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## Introduction

Plateau problem consists in minimizing the area of a surface spanning a boundary. It is inspired by soap films.
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## Introduction

An ideal formulation of Plateau problem would...
(1) ..define the class of "surfaces spanning a given boundary" (also called competitors) and their "area";
(2) ..lend itself to the direct method of the calculus of variation;
(3) ..stay close to Plateau's original motivations: describing soap films.

## The formulation of Rado and Douglas (1930s)

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{2} & =\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1\right\} \\
S^{1} & =\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid x^{2}+y^{2}=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\Gamma$ be a Jordan curve in $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ which plays the role of a boundary.
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## The formulation of Rado and Douglas (1930s)

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{2} & =\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1\right\} \\
S^{1} & =\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \mid x^{2}+y^{2}=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\Gamma$ be a Jordan curve in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ which plays the role of a boundary.
(1) A surface spanning $\Gamma$ is defined as a continuous map $f: D^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{3}$ such that $f$ sends $S^{1}$ homemorphically onto $\Gamma$.
(2) The area of such a surface is defined as the total variation of $f$ (also called the area-integral or area functional).

## The formulation of Federer and Fleming (1960s)

Federer and Fleming work with integral currents and minimize their mass (an area computed with multiplicity). They have developped the flat convergence for which integral currents enjoy a compactness principle and the mass is lower semicontinuous.

## The formulation of Reifenberg (1960s)

Reifenberg works with sets of the Euclidean space which span a boundary in the sense of algebraic topology and minimizes their (spherical) Hausdorff measure. A set $E$ spans the boundary $\Gamma$ if $E$ contains $\Gamma$ and cancels its generators.

## Reifenberg competitors

Fix $\Gamma$ a closed subset of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and let $L$ be a subgroup of the homology group $H_{d-1}(\Gamma)$.
Definition (Reifenberg competitors)
A Reifenberg competitor is a compact subset $E \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that $E$ contains $\Gamma$ and the morphism induced by inclusion,

$$
H_{d-1}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow H_{d-1}(E \cup \Gamma),
$$

is zero on $L$.

## Hausdorff measures

Definition (Hausdorff measure $H^{d}$ )
$H^{d}(E):=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \inf \left\{\sum_{k} \operatorname{diam}\left(A_{k}\right)^{d} \mid E=\cup_{k} A_{k}, \operatorname{diam}\left(A_{k}\right) \leq \delta\right\}$
where $\left(A_{k}\right)$ is a sequence of balls.


Figure: Computing the $H^{1}$ measure of a spiral

## Sliding deformations

We fix $\Gamma$ a closed subset of $\mathrm{R}^{n}$.
Definition (Sliding deformation along a boundary)
Let $E$ be a closed, $H^{d}$-locally finite subset of $\mathrm{R}^{n}$. A sliding deformation of $E$ is a Lipschitz map $f: E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that there exists a continuous homotopy $F: I \times E \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{n}$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{0}=\mathrm{id} \quad \& \quad F_{1}=f \\
& \forall t \in[0,1], F_{t}(E \cap \Gamma) \subset \Gamma \\
& \forall t \in[0,1], \quad F_{t}=\mathrm{id} \text { in } E \backslash K
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K$ is some compact subset of $E$.


Figure: Fixed boundary; $f=\mathrm{id}$ on $\Gamma$.


Figure: Free boundary; $f(E \cap \Gamma) \subset \Gamma$.

## Sliding competitors

Definition (Sliding competitors)
We fix $E_{0}$ a compact, $H^{d}$ finite subset of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. The sliding competitors induced by $E_{0}$ are the images of $E_{0}$ under sliding deformations.

Unknown existence!

## Minimal sets

A (sliding) minimal set is a closed, $H^{d}$-locally finite sets $E \subset \mathrm{R}^{n}$ such that for every sliding deformation $f$ of $E$,

$$
H^{d}(E \cap W) \leq H^{d}(f(E \cap W))
$$

where $H^{d}$ is the $d$-dimensional Hausdorff measure and $W$ is the set

$$
W=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \mid f(x) \neq x\right\} .
$$

A few results are known about their regularities.
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Figure: Soap films spanning the skeleton of a tetrahedron (left) and the skeleton of a cube (right).
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## Minimal sets in small dimensions

Minimal cones (without boundaries)
(1) $\mathbf{d}=1$ : a line or three half-lines making an angle of $\frac{2 \pi}{3}$ in a plane.
(2) $\mathbf{d}=\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{n}=3$ : planes, three half-planes making an angle of $\frac{2 \pi}{3}$, the cone passing through the edges of a regular tetrahedron centered at 0 .
(3) $\mathbf{n}$ : No complete list..

## Minimal sets in small dimensions

Theorem (Jean Taylor)
We work in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ with $d=2$. We define

$$
E^{*}=\left\{x \in E \mid \forall r>0, H^{d}(E \cap B(x, r))>0\right\}
$$

Every point of $E^{*} \backslash \Gamma$ admits a neighborhood in which $E$ is $C^{1}$-diffeomorph to a minimal cone.

## Alhfors-regularity and rectifiability

## Proposition

Let

$$
E^{*}=\left\{x \in E \mid \forall r>0, H^{d}(E \cap B(x, r))>0\right\}
$$

There exist constants $C>1$ (depending on $n, \Gamma$ ) and $\delta>0$ (depending on $n, \Gamma$ ) such that for all $x \in E^{*}$, for all $0<r \leq \delta$,

$$
C^{-1} r^{d} \leq H^{d}(E \cap B(x, r)) \leq C r^{d} .
$$

Moreover, $E$ is $H^{d}$ rectifiable.


Figure: A $H^{1}$ rectifiable set.
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## Direct method

Strategy initiated by De Lellis, De Philippis, De Rosa, Ghiraldin and Maggi.

## Proposition

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a nonempty class of closed, $H^{d}$-finite subsets $E \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$. We assume that for all $E_{0} \in \mathcal{C}$, for all sliding deformation $f$ of $E_{0}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$,

$$
\inf \left\{H^{d}(E) \mid E \in \mathcal{C}\right\} \leq H^{d}\left(f\left(E_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

If $\left(E_{k}\right)$ is a minimizing sequence of the Hausdorff measure $H^{d}$ in $\mathcal{C}$, then, up to a subsequence, there exists a sliding minimal set $E$ such that

$$
H^{d} L E_{k} \rightharpoonup H^{d} L E .
$$

In particular $H^{d}(E) \leq \inf \left\{H^{d}(E) \mid E \in \mathcal{C}\right\}$.

## Solution of the Reifenberg problem...

Theorem (...away from the boundary)
We assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\inf \left\{H^{d}(E \backslash \Gamma) \mid E \text { Reifenberg }\right\}<\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that there exists a compact set $C \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\inf \left\{H^{d}(E \backslash \Gamma) \mid E \text { Reifenberg, } E \subset C\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a Reifenberg competitor $E \subset C$ such that $H^{d}(E \backslash \Gamma)=m$.

## Solution of the Reifenberg problem...

Theorem (...with the boundary)
We assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\inf \left\{H^{d}(E) \mid E \text { Reifenberg }\right\}<\infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that there exists a compact set $C \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\inf \left\{H^{d}(E) \mid E \text { Reifenberg, } E \subset C\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a Reifenberg competitor $E \subset C$ such that $H^{d}(E)=m$.

Fin

