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1. Introduction

In a recent note [O], K. Oguiso uses the structure of the cohomology of compact hyperkähler manifolds ([V]) to describe the behaviour of the dynamical degrees of an automorphism of such a manifold, and makes an explicit computation in some particular cases. One of his examples is the following: he considers a K3 surface $S$ admitting two embeddings as a quartic in $\mathbb{P}^3$ (given by two different very ample line bundles $H_1$ and $H_2$). Each embedding induces an involution of the second punctual Hilbert scheme (that is, the Hilbert scheme parametrizing finite subschemes of length two) $X = \text{Hilb}^{[2]}S = S^{[2]}$, where a pair of general points $p_1, p_2$ is sent to the complement of $\{p_1, p_2\}$ in the intersection of $S$ and the line $p_1p_2$; it is shown in [B2] that this involution is regular if and only if $S$ does not contain lines. Oguiso considers the product of the two involutions and shows that this product is not induced from an automorphism of $S$, nor from any automorphism of a K3 surface $S'$ such that $S'^{[2]} \cong S^{[2]}$.

On the other hand, in the recent past a few people have been studying the question of potential density of rational points on K3 surfaces and their symmetric powers (see for example [BT], [HT]). Recall that a variety $X$ over a number field is called potentially dense if rational points on $X$ become Zariski-dense after a finite field extension. In [BT], it is proved that a K3 surface with an elliptic pencil or with infinite group of automorphisms is potentially dense. The proof proceeds by iterating rational curves by the automorphisms in the second case, and by rational self-maps coming from the elliptic fibration (i.e. by fiberwise multiplication by a suitable number) in the first case. In [HT], there are several results on potential density on symmetric powers of K3 surfaces; the point is that some of such symmetric products admit abelian fibrations with a suitable potentially dense multisection, which again can be iterated.

One might ask whether the example of Oguiso leads to a new potential density result. In fact taken as it is in [O], it does not: indeed, Oguiso starts with a K3
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surface $S$ of Picard number 2 whose intersection form represents neither 0 nor $-2$ on the Neron-Severi group, and for such $S$ the group of automorphisms is infinite (see [PS], Example in section 7). So the potential density is known already for $S$, and a fortiori for its second punctual Hilbert scheme $X$. The purpose of this note is to remark that an obvious modification of Oguiso’s example gives $K3$ surfaces of Picard number 2 which carry $(-2)$-curves (and therefore have finite automorphism group by [PS]) and no elliptic fibration, but still admit two different embeddings as quartics in $\mathbb{P}^3$. For such surfaces, potential density of the second punctual Hilbert scheme $X$ can indeed be proved using the product of the two involutions; whereas the intersection form on the Neron-Severi group of $X$ does not represent zero, and so there is no abelian fibration, in fact even no rational abelian fibration (see [AC], section 3), and thus the argument of [HT] does not apply.

In fact Oguiso follows a remark from an earlier work of K. O’Grady ([OG], subsection 4.4), where the author works in a much more general situation and proposes the symplectic manifolds equipped with two involutions satisfying certain properties as plausible candidates for a proof of potential density. However, in the explicit example given in [OG], which is $S^{[2]}$ for $S$ a general two-dimensional linear section of the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3$, $\text{Aut}(S)$ is again infinite by [PS], since the intersection form on the Neron-Severi lattice of $S$ does not represent 0 or $-2$.
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2. The example

We consider the binary quadratic form $b(x, y) = 4x^2 + 14xy + 4y^2$ (in Oguiso’s note, the form is $b'(x, y) = 4x^2 + 16xy + 4y^2$, not representing $-2$; we have chosen ours so that it does, and the results below are also valid for others $b_a(x, y) = 4x^2 + 2axy + 4y^2$, $a \geq 7$, representing $-2$: see the remark at the end of this note). This is an even indefinite form, so by [M] there are $K3$ surfaces for which $b$ is the intersection form on the Neron-Severi lattice. Let $S$ be such a $K3$ surface and let $X$ be the second punctual Hilbert scheme of $S$, so that $NS(X) \cong NS(S) \oplus \mathbb{Z}E$, where $E$ is one half of the class of the exceptional divisor of the projection $X \to S^{(2)}$, where $S^{(2)}$ denotes the symmetric square of $S$. On $NS(X)$, we have the Beauville-Bogomolov quadratic form $q$, defined up to a constant. It is well-known ([B1]) that the direct sum decomposition is orthogonal with respect to $q$ and that for a suitable choice of the constant, $q$ restricts as the intersection form to $NS(S)$ and $q(E) = -2$.

**Proposition 1**

1) $S$ does not carry an elliptic pencil, but has $(-2)$-curves; in particular $\text{Aut}(S)$ is finite.

2) $X$ is not rationally fibered in abelian surfaces (nor in other varieties of non-maximal Kodaira dimension).

**Proof:** The first part is immediate ($(3, -1)$ being an example of a $(-2)$-class),
except for the finiteness of $Aut(S)$ which is treated in [PS], section 7. For the second part, one remarks that if there is such a fibration, then the form $q$ represents zero on $NS(X)$ ([AC]). This is the same as to say that the equation $B(x, y) = 2m^2$ has integer solutions. But then $33y^2 + 8m^2$ would be a square, which is impossible (as, for example, counting modulo 3 shows).

**Proposition 2:** On $S$, there are two classes of ample line bundles with self-intersection 4. Those classes are very ample and the surface $S$ does not contain lines in the correspondent projective embeddings.

**Proof:** Let $h_1, h_2$ be the classes of the line bundles corresponding to the vectors $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ of the base in which we have written the intersection form. It is immediate to check that the intersection of a nodal class (i.e. a class with self-intersection $-2$) with $h_1$ or $h_2$ cannot be zero. Therefore, using Picard-Lefschetz reflections (that is, reflections associated to the $(-2)$-curves) if necessary, we may assume that $h_1$ is ample (the ample cone is a chamber of the positive cone with respect to Picard-Lefschetz reflections). To show that $h_2$ is also ample, it is enough to verify that the intersection of $h_1$ with every nodal class has the same sign as the intersection of $h_2$ with that class.

The nodal classes are $(x, y)$ satisfying $2x^2 + 7xy + 2y^2 + 1 = 0$, so one has $x = \frac{1}{3}(-7y \pm t)$ where $t^2 = 33y^2 - 8$, $t > 0$. Now the intersection of $(\frac{1}{3}(-7y + t), y)$ with $H_1 = (1, 0)$ is equal to $t$, so we must verify that $\frac{7}{4}(-7y + t) + 4y > 0$, or $7t - 33y > 0$. But it is immediate from $t^2 = 33y^2 - 8$, $t > 0$ that $t \geq 5y$ (and the equality holds only for $t = 5, y = 1$). So the ampleness is proved.

The very ampleness is a consequence of the results of [SD] (subsection 2.7 for the absence of base components, then Theorem 5.2 for very ampleness; here we use the fact that $S$ does not carry an elliptic pencil). The non-existence of lines follows by the same calculation as the ampleness.

**Corollary 3:** The second punctual Hilbert scheme $X = S^{[2]}$ has two regular involutions $\iota_1, \iota_2$, corresponding to the two embeddings of $S$ by $h_1$ and $h_2$.

(See [B2].)

**Proposition 4:** There exist $K3$ surfaces defined over a number field whose intersection form on the Neron-Severi lattice is as above.

**Proof:** Such a $K3$ surface over $\mathbb{C}$ is a general member of a component of the Noether-Lefschetz locus of the family of quartics in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Those components (consisting of quartics containing a curve of genus 3 and degree 7) are algebraic subvarieties defined over a number field. Now the only problem is that it could, apriori, happen that every quartic from this locus which is defined over a number field has higher Picard number; but this is ruled out by [MPV], which shows that in any family (defined over a number field) of smooth projective varieties, there are members over a number field which have the same Neron-Severi group as the general member.
3. Potential density

We now show that for $S$ defined over a number field, the second punctual Hilbert scheme $X$ is potentially dense. Observe that to each embedding of $S$ as a quartic in $\mathbb{P}^3$, one can associate a covering of $X$ by a family of surfaces birational to abelian ones: in the notations of [HT], those are the surfaces $C \times C$ where the curve $C$ runs through the family of hyperplane sections of $S$ with one double point. It is enough to show that the iterations of one such surface, defined over a number field, by $\iota_{2t_1}$ are Zariski-dense in $X$.

Let $H_1, H_2$ be the elements of $\text{NS}(X) \cong \text{NS}(S) \oplus \mathbb{Z}E$ corresponding to $h_1, h_2 \in \text{NS}(S)$ (geometrically, a divisor from the linear system $|H_i|$ parametrizes subschemes whose support meets a fixed divisor from $|h_i|$).

Recall from [O] that $\iota_1^*H_k = 3H_k - 4E$ and $\iota_2^*E = 2H_k - 3E$, where $k = 1, 2$. Moreover the same computation as in [O] gives:

$$
\iota_1^*H_2 = 7H_1 - 7E - H_2, \quad \iota_2^*H_1 = 7H_2 - 7E - H_1.
$$

Therefore, in the basis $\{H_1, E, H_2\}$ of $\text{NS}(X)$, the involutions $\iota_1^*, \iota_2^*$ are given by the matrices

$$
M_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
3 & 2 & 7 \\
-4 & -3 & -7 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix},
M_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
-7 & -3 & -4 \\
7 & 2 & 3
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

The product $(\iota_2 \iota_1)^*$ is thus represented by the matrix

$$
M_1 M_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
32 & 8 & 13 \\
-24 & -5 & -9 \\
-7 & -2 & -3
\end{pmatrix}
$$

on $\text{NS}(X)$, and is the identity on its orthogonal complement in the second cohomologies of $X$ (indeed, because $h^{2,0}(X) = 1$, this complement is an irreducible Hodge substructure, whereas $(\iota_2 \iota_1)^*$ has to fix the holomorphic symplectic form).

**Lemma 5:** No effective divisor on $X$ is invariant under $\iota_2 \iota_1$.

**Proof:** The only divisor classes which are invariant under $(\iota_2 \iota_1)^*$ are multiples of $L = 2H_1 - 11E + 2H_2$. These are not effective since, for instance, the class $A = H_1 - E$ is ample (this is the inverse image of the Plücker hyperplane section by the natural finite morphism $X \to G(1,3)$, corresponding to the embedding of $S$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$ by $h_1$ and sending a subscheme $Z \subset S$ to the only line in $\mathbb{P}^3$ containing $S$), but its Beauville-Bogomolov intersection with $L$ is zero.

Let now $C_1$ be a hyperplane section of $S$ with one double point in the projective embedding given by $h_1$, and let $\Delta_1$ be the class of the surface $C_1 \times C_1$ in the cohomology of $X$. 

4
Proposition 6: The surface $C_1 * C_1$ is not periodic by $\iota_{2\iota_1}$.

Proof: It suffices to prove that $\Delta_1$ is not periodic. Since $H^4(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cong S^2H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ (from the description of the cohomologies of a symmetric square and the fact that the Hilbert-Chow morphism is just the blow-up of the diagonal in this case) and we know the eigenvalues of $(\iota_{2\iota_1})^*\Delta_1 \neq \Delta_1$, or, since $\Delta_1$ is invariant by $\iota_1$ and $\iota_1$, $\iota_2$ are involutions, that $\iota_2^*\Delta_1 \neq \Delta_1$. This in turn shall follow once we compute that

$$\Delta_1 \cdot E^2 \neq \Delta_1 \cdot \iota_2^*E^2 = \Delta_1 \cdot (2H_2 - 3E)^2.$$ 

Let $T_p \subset X$ be the surface parametrizing the length-two subschemes of $S$ containing a given point $p$, and let $\Sigma$ be the class of $T_p$ (obviously not depending on $p$). Since the class $H_1$ on $X$ is the class of a divisor parametrizing subschemes having some support on the corresponding divisor on $S$, we have

$$\Delta_1 = H_1^2 - q(H_1)\Sigma = H_1^2 - 4\Sigma.$$ 

Furthermore, $T_p$ is identified with the blow-up of $S$ in $p$ and $E$ restricts to $T_p$ as the exceptional divisor, thus $\Sigma \cdot E^2 = -1$. It is also clear from the geometry that

$$\Sigma \cdot H_1^2 = \Sigma \cdot H_1^2 = q(H_1) = 4.$$ 

Indeed, the intersection $\Sigma \cdot H_1^2$ is the sum of the cycles supported on $p$ and an intersection point of two general divisors from $|h_1|$; as we know, there are four such intersection points, which give four points of $X$.

Moreover, for any two divisor classes $\alpha, \beta$ on $X$, one has

$$\alpha^2 \cdot \beta^2 = q(\alpha)q(\beta) + 2q(\alpha, \beta)^2$$

(where, by abuse of notation, we denote by the same letter $q$ the quadratic form and the associated bilinear form), and

$$E \cdot \alpha \cdot \beta^2 = 0$$

([B1]). Thus

$$\Delta_1 \cdot E^2 = (H_1^2 - 4\Sigma)E^2 = -8 + 4 = -4,$$

and

$$\Delta_1 \cdot (2H_2 - 3E)^2 = (H_1^2 - 4\Sigma)(4H_2^2 - 12H_2E + 9E^2) > 0.$$ 

To sum up, we have the following

Theorem 7: If the $K3$ surface $S$ as above is defined over a number field, rational points are potentially dense on $X = S^{[2]}$.

Proof: Let $C = C_1$ be a curve as above, defined over a number field. Since $p_g(C) = 2$, $C * C$ is birational to an abelian surface and hence has potentially dense
rational points. Thus it suffices to show that the union of surfaces $\left(\iota_2 \iota_1\right)^k(C \ast C)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is Zariski-dense in $X$. By the preceding proposition, there is an infinite number of such surfaces, so if their union is not Zariski-dense in $X$, its Zariski closure is a divisor. But such a divisor would be invariant by $\iota_2 \iota_1$, and this contradicts Lemma 5.

Remark: In the beginning, we could have taken the binary quadratic form $b_a(x, y) = 4x^2 + 2axy + 4y^2$ with an arbitrary $a > 4$. And indeed, as soon as this form represents $-2$, we have exactly the same results as above, up to one exceptional case where $a = 5$. In this case, $v = (1, -1)$ is a $(-2)$-class, and the basis vectors have intersection of different signs with $v$. Since either $v$ or $-v$ is effective, those basis vectors cannot both represent ample (or anti-ample) classes, even up to Picard-Lefschetz reflections. On the other hand, for $a = 5$ (and only for $a = 5$) the form $b_a$ represents zero as well, so that the corresponding $K3$ surface is elliptic and therefore potentially dense.

For a general $a \geq 7$, the numbers are as follows:

$$\iota_1^* H_2 = aH_1 - aE - H_2;$$

$(\iota_2 \iota_1)^*$ on $NS(X)$ has 1 as an eigenvalue of multiplicity one, the correspondent eigenvector is $2H_1 - (a + 4)E + 2H_2$ and it is not effective by the same reason as before. The other two eigenvalues are not roots of unity. Thus $\Delta_1$ is invariant if periodic, and its non-invariance is checked in the same way as above.
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