point for topological K-theory: Bott periodicity is essentially equivalent to
 (A) K(X × P₁) ≅ K(X) ⊗ K(P₁)

or

(B)
$$K_G(\mathbb{C}) \cong K_G(\text{point}) = R(G) = \mathbb{Z}[\eta, \eta^{-1}]$$

where $G = U(1)$

Bott



Basic Exact Sequence

A = origin in C, 𝒪 holomorphic functions on C, or on P₁(C), exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{Z} \mathscr{O} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_A \longrightarrow 0$$

 View this equivariantly for G = U(1) in K-theory (Grothendieck or Bott)

$$i_* : K_G(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_G(\mathbb{C})$$
 (compact support)
 $i_*(1) = 1 - \eta^{-1}$

where η is line bundle $\mathscr{O}(1)$

Localization

Pass from ring R(G) to field C(η) of rational functions. Torsion modules drop out and compact support can be ignored, so can consider element 1 and write

$$i_*^{-1}(1) \;=\; rac{1}{1-\eta^{-1}}$$

 Passing to equivariant cohomology of G, via Chern character, we get

$$\frac{1}{1 - e^{-x}} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2} + \dots$$
Clearly the polar term $\frac{1}{x}$ has to be dealt with!

Cancelling the pole

Consider the *q*-fold branched cover *u* → *z^q*. The polar terms in the difference

$$(rac{1}{1-e^{-x}}-rac{q}{1-e^{-qx}})$$

cancel, and this gives the formula appearing in Theorem 1(β) for $\beta = \frac{1}{q}$.

- Doing the same for an integer p and using the correspondence $u^q = v^p$ we get the formula for $\beta = \frac{p}{q}$.
- Continuity gives it for all β .

Proof of Theorem 1 (β) : Outline

- 1. First we note that the difference of integrals can be localized near the subspace Σ , since the two metrics can be chosen to agree elsewhere.
- This gives us U(1) symmetry and means that the contribution of the normal bundle is a universal calculation for U(1) acting on C. The formulae involve equivariant cohomology of U(1) but using the Weil model we get equivariant differential forms with basic 2-form ω representing the Chern class x.
- 3. The local calculation has been sketched above.

Weil



Further comments I.

 For function f on circle, the "distribution property" is that, for all q,

$$rac{1}{q}\sum_{\gamma}f(z\gamma) = f(z^q) \ (|z|=1)$$

 γ in the finite cyclic group of $\emph{q}\text{-th}$ roots of 1.

- 2. Hold for $f(z) = \frac{1}{1-z^{-1}}, \frac{1}{1-z}, 1$
- 3. In the space of Schwartz distributions on the circle

$$f(z) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n (a_n \text{ polynomial growth})$$

the only ones with "distribution property" are those in (2) (expanded as power series) and linear combinations.

4. The three functions in 2. correspond (essentially) to the *L*-genus, Todd-genus and Euler characteristic.

Further comments II.

5. Can extend Theorems to include the Hirzebruch χ_{γ} genus

$$\chi_y = \sum_p y^p \chi(\Omega^p)$$

- Distributional characters occur in index theory for transversally elliptic operators. Interprets the pole of f(z) at z = 1, and its appearance in the expansion of (1 e^{-x})⁻¹ at x = 0. Example: Holomorphic functions on C, graded by degree.
- 7. The limit case of $\beta = 0$ in Theorems 1 (β) and 2 (β) is of interest, and was studied (with Lebrun) in dimension 4.

Alternative Proof ?

- Use APS boundary condition for manifold with boundary.
- Boundary = normal circle bundle of Σ in X.
- Take adiabatic limit of eta invariant as circle shrinks.
- (Witten Bismut)
- Need to compare cone with cylinder ($\beta = 0$)

Generalizations

- Allow several transversal submanifolds Σ_i with different values of β
- Take positive linear combinations of metrics
- Is there a general useful formula for some large class of singular metrics? Including a polyhedral formula (cf. Gelfand-Macpherson and also classical Euler formula)
- Allow variable β?

Generalized K-theory ?

- Generalized K-theory
- Bundle of cones as generalization of line bundle ?
- Extend by direct sums (with different β)?
- Use splitting principle ?
- Related to K-theory of von Neumann algebras of type II ?
- Relation with non-commutative Geometry?