

# ON THE CONSERVATION LAWS OF THE DEFOCUSING CUBIC NLS EQUATION

PATRICK GÉRARD

The defocusing cubic NLS equation

$$(1) \quad i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u = 2|u|^2 u$$

is well known to enjoy a Lax pair structure, discovered by Zakharov and Shabat in [4].

Given  $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ , we consider the following differential operators acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ ,

$$L_u = \begin{pmatrix} -D & u \\ \bar{u} & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad D := -i\partial_x,$$

$$B_u = \begin{pmatrix} 2i\partial_x^2 - i|u|^2 & u' + 2u\partial_x \\ \bar{u}' + 2\bar{u}\partial_x & -2i\partial_x^2 + i|u|^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that  $L_u$  is a selfadjoint operator with domain  $H^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ , therefore, for every  $h > 0$ , the operator  $(I + h^2 L_u^2)^{-1}$  is well defined on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^2)$  and valued into  $H^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ , hence is trace class as an operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ .

If  $u = u(t, x)$  is a solution of (1), it also satisfies the Lax pair identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} L_{u(t)} = [B_{u(t)}, L_{u(t)}].$$

From the above Lax pair identity, the quantity  $\text{Tr} \left[ (I + h^2 L_{u(t)}^2)^{-1} \right]$  is independent on  $t$ . We are going to expand this quantity in powers of  $h$  as  $h$  goes to 0. The coefficients of this expansion are therefore conservation laws of (1). In particular, we are going to prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *For every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a polynomial function*

$$F_p = F_p(u, \dots, u^{(p-1)}) : (\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2)^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

*at most quadratic in the variable  $u^{(p-1)}$ , such that*

$$E_p(u) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left[ |u^{(p)}(x)|^2 + F_p(u(x), \dots, u^{(p-1)}(x)) \right] dx$$

*is a conservation law of (1).*

---

*Date:* July 21, 2015.

**Remarks**

- Using the Sobolev inequalities, Theorem 1 implies that, for every  $p \geq 1$ , for every  $H^p$  solution  $u$  of (1), the family  $(u(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is bounded in  $H^p(\mathbb{T})$ . In fact, using the Birkhoff coordinates introduced in Grébert–Kappeler [2], we know that  $u$  is an almost periodic function on  $\mathbb{R}$  valued into  $H^p(\mathbb{T})$ . As a consequence, the family  $(u(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is relatively compact in  $H^p(\mathbb{T})$ .
- The boundedness of trajectories in  $H^s(\mathbb{T})$  if  $s \geq 1$  is not an integer, has been recently tackled in reference [3].
- The quantities  $E_p(u)$  correspond to the conservation laws  $I_{2p+1}(u)$  described in the book by Faddeev–Takhtajan [1].

*Proof.* The operator

$$I + h^2 L_u^2 = (1 + h^2 D^2)I + h^2 M(x) , \quad M(x) := \begin{pmatrix} |u|^2 & iu' \\ -i\bar{u}' & |u|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a semiclassical differential operator  $P(x, hD, h)$  with

$$P(x, \xi, h) = (1 + \xi^2)I + h^2 M(x) ,$$

therefore we can expand its inverse in powers of  $h$  as

$$(I + h^2 L_u^2)^{-1} \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} h^j A_j(x, hD) ,$$

where matrix valued symbols  $A_j$  are given by semiclassical pseudo-differential calculus. They are characterized by the following infinite system,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \xi^2)A_0(x, \xi) &= I , \\ (1 + \xi^2)A_1(x, \xi) - 2i\xi \partial_x A_0(x, \xi) &= 0 , \\ (1 + \xi^2)A_j(x, \xi) - 2i\xi \partial_x A_{j-1}(x, \xi) + (M(x) - \partial_x^2)A_{j-2}(x, \xi) &= 0 , \quad j \geq 2 . \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(x, \xi) &= \frac{1}{1 + \xi^2} I , \quad A_1(x, \xi) = 0 , \\ A_2(x, \xi) &= -\frac{1}{(1 + \xi^2)^2} M(x) , \quad A_3(x, \xi) = -\frac{2i\xi}{(1 + \xi^2)^3} \partial_x M(x) , \end{aligned}$$

with the following induction formula,

$$A_j(x, \xi) = \frac{2i\xi}{1 + \xi^2} \partial_x A_{j-1}(x, \xi) + \frac{1}{1 + \xi^2} (\partial_x^2 - M(x)) A_{j-2}(x, \xi) , \quad j \geq 2 .$$

Then we get, as  $h$  tends to 0,

$$\text{Tr} [(I + h^2 L_u^2)^{-1}] \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} h^j \text{Tr} A_j(x, hD) .$$

Notice that

$$\mathrm{Tr}A(x, hD) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{tr}A(x, hn) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi h} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{tr}A(x, \xi) dx d\xi + O(h^k)$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore each quantity

$$T_j(u) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}} \mathrm{tr}A_j(x, \xi) dx d\xi$$

is a conservation law. In view of the above formulae,  $T_0$  does not depend on  $u$ ,  $T_1 = 0$ , while

$$T_2(u) = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{tr}M(x) dx = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^2 dx .$$

Then  $T_3(u) = 0$ , while

$$T_4(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1 + \xi^2)^3} d\xi \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{tr}(M(x)^2) dx .$$

Since the eigenvalues of  $M(x)$  are  $|u(x)|^2 \pm |u'(x)|$ , we conclude

$$T_4(u) = \frac{3}{8} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (|u'(x)|^2 + |u(x)|^4) dx .$$

This proves the above theorem for  $p = 0, 1$ , which corresponds to the mass and energy conservation laws. Let us compute the next conservation law. Again we have  $T_5(u) = 0$ , but

$$\begin{aligned} T_6(u) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}} \mathrm{tr}(M(x)A_4(x, \xi)) dx d\xi \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{3}{(1 + \xi^2)^4} - \frac{4}{(1 + \xi^2)^5} \right) d\xi \right] \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{tr}(M'(x)^2) dx \\ &\quad - \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1 + \xi^2)^4} d\xi \right] \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{tr}(M(x)^3) dx . \end{aligned}$$

We conclude

$$T_6(u) = -\frac{5}{32} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (|u''(x)|^2 + (\partial_x(|u(x)|^2))^2 + 6|u(x)u'(x)|^2 + 2|u(x)|^6) dx .$$

This proves the case  $p = 2$ . In order to prove the general case, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *For every  $j \geq 2$ , there exist functions  $c_{j, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}(\xi)$  such that*

$$A_j(x, \xi) = \sum_{2r + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r = j} c_{j, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}(\xi) \partial_x^{\alpha_1} M(x) \dots \partial_x^{\alpha_r} M(x) .$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is an easy induction on  $j$ , in view of the above induction formula for  $A_j(x, \xi)$  and of the above formulae for  $A_2(x, \xi)$ ,  $A_3(x, \xi)$ .

Let us complete the proof of Theorem 1. Let  $p \geq 1$ . From the induction formula, we infer

$$T_{2p+2}(u) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(M(x)A_{2p}(x, \xi))}{1 + \xi^2} dx d\xi .$$

Next we apply Lemma 1 with  $j = 2p$ . The terms  $\partial_x^{\alpha_1} M(x) \dots \partial_x^{\alpha_r} M(x)$  in the decomposition of  $A_{2p}(x, \xi)$  are of three types :

- (1)  $r \geq 3$  and  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r \leq 2p - 6$ . In this case, using integrations by parts, we obtain that the quantity

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{tr}(M(x) \partial_x^{\alpha_1} M(x) \dots \partial_x^{\alpha_r} M(x)) dx$$

is a sum of terms of the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{tr}(\partial_x^{\beta_0} M(x) \partial_x^{\beta_1} M(x) \dots \partial_x^{\beta_r} M(x)) dx$$

where  $\beta_k \leq p - 3$  for every  $k$ . In view of the expression of  $M(x)$ , these quantities can be written as

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} G(u(x), \dots, u^{(p-2)}(x)) dx$$

where  $G$  is a polynomial function.

- (2)  $r = 2$  and  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 2p - 4$ . Then the quantity

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{tr}(M(x) \partial_x^{\alpha_1} M(x) \partial_x^{\alpha_2} M(x)) dx$$

is a sum of terms either of the form  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{tr}(\partial_x^{\beta_0} M(x) \partial_x^{\beta_1} M(x) \partial_x^{\beta_2} M(x)) dx$ , with  $\beta_k \leq p - 3$ , or of the form  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{tr}(M(x) (\partial_x^{p-2} M(x))^2) dx$ , which can be written as

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} H(u(x), \dots, u^{(p-1)}(x)) dx$$

when is a polynomial function, at most quadratic in  $u^{(p-1)}$ .

- (3)  $r = 1$  and  $\alpha_1 = 2p - 2$ . Then, after integrating by parts, the contribution to  $T_{2p+2}(u)$  is

$$(-1)^p \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{c_{2p, 2p-2}(\xi)}{1 + \xi^2} d\xi \right] \int_{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{tr}((\partial_x^{p-1} M(x))^2) dx .$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}((\partial_x^{p-1}M(x))^2) &= (\partial_x^{p-1}(|u(x)|^2) - |\partial_x^p u(x)|)^2 + (\partial_x^{p-1}(|u(x)|^2) + |\partial_x^p u(x)|)^2 \\ &= 2[(\partial_x^{p-1}(|u(x)|^2))^2 + |u^{(p)}(x)|^2] . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in order to complete the proof, we just have to check that the coefficient

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{c_{2p,2p-2}(\xi)}{1 + \xi^2} d\xi$$

is not 0. Set

$$c_j(\xi) := c_{j,j-2}(\xi) , \quad j \geq 2 .$$

The induction formula for  $A_j(x, \xi)$  implies

$$c_j(\xi) = \frac{2i\xi}{1 + \xi^2} c_{j-1}(\xi) + \frac{1}{1 + \xi^2} c_{j-2}(\xi) , \quad j \geq 4 .$$

Solving this linear induction problem in view of

$$c_2(\xi) = -\frac{1}{(1 + \xi^2)^2} , \quad c_3(\xi) = \frac{-2i\xi}{(1 + \xi^2)^3} ,$$

we obtain

$$c_j(\xi) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{(1 + i\xi)(1 - i\xi)^j} + \frac{(-1)^j}{(1 - i\xi)(1 + i\xi)^j} \right) .$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{c_{2p}(\xi)}{1 + \xi^2} d\xi = -\frac{2p+1}{2^{2p+2}} \neq 0 .$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Faddeev, L.D., Takhtajan, L.A., *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons*, Springer series in Soviet Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [2] Grébert, B., Kappeler, T., *The defocusing NLS equation and Its Normal Form*, EMS series of Lectures in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society, 2014.
- [3] Kappeler, T., Schaad, B., Topalov, P., *Scattering like phenomena of the periodic defocusing NLS equation*, Preprint, May 2015, [arXiv:1505.07394v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.07394v1) [math.AP].
- [4] Zakharov, V. E., Shabat, A. B., *Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focusing and one-dimensional self-modulation of waves in nonlinear media*. Soviet Physics JETP 34 (1972), no. 1, 62–69.

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD XI, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES D'ORSAY,  
CNRS, UMR 8628

*E-mail address:* Patrick.Gerard@math.u-psud.fr