

# Erratum to Spiraling spectra of geodesic lines in negatively curved manifolds

Jouni Parkkonen      Frédéric Paulin

The correct statement of Proposition 1.4 of [PP] is the following one.

**Proposition 1.4** *For the Golden Ratio  $\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ , we have  $K_\phi = 3/\sqrt{5} - 1 \approx 0.34$ , and  $K_\phi$  is not isolated in  $\text{Sp}_\phi$ .*

The proof of Proposition 1.4 follows from the following corrected version of Proposition 4.11.

**Proposition 4.11** *Let  $\Gamma_0$  be the cyclic subgroup of  $\Gamma = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  generated by  $\gamma_1 = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and let  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2, \Gamma, \Gamma_0, C_\infty)$ . Then  $K_{\mathcal{D}} = 3/\sqrt{5} - 1$ , and  $K_{\mathcal{D}}$  is not isolated in the approximation spectrum  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{D})$ .*

*Proof.* The penultimate sentence of the proof of [PP, Prop. 4.11] is incorrect. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $L_1, \gamma_n$  be as in the original version of the proof, and let  $A_n$  be the geodesic line from  $\infty$  to the repelling fixed point  $\gamma_n^-$  of  $\gamma_n$ . In order to compute the (strictly increasing) limit, as  $n$  tends to  $+\infty$ , of the approximation constant  $c(\gamma_n^-)$  of  $\gamma_n^-$  (using its expression given by Eq. (11) in [PP]), we need not only to consider the  $\Gamma$ -translates of  $L_1$  intersecting  $A_n$  and to minimise  $1 - \cos \theta$  where  $\theta$  is its intersection angle, but also to consider the  $\Gamma$ -translates of  $L_1$  not intersecting  $A_n$  and to minimise  $\cosh \ell - 1$  where  $\ell$  is its distance to  $A_n$ .

Consider the common perpendicular arc between the translation axis  $L_n$  of  $\gamma_n$  and a disjoint  $\Gamma$ -translate of  $L_1$ . By the symmetry at  $i$  and the computation (done in the original version of the proof) of the translation length of  $\gamma_n$ , we may restrict to the case when the endpoint on  $L_n$  of this common perpendicular arc lies between  $i$  and  $i + n$ . Let  $L$  be the translate by  $z \mapsto z + 1$  of  $L_1$ , whose points at infinity are  $\frac{3 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$ . Clearly (see in particular the picture in the original version of the proof), the common perpendicular arc  $\delta_n$  between  $L_n$  and  $L$  realises the minimum distance between  $L_n$  and a  $\Gamma$ -translate of  $L_1$  disjoint from  $L_n$  whose closest point on  $L_n$  lies between  $i$  and  $i + n$ . As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the segments  $\delta_n$  converge (with strictly increasing lengths) to the common perpendicular arc  $\delta_\infty$  between the positive imaginary axis and  $L$ . Since  $\delta_\infty$  is contained in the Euclidean unit circle (which is the angle bisector through  $i$  of the equilateral geodesic triangle with vertices  $i, 1 + i, \frac{1+i}{2}$ ), its hyperbolic length is  $\text{argcosh} \frac{3}{\sqrt{5}}$  by an easy computation. Since we analysed the contribution of the  $\Gamma$ -translates of  $L_1$  that intersect  $L_n$  in the original version of the proof, and since  $\frac{3}{\sqrt{5}} - 1 < 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$ , the (strictly increasing) limit of  $c(\gamma_n^-)$  is  $\frac{3}{\sqrt{5}} - 1$ .

To conclude, we also need to improve the last claim of the second paragraph of the proof of [PP, Prop. 4.11]. Let  $T$  be a triangle as in this second paragraph. The distance from a geodesic line  $\gamma$  meeting  $T$  to the geodesic line containing the side of  $T$  which is not cut by  $\gamma$  is maximal when  $\gamma$  goes through its opposite vertex and is perpendicular to

the angle bisector of  $T$  at this vertex. This distance is equal to  $\operatorname{argcosh} \frac{3}{\sqrt{5}}$  by the above computation. Since we analysed the contribution of the sides of  $T$  intersecting  $\gamma$  in the original version of the proof, and since  $\frac{3}{\sqrt{5}} - 1 < 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$ , we have  $c(\xi) \leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{5}} - 1$  for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ . The result follows.  $\square$

We are grateful to Yann Bugeaud for pointing out the mistake. See [Bug] for an arithmetic proof of the above result.

## References

- [Bug] Y. Bugeaud. On the quadratic Lagrange spectrum. Preprint 2012.
- [PP] J. Parkkonen and F. Paulin. Spiraling spectra of geodesic lines in negatively curved manifolds. *Math. Z.* **268** (2011) 101–142.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O. Box 35  
40014 University of Jyväskylä, FINLAND.  
*e-mail:* [jouni.t.parkkonen@jyu.fi](mailto:jouni.t.parkkonen@jyu.fi)

Département de mathématique, UMR 8628 CNRS, Bât. 425  
Université Paris-Sud, 91405 ORSAY Cedex, FRANCE  
*e-mail:* [frederic.paulin@math.u-psud.fr](mailto:frederic.paulin@math.u-psud.fr)