# Fixed points of actions of building groups on CAT(0) spaces 

P. Pansu

October 23, 2006

## Motivation

Notation
If $\mathcal{Y}$ is a class of metric spaces, $F \mathcal{Y}$ is the class of finitely generated groups $\Gamma$ such that every isometric action of $\Gamma$ on a space $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ fixes a point.
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## Examples

- $\mathcal{A}=\{$ simplicial trees $\}$. Then $F \mathcal{A}$ is the class of groups which do not split as amalgamated sums (Bass-Serre).
- $\mathcal{H}=\{$ Hilbert spaces $\}$. Then $F \mathcal{H} \Leftrightarrow(T)$ (Delorme-Guichardet).
- $\mathcal{L}^{p}=\left\{L^{p}(X, \mu)\right\}$. Then $F \mathcal{L}^{p}$ is useful in connection with local rigidity of smooth actions on compact manifolds (Fisher-Margulis).
- $\mathcal{S I}=\left\{\right.$ symmetric spaces and Euclidean buildings of type $\tilde{A}_{n}$, all $\left.n\right\}$. Then $F \mathcal{I I} \Leftrightarrow$ finite representation type (Bass ?).
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## Conjecture

(Gromov, 2003). Random groups in suitable models have FSI.
This talk: (yet) unsuccessful attempt to prove this.
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## Definition

Let $C$ be a finite simplicial 2-complex. Put on each edge a weight equal to the number of faces that contain it, put on each vertex the total weight of edges containing it.
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Let $X$ be a simplicial 2-complex with a cocompact action of a group $\Gamma$. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is equivariant, define

$$
E(g)=\sum_{e \text { edge of } \Gamma \backslash x} m(e) d(f(\operatorname{ori}(\tilde{e})), f(\text { end }(\tilde{e})))^{2}
$$

where ẽ denotes a lift of e to $X$. Say $f$ is harmonic if it minimizes energy among equivariant maps.

## Proposition

Let $Y$ be $C A T(0)$. Then an equivariant map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is harmonic if and only if for each vertex $x$ of $X, f(x)$ coincides with the barycenter of $f_{\mid l i n k(x)}$, i.e. the unique point of $Y$ which minimizes the weighted sum of squares of distances to the images of the neighbours of $X$.

## Existence of harmonic maps

## Theorem

(Stated by Gromov, 2002). Let $\mathcal{Y} \subset C A T(0)$ be closed under taking asymptotic cones. Then a finitely generated group $\Gamma$ has $F \mathcal{Y}$ if and only if every $\Gamma$-equivariant harmonic map $X \rightarrow Y$ has to be constant.

## Existence of harmonic maps

## Theorem

(Stated by Gromov, 2002). Let $\mathcal{Y} \subset C A T(0)$ be closed under taking asymptotic cones. Then a finitely generated group $\Gamma$ has FY if and only if every $\Gamma$-equivariant harmonic map $X \rightarrow Y$ has to be constant.

Proof. (Following U. Mayer, H. Izeki/T. Kondo/S. Nayatani).

1. (Jost, Mayer). Gradient $\nabla E$ makes sense. Heat flow $f_{t}$ exists for all $t \geq 0$. It satisfies $\frac{\partial E\left(f_{t}\right)}{\partial t}=-|\nabla E|^{2}\left(f_{t}\right)$.
2. If there exists a constant $C$ such that for all $t>0, E\left(f_{t}\right) \leq C|\nabla E|^{2}\left(f_{t}\right)$, then $f_{t}$ converges to a constant map.
3. Otherwise, along a subsequence, $E\left(f_{t}\right)^{-1 / 2}|\nabla E|\left(f_{t}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Pick nonprincipal ultrafilter $\omega$. Rescale $(Y, d)$ to $Y_{t}=\left(Y, E\left(f_{t}\right)^{-1 / 2} d\right)$. Then $\lim _{\omega} f_{t}=f_{\omega}: X \rightarrow Y_{\omega}$ is non constant, harmonic and equivariant for a limiting action.
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$$
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(If $Y$ is CAT(0), it is the $L^{2}$ distance of map $g$ to its barycenter).
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## Example

When $Y=\mathbb{R}$, the bottom of spectrum equals the smallest positive eigenvalue of the combinatorial Laplacian $\Delta g(c)=\sum_{\text {neighbours } c^{\prime} \text { of } c} m\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)\left(g(c)-g\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

## Garland's formula

Discovered by H. Garland in 1972 for compact quotients of Euclidean buildings, A. Borel (1973) for arbitrary simplicial complexes. A. Zuk applied it to prove Kazhdan's property. Nonlinear version due to M.T. Wang (1998).
Theorem
(H. Garland, 1972, M.T. Wang, 1998). Let $X$ be a simplicial complex, $\Gamma$ a uniform lattice in $X$, acting isometricly on a metric space $Y$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an equivariant map. For $x \in X$, denote by

$$
E D(f, x)=\frac{1}{2} d\left(f_{\mid \operatorname{link}(x)}, f(x)\right)^{2}
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(where links inherit weights from $X$ ). Then

$$
E(f)=\sum_{x \in\ulcorner\backslash X} E D(f, \tilde{x})
$$

If furthermore $f$ is harmonic, then
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E(f)=2 \sum_{x \in\lceil\backslash x} R Q\left(f_{\mid l i n k(x)}\right) E D(f, x) .
$$
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## Comparison to tangent cones
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Let $Y$ be geodesic and CAT(0). If $s, s^{\prime}$ are geodesics emanating from $y \in Y$, let
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(nondecreasing limit). Identify $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ if $d\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)=0$. This gives a metric space, denoted by $T_{y} Y$, with a distance nonincreasing map $\pi_{y}: Y \rightarrow T_{y} Y$.
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(M.T. Wang, 1998). Let $C$ be a finite weighted graph. Let $Y$ be a geodesic CAT(0) metric space. Then

$$
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## Corollary

Let $\Gamma$ act cocompactly on $X$. Let $\mathcal{Y}_{\epsilon}$ denote the family of CAT(0) spaces $Y$ such that for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$,

$$
\lambda\left(\operatorname{link}(x), T_{y} Y\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon
$$

Then $\Gamma \in F \mathcal{Y}_{\epsilon}$.

## Examples of bottoms of spectra

## Theorem

(H. Izeki/S. Nayatani, 2004).

1. If $Y$ is a tree, then for every finite weighted graph $C, \lambda(C, Y)=\lambda(C, \mathbb{R})$.
2. If $Y$ is the building associated with $S I\left(3, \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$, then for every finite weighted graph $C, \lambda(C, Y) \geq 0.5878 \lambda(C, \mathbb{R})$.
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## Theorem

(H. Izeki/S. Nayatani, 2004).

1. If $Y$ is a tree, then for every finite weighted graph $C, \lambda(C, Y)=\lambda(C, \mathbb{R})$.
2. If $Y$ is the building associated with $S I\left(3, \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$, then for every finite weighted graph $C, \lambda(C, Y) \geq 0.5878 \lambda(C, \mathbb{R})$.

## Corollary

If $\lambda(\operatorname{link}(x), \mathbb{R})>\frac{1}{2}$ for all vertices $x \in X, \Gamma \in F \mathcal{M}$ where $\mathcal{M}$ is the class obtained by taking products of trees, Hilbert spaces and simply connected nonpositively curved manifolds.
To add the building associated with $S I\left(3, \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$, one needs require $\lambda(\operatorname{link}(x), \mathbb{R})>0.8507$.

## Random groups

Observe that every group presentation can be modified, by adding generators, so that all relators have length 3 .

Consider presentations on $m$ fixed generators and $(2 m-1)^{3 d}$ relators chosen independently at random among the $(2 m-1)^{3}$ possibilities. We are interested in properties which are satisfied with overwhelming probability as $m$ tends to infinity. Such a property is said to be satisfied by a random group in density $d$.
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Proof. (very rough idea)
The Cayley complex has links which look like random graphs. Such graphs (M. Broder and E. Shamir, 1987) have bottom of spectra which tend to 1 as $m$ tends to infinity.

## Corollary

Random groups in density $>\frac{1}{3}$ are $F \mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ where $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ is the class obtained by taking products of trees, Hilbert spaces, simply connected nonpositively curved manifolds and the building associated with $S I\left(3, \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$.

## Towards property FCAT (0) ?

Theorem
(M. Gromov, 2001). Let $C_{k}$ denote the $k$-cycle. Then, for every CAT(0) space $Y$,

$$
\lambda\left(C_{k}, Y\right)=\lambda\left(C_{k}, \mathbb{R}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left|1-e^{2 i \pi / k}\right|^{2}
$$

In particular, $\lambda\left(C_{6}, Y\right)=\frac{1}{2}$.
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## Theorem

(M. Gromov, 2001). Let $C_{k}$ denote the $k$-cycle. Then, for every CAT(0) space $Y$,

$$
\lambda\left(C_{k}, Y\right)=\lambda\left(C_{k}, \mathbb{R}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left|1-e^{2 i \pi / k}\right|^{2} .
$$

In particular, $\lambda\left(C_{6}, Y\right)=\frac{1}{2}$.
Proof. Introduce

$$
F(g)=\frac{1}{2 \sum m(c)} \sum_{c, c^{\prime} \in C} m(c) m\left(c^{\prime}\right) d\left(g(c), g\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

Then $d(g, \operatorname{bar}(g))^{2} \leq F(g)$ with equality when $Y$ is a Hilbert space.
Given $g: C_{k} \rightarrow Y$, extend $g$ to a geodesic polygon, then to a ruled disk $f: D \rightarrow Y$. Since $D$ has nonpositive curvature, there exists an embedding $g^{\prime}: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ which is isometric on the boundary and does not decrease other distances (Yu. Reshetnyak, 1968). Thus $E\left(g^{\prime}\right)=E(g)$ and

$$
d\left(g^{\prime}, \operatorname{bar}\left(g^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}=F\left(g^{\prime}\right) \geq F(g) \geq d(g, \operatorname{bar}(g))^{2},
$$

thus $R Q\left(g^{\prime}\right) \leq R Q(g)$.

## Sharp Integralgeometrie

## Definition

Let $C$ be a weighted graph, $Y$ a metric space. Define

$$
\lambda^{G r o}(C, Y)=\inf _{g: C \rightarrow Y} R Q^{\text {Gro }}(g) \quad \text { where } \quad R Q^{G r o}(g)=\frac{E(g)}{F(g)}
$$

If $Y$ is geodesic $\operatorname{CAT}(0), \lambda^{\text {Gro }}(C, Y) \leq \lambda(C, Y)$, with equality when $Y$ is a Hilbert space.
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Theorem
Let $C$ be the incidence graph of a finite projective plane. Let $Y$ be an arbitrary geodesic CAT(0) space. Then

$$
\lambda^{\text {Gro }}(C, Y)=R Q^{G r o}(\iota)
$$

where $\iota: C \rightarrow I$ is the embedding of $C$ in the cone over $C$, for instance, as the link of a vertex in a Euclidean building of type $\tilde{A}_{2}$.
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## Theorem

Let $C$ be the incidence graph of a finite projective plane. Let $Y$ be an arbitrary geodesic CAT(0) space. Then

$$
\lambda^{G r o}(C, Y)=R Q^{G r o}(\iota)
$$

where $\iota: C \rightarrow I$ is the embedding of $C$ in the cone over $C$, for instance, as the link of a vertex in a Euclidean building of type $\tilde{A}_{2}$.

Proof. In the incidence graph of a finite projective plane, the number of 6-cycles containing two given vertices depends only on their distance. Sum up Gromov's estimate on $F$ for all 6 -cycles. q.e.d.

## Sharp Integralgeometrie

## Definition

Let $C$ be a weighted graph, $Y$ a metric space. Define

$$
\lambda^{G r o}(C, Y)=\inf _{g: C \rightarrow Y} R Q^{\text {Gro }}(g) \quad \text { where } \quad R Q^{G r o}(g)=\frac{E(g)}{F(g)}
$$

If $Y$ is geodesic $\operatorname{CAT}(0), \lambda^{\text {Gro }}(C, Y) \leq \lambda(C, Y)$, with equality when $Y$ is a Hilbert space.

## Theorem

Let $C$ be the incidence graph of a finite projective plane. Let $Y$ be an arbitrary geodesic CAT(0) space. Then

$$
\lambda^{\text {Gro }}(C, Y)=R Q^{\text {Gro }}(\iota)
$$

where $\iota: C \rightarrow I$ is the embedding of $C$ in the cone over $C$, for instance, as the link of a vertex in a Euclidean building of type $\tilde{A}_{2}$.

Proof. In the incidence graph of a finite projective plane, the number of 6-cycles containing two given vertices depends only on their distance. Sum up Gromov's estimate on $F$ for all 6 -cycles. q.e.d.

Unfortunately, $R Q^{\text {Gro }}(\iota)<\frac{1}{2}$. Note that $R Q(\iota)=\frac{1}{2}$.

## Coarse Integralgeometrie

## Proposition

Let $Y$ be complete geodesic CAT(0). Let $C$ be a finite graph. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a family of loops of length $k$ (i.e. maps of the $k$-cycle to $C$ ). Denote by

- $p$ the smallest number of loops in $\mathcal{L}$ which contain a given pair of vertices of $C$.
- $Q$ the largest number of loops in $\mathcal{L}$ which contain a given edge.
- A the number of edges of $C$.

Then

$$
\frac{Q}{p}<\frac{A}{k} \frac{1}{2}\left|1-e^{2 i \pi / k}\right|^{2} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \lambda(C, Y) \geq \lambda^{G r o}(C, Y)>\frac{1}{2}
$$

## Conjecture

A random graph with $n$ vertices and degree $\sim n^{1 / 3}$ admits a family of loops of length 6 that satisfies the above assumptions.

With some work, this might imply Gromov's conjecture for the density model of random groups in density $>1 / 3$.

## Proof of coarse integralgeometric estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} F(g \circ \ell) & =\frac{1}{4 k} \sum_{\ell} \sum_{z, z^{\prime} \in C_{k}} d\left(g \circ \ell(z), g \circ \ell\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{p}{4 k} \sum_{c, c^{\prime} \in C} d\left(g(c), g\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}=\frac{p A}{k} F(g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of coarse integralgeometric estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} F(g \circ \ell) & =\frac{1}{4 k} \sum_{\ell} \sum_{z, z^{\prime} \in C_{k}} d\left(g \circ \ell(z), g \circ \ell\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{p}{4 k} \sum_{c, c^{\prime} \in C} d\left(g(c), g\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}=\frac{p A}{k} F(g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{G r o}\left(C_{k}, Y\right) \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} F(g \circ \ell) & \leq \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} E(g \circ \ell) \\
& =\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L} e} \sum_{\text {edge of }} d(g \circ \ell(\text { ori }(e)), g \circ \ell(\text { end }(e)))^{2} \\
& \leq Q \sum_{e^{\prime} \text { edge of } C} d\left(g\left(\operatorname{ori}\left(e^{\prime}\right)\right), g\left(\operatorname{end}\left(e^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& =Q E(g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of coarse integralgeometric estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} F(g \circ \ell) & =\frac{1}{4 k} \sum_{\ell} \sum_{z, z^{\prime} \in C_{k}} d\left(g \circ \ell(z), g \circ \ell\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{p}{4 k} \sum_{c, c^{\prime} \in C} d\left(g(c), g\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}=\frac{p A}{k} F(g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{G r o}\left(C_{k}, Y\right) \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} F(g \circ \ell) & \leq \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} E(g \circ \ell) \\
& =\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L} e} \sum_{\text {edge of }} d(g \circ \ell(\operatorname{ori}(e)), g \circ \ell(\text { end }(e)))^{2} \\
& \leq Q \sum_{e^{\prime} \text { edge of } C} d\left(g\left(\operatorname{ori}\left(e^{\prime}\right)\right), g\left(\operatorname{end}\left(e^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& =Q E(g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
R Q(g) \geq R Q^{G r o}(g) \geq \frac{p A}{Q k} \lambda^{G r o}\left(C_{k}, Y\right)=\frac{p A}{Q k} \frac{1}{2}\left|1-e^{2 i \pi / k}\right|^{2} .
$$

## Wirtinger inequalities

## Definition

Given g: $C \rightarrow Y$, let

$$
E_{j}(g)=\sum_{\left\{c, c^{\prime} \in C, d\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)=j\right\}} d\left(g(c), g\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} .
$$

## Wirtinger inequalities

Definition
Given $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$, let

$$
E_{j}(g)=\sum_{\left\{c, c^{\prime} \in C, d\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)=j\right\}} d\left(g(c), g\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} .
$$

## Theorem

(Gromov's generalization of Wirtinger inequality, 2001). Let $Y$ be CAT(0). Consider maps $g: C_{k} \rightarrow Y$. For every $j$, the ratio $\frac{E(g)}{E_{j}(g)}$ is minimum when $Y=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $g$ maps onto a regular k-gon.

## Wirtinger inequalities

## Definition

Given $g: C \rightarrow Y$, let

$$
E_{j}(g)=\sum_{\left\{c, c^{\prime} \in C, d\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)=j\right\}} d\left(g(c), g\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

## Theorem

(Gromov's generalization of Wirtinger inequality, 2001). Let $Y$ be CAT(0). Consider maps $g: C_{k} \rightarrow Y$. For every $j$, the ratio $\frac{E(g)}{E_{j}(g)}$ is minimum when $Y=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $g$ maps onto a regular k-gon.

## Proposition

Let $Y$ be complete geodesic CAT(0). Let $C$ be a finite graph. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a family of isometricly embedded $k$-cycles in $C$. Assume that for each pair $c, c^{\prime} \in C$, the number $N_{j}$ of cycles from $\mathcal{L}$ passing through $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ depends only on $j=d\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)$. Assume that $C$ admits an isometric embedding $\iota: C \rightarrow I$ to a metric space $I$, such that each cycle of $\mathcal{L}$ is mapped into a Euclidean plane isometricly embedded in I. Then

$$
\lambda^{G r o}(C, Y)=R Q^{G r o}(\iota)
$$

## Proof of sharp integralgeometric estimate

For each $j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} E_{j}(g \circ \ell) & =\frac{1}{4 k} \sum_{\ell} \sum_{z, z^{\prime} \in C_{k}, d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=j} d\left(g \circ \ell(z), g \circ \ell\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{N_{j}}{4 k} \sum_{c, c^{\prime} \in C} d\left(g(c), g\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}=\frac{N_{j} A}{k} E_{j}(g)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of sharp integralgeometric estimate

For each $j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} E_{j}(g \circ \ell) & =\frac{1}{4 k} \sum_{\ell} \sum_{z, z^{\prime} \in C_{k}, d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=j} d\left(g \circ \ell(z), g \circ \ell\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{N_{j}}{4 k} \sum_{c, c^{\prime} \in C} d\left(g(c), g\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}=\frac{N_{j} A}{k} E_{j}(g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{E\left(C_{k}, Y\right)}{E_{j}\left(C_{k}, Y\right)} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} E_{j}(g \circ \ell) & \leq \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} E(g \circ \ell) \\
& =\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{e \text { edge of } C_{k}} d(g \circ \ell(\text { ori }(e)), g \circ \ell(\text { end }(e)))^{2} \\
& =N_{1} \sum_{e^{\prime} \text { edge of } C} d\left(g\left(\text { ori }\left(e^{\prime}\right)\right), g\left(e n d\left(e^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& =N_{1} E(g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of sharp integralgeometric estimate

For each $j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} E_{j}(g \circ \ell) & =\frac{1}{4 k} \sum_{\ell} \sum_{z, z^{\prime} \in C_{k}, d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=j} d\left(g \circ \ell(z), g \circ \ell\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{N_{j}}{4 k} \sum_{c, c^{\prime} \in C} d\left(g(c), g\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}=\frac{N_{j} A}{k} E_{j}(g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{E\left(C_{k}, Y\right)}{E_{j}\left(C_{k}, Y\right)} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} E_{j}(g \circ \ell) & \leq \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} E(g \circ \ell) \\
& =\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{e \text { edge of } C_{k}} d(g \circ \ell(\text { ori }(e)), g \circ \ell(\text { end }(e)))^{2} \\
& =N_{1} \sum_{e^{\prime} \text { edge of } C} d\left(g\left(\text { ori }\left(e^{\prime}\right)\right), g\left(\text { end }\left(e^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& =N_{1} E(g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
R Q^{G r o}(g) \geq \sum_{j} \frac{A N_{j}}{k N_{1}} \frac{E\left(C_{k}, Y\right)}{E_{j}\left(C_{k}, Y\right)}=R Q^{G r o}\left(\iota, Y_{0}\right)
$$

## Definition

(H. Izeki and S. Nayatani, 2004). Let $Y$ be a geodesic CAT(0) space. Given a finite weighted subset $Z \in Y$ (sum of weights $=1$ ), let $\phi: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a 1-Lipschitz map to Hilbert space such that for all $z \in Z,|\phi(z)|=d(z, \operatorname{bar}(Z))$. Define

$$
\delta(Z)=\inf _{\phi} \frac{|\operatorname{bar}(\phi)|^{2}}{\|\phi\|^{2}}
$$

The $I N$ invariant of $Y$ is $\delta(Y)=\sup _{Z \subset Y} \delta(Z) \in[0,1]$.
Lemma
Let $Y$ be a geodesic CAT(0) space, let $C$ be a finite weighted graph. Then

$$
\lambda(C, Y) \geq(1-\delta(Y)) \lambda(C, \mathbb{R})
$$

Proof. Given $g: C \rightarrow Y$, let $Z=g(C)$. Choose optimal $\phi$ for $Z$. Pythagore gives $d(\phi, \operatorname{bar}(\phi))^{2}=\|\phi\|^{2}-|\operatorname{bar}(\phi)|^{2}=(1-\delta(Z))\|\phi\|^{2}=(1-\delta(Z)) d(g, \operatorname{bar}(g))^{2}$.
$\lambda(C, \mathbb{R}) \leq R Q(\phi \circ g)=\frac{E(\phi \circ g)}{d(\phi \circ g, \operatorname{bar}(\phi \circ g))^{2}} \leq \frac{E(g)}{d(\phi, \operatorname{bar}(\phi))^{2}}=\frac{1}{1-\delta(Z)} R Q(g)$.

## Examples of values of IN invariant

## Examples

1. Hilbert spaces have $\delta=0$, by definition.
2. For all $Y, \delta(Y)=\inf _{y \in Y} \delta\left(T_{y} Y\right)$. Therefore nonpositively curved manifolds have $\delta(Y)=0$.
3. Trees have $\delta=0$.
4. $\delta$ is continuous under ultralimits. Therefore (non proper) Euclidean buildings which are asymptotic cones of symmetric spaces have $\delta(Y)=0$.
5. For all $Y$ and probability measure spaces $\Omega, \delta\left(L^{2}(\Omega, Y)\right) \leq \delta(Y)$.
6. $\delta\left(Y_{1} \times Y_{2}\right) \leq \max \left\{\delta\left(Y_{1}\right), \delta\left(Y_{2}\right)\right\}$. Therefore, products of the above have $\delta=0$.
7. The Euclidean building of $S I\left(3, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ has $\delta \geq \frac{(\sqrt{p}-1)^{2}}{2(p-\sqrt{p}+1)}$ (equality conjectured).
8. The Euclidean building of $S I\left(3, \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ has $\delta<\frac{1}{2}$.

## Definition

Fix $\delta_{0} \in[0,1]$. Say a group $\Gamma$ has property $F \mathcal{Y}_{\leq \delta_{0}}$ if every isometric action of $\Gamma$ on a geodesic CAT(0) space $Y$ with $\delta(Y) \leq \delta_{0}$ has a fixed point.

## Proposition

Let $\delta_{0}<\frac{1}{2}$. Let $X$ be a simplicial complex, $\Gamma$ a uniform lattice in $X$. Assume that for all $x \in X, \lambda(\operatorname{link}(x), \mathbb{R})>\frac{1}{2\left(1-\delta_{0}\right)}$. Then $\Gamma$ has property $F \mathcal{Y}_{\leq \delta_{0}}$.

## Theorem

(A. Zuk, 2003, H. Izeki, T. Kondo and S. Nayatani, 2006). If $\delta_{0}<\frac{1}{2}$, random groups in density $>\frac{1}{3}$ have asymptoticly property $F \mathcal{Y}_{\leq \delta_{0}}$.

Theorem
(T. Kondo, 2006). In the space of marked groups, $F \mathcal{Y}_{\leq \delta_{0}}$ is an open condition. Furthermore, $F \mathcal{Y}_{<1 / 2}$ is dense.

## Finite representation type

## Definition

(H. Bass, 1980). Say a group $\Gamma$ has finite representation type if for all n, every homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow G I(n, \mathbb{C})$ factors through a finite group.

## Theorem

(T. Kondo, 2006). In the space of marked groups, there is a dense $G_{\delta}$ of groups which have property $F \mathcal{Y}_{<1 / 2}$ and finite representation type.

## Proposition

If a group has a fixed point in all its isometric actions on symmetric spaces and classical Euclidean buildings of type $\tilde{A}_{n}$ (call this FIS), then it has finite representation type.

## Remark

$F \mathcal{Y}_{\leq \delta_{0}}$ does not imply FIS. Indeed, $\delta$ tends to $1 / 2$ for classical buildings of type $\tilde{A}_{2}$, as $p$ tends to infinity.

