L^p-cohomology of symmetric spaces

P. Pansu

September 29, 2006

What is it ?

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{topological space} &\longrightarrow \textit{cohomology} \\ \textit{manifold} &\longrightarrow \textit{de Rham cohomology} \end{aligned}$$

What is it ?

topological space	$\longrightarrow$	*cohomology*
manifold	$\longrightarrow$	*de Rham cohomology*
metric space	$\longrightarrow$	*cohomology with decay condition*
Riemannian manifold	$\longrightarrow$	*de Rham cohomology with decay condition*

What is it ?

topological space	$\longrightarrow$	*cohomology*
manifold	$\longrightarrow$	*de Rham cohomology*
metric space	$\longrightarrow$	*cohomology with decay condition*
Riemannian manifold	$\longrightarrow$	*de Rham cohomology with decay condition*

Definition

*Let M be a Riemannian manifold. Let $p > 1$. L^p-*cohomology *of M is the cohomology of the complex of L^p-differential forms on M whose exterior differentials are L^p as well,*

$$H^{k,p} \quad = \quad \textit{closed } k\textit{-forms in } L^p / d((k-1)\textit{-forms in } L^p),$$

What is it ?

topological space	$\longrightarrow$	*cohomology*
manifold	$\longrightarrow$	*de Rham cohomology*
metric space	$\longrightarrow$	*cohomology with decay condition*
Riemannian manifold	$\longrightarrow$	*de Rham cohomology with decay condition*

Definition

*Let M be a Riemannian manifold. Let $p > 1$. L^p-*cohomology *of M is the cohomology of the complex of L^p-differential forms on M whose exterior differentials are L^p as well,*

$$\begin{aligned} H^{k,p} &= \textit{closed } k\textit{-forms in } L^p / d((k-1)\textit{-forms in } L^p), \\ R^{k,p} &= \textit{closed } k\textit{-forms in } L^p / \textit{closure of } d((k-1)\textit{-forms in } L^p), \\ T^{k,p} &= \textit{closure of } d((k-1)\textit{-forms in } L^p) / d((k-1)\textit{-forms in } L^p). \end{aligned}$$

$R^{k,p}$ is called the reduced cohomology*. $T^{k,p}$ is called the* torsion*.*

Example : the real hyperbolic plane $H^2_{\mathbb{R}}$

Here $H^{0,p} = 0 = H^{2,p}$ for all p.

Example : the real hyperbolic plane $H^2_{\mathbb{R}}$

Here $H^{0,p} = 0 = H^{2,p}$ for all p.
If $p = 2$, since the Laplacian on L^2 functions is bounded below, $T^{1,2} = 0$. Therefore

$$H^{1,2} = R^{1,2}$$

Example : the real hyperbolic plane $H^2_{\mathbb{R}}$

Here $H^{0,p} = 0 = H^{2,p}$ for all p.
If $p = 2$, since the Laplacian on L^2 functions is bounded below, $T^{1,2} = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1,2} &= R^{1,2} \\ &= \{L^2 \text{ harmonic 1-forms}\} \end{aligned}$$

Example : the real hyperbolic plane $H^2_{\mathbb{R}}$

Here $H^{0,p} = 0 = H^{2,p}$ for all p.
If $p = 2$, since the Laplacian on L^2 functions is bounded below, $T^{1,2} = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1,2} &= R^{1,2} \\ &= \{L^2 \text{ harmonic 1-forms}\} \\ &= \{\text{harmonic functions } h \text{ on } H^2_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ with } \nabla h \in L^2\}/\mathbb{R}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Example : the real hyperbolic plane $H^2_{\mathbb{R}}$

Here $H^{0,p} = 0 = H^{2,p}$ for all p.
If $p = 2$, since the Laplacian on L^2 functions is bounded below, $T^{1,2} = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1,2} &= R^{1,2} \\ &= \{L^2 \text{ harmonic 1-forms}\} \\ &= \{\text{harmonic functions } h \text{ on } H^2_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ with } \nabla h \in L^2\}/\mathbb{R}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Using conformal invariance, switch from hyperbolic metric to euclidean metric on the disk D.

$$H^{1,2} = \{\text{harmonic functions } h \text{ on } D \text{ with } \nabla h \in L^2\}/\mathbb{R}$$

Example : the real hyperbolic plane $H^2_{\mathbb{R}}$

Here $H^{0,p} = 0 = H^{2,p}$ for all p.
If $p = 2$, since the Laplacian on L^2 functions is bounded below, $T^{1,2} = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1,2} &= R^{1,2} \\ &= \{L^2 \text{ harmonic 1-forms}\} \\ &= \{\text{harmonic functions } h \text{ on } H^2_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ with } \nabla h \in L^2\}/\mathbb{R}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Using conformal invariance, switch from hyperbolic metric to euclidean metric on the disk D.

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1,2} &= \{\text{harmonic functions } h \text{ on } D \text{ with } \nabla h \in L^2\}/\mathbb{R} \\ &= \{\text{Fourier series } \Sigma a_n e^{in\theta} \text{ with } a_0 = 0, \Sigma |n|\,|a_n|^2 < +\infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

Example : the real hyperbolic plane $H^2_{\mathbb{R}}$

Here $H^{0,p} = 0 = H^{2,p}$ for all p.
If $p = 2$, since the Laplacian on L^2 functions is bounded below, $T^{1,2} = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1,2} &= R^{1,2} \\ &= \{L^2 \text{ harmonic 1-forms}\} \\ &= \{\text{harmonic functions } h \text{ on } H^2_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ with } \nabla h \in L^2\}/\mathbb{R}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Using conformal invariance, switch from hyperbolic metric to euclidean metric on the disk D.

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1,2} &= \{\text{harmonic functions } h \text{ on } D \text{ with } \nabla h \in L^2\}/\mathbb{R} \\ &= \{\text{Fourier series } \Sigma a_n e^{in\theta} \text{ with } a_0 = 0, \Sigma |n|\,|a_n|^2 < +\infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is Sobolev space $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ mod constants.

Example : the real hyperbolic plane $H^2_{\mathbb{R}}$

Here $H^{0,p} = 0 = H^{2,p}$ for all p.
If $p = 2$, since the Laplacian on L^2 functions is bounded below, $T^{1,2} = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1,2} &= R^{1,2} \\ &= \{L^2 \text{ harmonic 1-forms}\} \\ &= \{\text{harmonic functions } h \text{ on } H^2_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ with } \nabla h \in L^2\}/\mathbb{R}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Using conformal invariance, switch from hyperbolic metric to euclidean metric on the disk D.

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1,2} &= \{\text{harmonic functions } h \text{ on } D \text{ with } \nabla h \in L^2\}/\mathbb{R} \\ &= \{\text{Fourier series } \Sigma a_n e^{in\theta} \text{ with } a_0 = 0, \Sigma |n|\,|a_n|^2 < +\infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is Sobolev space $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ mod constants.

More generally, for $p > 1$, $T^{1,p} = 0$ and $H^{1,p}$ is equal to the Besov space $B^{1/p}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ mod constants.

Example : the real line $\mathbb{R}$

$H^{0,p} = 0.$

Example : the real line $\mathbb{R}$

$H^{0,p} = 0.$

$R^{1,p} = 0,$

Example : the real line $\mathbb{R}$

$H^{0,p} = 0$.

$R^{1,p} = 0$,
since every function in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ can be approximated in L^p with derivatives of compactly supported functions. Therefore $H^{1,p}$ is only torsion.

$H^{0,p} = 0$.

$R^{1,p} = 0$,
since every function in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ can be approximated in L^p with derivatives of compactly supported functions. Therefore $H^{1,p}$ is only torsion.

$T^{1,p}$ *is non zero and thus infinite dimensional.*

Example : the real line $\mathbb{R}$

$H^{0,p} = 0$.

$R^{1,p} = 0$,
since every function in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ can be approximated in L^p with derivatives of compactly supported functions. Therefore $H^{1,p}$ is only torsion.

$T^{1,p}$ is non zero and thus infinite dimensional.
Indeed, the 1-form $\frac{dt}{t}$ (cut off near the origin) is in L^p for all $p > 1$ but it is not the differential of a function in L^p.

What are our favourite spaces ?

- L^p-cohomology has been used (L. Saper, S. Zucker) to study manifolds with thin ends. The answer is related to the topology of a compactification.
- In this talk : manifolds with large ends, e.g. symmetric spaces themselves. L^p-cohomology is related to analytic features of a compactification.

Functoriality

$$\text{cohomology} \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{continuous maps}$$

Functoriality

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{cohomology} & \to & \text{continuous maps} \\ L^p\text{-cohomology} & \to & \text{uniform maps.} \end{array}$$

Functoriality

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{cohomology} & \longrightarrow & \text{continuous maps} \\ L^p\text{-cohomology} & \longrightarrow & \text{uniform maps.} \end{array}$$

Definition

A map $f : X \to Y$ between metric spaces is uniform *if $d(f(x), f(x'))$ is bounded from above in terms of $d(x, x')$ only.*

Functoriality

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{cohomology} & \to & \text{continuous maps} \\ L^p\text{-cohomology} & \to & \text{uniform maps.} \end{array}$$

Definition

A map $f : X \to Y$ between metric spaces is uniform *if $d(f(x), f(x'))$ is bounded from above in terms of $d(x, x')$ only.*

Examples

The obvious map $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ is uniform. Any homomorphism between groups (with left invariant metrics) is uniform. The parametrization of a cusp by a punctured disk is not uniform.

Functoriality

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{cohomology} & \to & \text{continuous maps} \\ L^p\text{-cohomology} & \to & \text{uniform maps.} \end{array}$$

Definition

A map $f : X \to Y$ between metric spaces is uniform *if $d(f(x), f(x'))$ is bounded from above in terms of $d(x, x')$ only.*

Examples

The obvious map $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ is uniform. Any homomorphism between groups (with left invariant metrics) is uniform. The parametrization of a cusp by a punctured disk is not uniform.



Proposition

Among contractible Riemannian manifolds admitting a cocompact isometric group action, L^p-cohomology is natural under uniform maps.

L^p-cohomology can be discretized.

L^p-cohomology of discrete groups

L^p-cohomology can be discretized. It makes sense for discrete groups, and cannot see any difference between a cocompact lattice in a semi-simple Lie group G, the Lie group G itself or the Riemannian symmetric space G/K.

L^p-cohomology of discrete groups

L^p-cohomology can be discretized. It makes sense for discrete groups, and cannot see any difference between a cocompact lattice in a semi-simple Lie group G, the Lie group G itself or the Riemannian symmetric space G/K.

In conclusion,

- L^p-cohomology is a tool to investigate discrete groups.
- It shares nearly all properties of usual cohomology.
- Nevertheless, it is not easy to calculate it.
- In the case of cocompact lattices in Lie groups, it can probably be computed by analytic means.

L^p-cohomology can be discretized. It makes sense for discrete groups, and cannot see any difference between a cocompact lattice in a semi-simple Lie group G, the Lie group G itself or the Riemannian symmetric space G/K.

In conclusion,

- L^p-cohomology is a tool to investigate discrete groups.
- It shares nearly all properties of usual cohomology.
- Nevertheless, it is not easy to calculate it.
- In the case of cocompact lattices in Lie groups, it can probably be computed by analytic means.

In this talk, we explain 3 applications of L^p-cohomology to negatively curved Riemannian manifolds and groups.

1. Hopf's conjecture about Euler characteristic
2. Cannon conjecture on groups with boundary a 2-sphere
3. Curvature pinching

Outline

1. Hopf's conjecture about Euler characteristic
2. Cannon conjecture on groups with boundary a 2-sphere
3. Curvature pinching

Remark

- *Compact 2-dimensional negatively curved manifolds have negative Euler characteristic.*
- *2m-dimensional compact hyperbolic manifolds have Euler characteristic proportional to* $(-1)^m$.
- *This generalizes to all compact negatively curved locally symmetric spaces (Gauss-Bonnet).*

Remark

- *Compact 2-dimensional negatively curved manifolds have negative Euler characteristic.*
- *$2m$-dimensional compact hyperbolic manifolds have Euler characteristic proportional to $(-1)^m$.*
- *This generalizes to all compact negatively curved locally symmetric spaces (Gauss-Bonnet).*

Conjecture

(H. Hopf). If M is $2m$-dimensional compact negatively curved, then $(-1)^m\chi(M) > 0$.

Remark

- *Compact 2-dimensional negatively curved manifolds have negative Euler characteristic.*
- $2m$*-dimensional compact hyperbolic manifolds have Euler characteristic proportional to* $(-1)^m$.
- *This generalizes to all compact negatively curved locally symmetric spaces (Gauss-Bonnet).*

Conjecture

(H. Hopf). If M is $2m$-dimensional compact negatively curved, then $(-1)^m\chi(M) > 0$.

Theorem

(M. Gromov, 1991). This is true provided M also admits a Kähler *metric.*

Role of the Kähler condition

Definition

A Riemannian manifold M is Kähler *if it admits a parallel complex structure.*

Role of the Kähler condition

Definition

A Riemannian manifold M is Kähler *if it admits a parallel complex structure.*

Then M is a complex manifold. Every complex submanifold in complex projective space admits a Kähler metric.

Role of the Kähler condition

Definition

A Riemannian manifold M is Kähler *if it admits a parallel complex structure.*

Then M is a complex manifold. Every complex submanifold in complex projective space admits a Kähler metric.

Proposition

(Part of hard Lefschetz theorem). Let M^{2m} be a compact Kähler manifold with Kähler form ω. *Then wedging with ω maps harmonic forms to harmonic forms,*

Role of the Kähler condition

Definition

A Riemannian manifold M is Kähler *if it admits a parallel complex structure.*

Then M is a complex manifold. Every complex submanifold in complex projective space admits a Kähler metric.

Proposition

(Part of hard Lefschetz theorem). Let M^{2m} be a compact Kähler manifold with Kähler form *ω. Then wedging with ω maps harmonic forms to harmonic forms, and this induces an* injection *in cohomology $H^k(M, \mathbb{R}) \to H^{k+2}(M, \mathbb{R})$ for all $k < m$.*

Role of the Kähler condition

Definition

A Riemannian manifold M is Kähler *if it admits a parallel complex structure.*

Then M is a complex manifold. Every complex submanifold in complex projective space admits a Kähler metric.

Proposition

(Part of hard Lefschetz theorem). Let M^{2m} be a compact Kähler manifold with Kähler form *ω. Then wedging with ω maps harmonic forms to harmonic forms, and this induces an* injection *in cohomology $H^k(M,\mathbb{R}) \to H^{k+2}(M,\mathbb{R})$ for all $k < m$.*

Corollary

Let M^{2m} be a complete Kähler manifold with Kähler form ω. Then wedging with ω maps L^2-harmonic forms to L^2-harmonic forms, and this induces an injection *in reduced L^2-cohomology $R^{k,2}(M) \to R^{k+2}(M)$ for all $k < m$.*

Proposition

(M. Gromov). Let $\tilde{M}$ be a complete simply connected negatively curved Riemannian manifold. Let $k \geq 2$.

- *(Coning of cycles). Every $k-1$-cycle z spans a k-chain c with $vol(c) \leq const.\ vol(z)$.*

Role of negative curvature

Proposition

(M. Gromov). Let $\tilde{M}$ be a complete simply connected negatively curved Riemannian manifold. Let $k \geq 2$.

- *(Coning of cycles). Every $k-1$-cycle z spans a k-chain c with $vol(c) \leq const.\ vol(z)$.*
- *(Coning of forms). Every closed bounded differential k-form α on $\tilde{M}$ is the differential of a bounded $(k-1)$-form β with $\| \beta \|_{L^\infty} \leq const.\ \| \alpha \|_{L^\infty}$.*

Proposition

(M. Gromov). Let $\tilde{M}$ be a complete simply connected negatively curved Riemannian manifold. Let $k \geq 2$.

- *(Coning of cycles). Every $k-1$-cycle z spans a k-chain c with $vol(c) \leq const.\ vol(z)$.*
- *(Coning of forms). Every closed bounded differential k-form α on $\tilde{M}$ is the differential of a bounded $(k-1)$-form β with $\| \beta \|_{L^\infty} \leq const.\ \| \alpha \|_{L^\infty}$.*

Corollary

Assume $\tilde{M}^{2m}$ covers both a compact Kähler manifold and a compact negatively curved Riemannian manifold. Then $R^{k,2}(\tilde{M}) = 0$ for all $k \neq m$.

Role of negative curvature

Proposition

(M. Gromov). Let $\tilde{M}$ be a complete simply connected negatively curved Riemannian manifold. Let $k \geq 2$.

- *(Coning of cycles). Every $k-1$-cycle z spans a k-chain c with $vol(c) \leq const.\ vol(z)$.*
- *(Coning of forms). Every closed bounded differential k-form α on $\tilde{M}$ is the differential of a bounded $(k-1)$-form β with $\| \beta \|_{L^\infty} \leq const.\ \| \alpha \|_{L^\infty}$.*

Corollary

Assume $\tilde{M}^{2m}$ covers both a compact Kähler manifold and a compact negatively curved Riemannian manifold. Then $R^{k,2}(\tilde{M}) = 0$ for all $k \neq m$. Furthermore, $T^{*,2}(\tilde{M}) = 0$.

Proposition

(M. Gromov). *Let $\tilde{M}$ be a complete simply connected negatively curved Riemannian manifold. Let $k \geq 2$.*

- *(Coning of cycles). Every $k-1$-cycle z spans a k-chain c with $vol(c) \leq const.\ vol(z)$.*
- *(Coning of forms). Every closed bounded differential k-form α on $\tilde{M}$ is the differential of a bounded $(k-1)$-form β with $\| \beta \|_{L^\infty} \leq const.\ \| \alpha \|_{L^\infty}$.*

Corollary

Assume $\tilde{M}^{2m}$ covers both a compact Kähler manifold and a compact negatively curved Riemannian manifold. Then $R^{k,2}(\tilde{M}) = 0$ for all $k \neq m$. Furthermore, $T^{*,2}(\tilde{M}) = 0$.

Proof. Lift Kähler form to universal cover $\tilde{M}$. Write $\omega = db$ with b bounded.

Proposition

(M. Gromov). Let $\tilde{M}$ be a complete simply connected negatively curved Riemannian manifold. Let $k \geq 2$.

- *(Coning of cycles). Every $k-1$-cycle z spans a k-chain c with $vol(c) \leq const.\ vol(z)$.*
- *(Coning of forms). Every closed bounded differential k-form α on $\tilde{M}$ is the differential of a bounded $(k-1)$-form β with $\| \beta \|_{L^\infty} \leq const.\ \| \alpha \|_{L^\infty}$.*

Corollary

Assume $\tilde{M}^{2m}$ covers both a compact Kähler manifold and a compact negatively curved Riemannian manifold. Then $R^{k,2}(\tilde{M}) = 0$ for all $k \neq m$. Furthermore, $T^{*,2}(\tilde{M}) = 0$.

Proof. Lift Kähler form to universal cover $\tilde{M}$. Write $\omega = db$ with b bounded. Let $k < m$. For α a closed k-form in L^2,

$$\omega \wedge \alpha = d(b \wedge \alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad b \wedge \alpha \in L^2,$$

Role of negative curvature

Proposition

(M. Gromov). Let $\tilde{M}$ be a complete simply connected negatively curved Riemannian manifold. Let $k \geq 2$.

- *(Coning of cycles). Every $k-1$-cycle z spans a k-chain c with $vol(c) \leq const.\ vol(z)$.*
- *(Coning of forms). Every closed bounded differential k-form α on $\tilde{M}$ is the differential of a bounded $(k-1)$-form β with $\| \beta \|_{L^\infty} \leq const.\ \| \alpha \|_{L^\infty}$.*

Corollary

Assume $\tilde{M}^{2m}$ covers both a compact Kähler manifold and a compact negatively curved Riemannian manifold. Then $R^{k,2}(\tilde{M}) = 0$ for all $k \neq m$. Furthermore, $T^{*,2}(\tilde{M}) = 0$.

Proof. Lift Kähler form to universal cover $\tilde{M}$. Write $\omega = db$ with b bounded. Let $k < m$. For α a closed k-form in L^2,

$$\omega \wedge \alpha = d(b \wedge \alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad b \wedge \alpha \in L^2,$$

thus $\omega \wedge \alpha = 0$ in $R^{k+2,2}(\tilde{M})$. If α is harmonic, conclude that $\alpha = 0$ in $R^{k,2}(\tilde{M})$.

L^2-Betti numbers

Let $\tilde{M}$ cover a compact manifold M. If nonzero, $R^{k,2}(\tilde{M})$ is infinite dimensional.

L^2-Betti numbers

Let $\tilde{M}$ cover a compact manifold M. If nonzero, $R^{k,2}(\tilde{M})$ is infinite dimensional. Nevertheless, M. Atiyah defined a *von Neumann dimension*

$$b^{k,2}(M) = dim_{vN} R^{k,2}(\tilde{M}),$$

called the k-th *L^2-Betti number* of M.

L^2-Betti numbers

Let $\tilde{M}$ cover a compact manifold M. If nonzero, $R^{k,2}(\tilde{M})$ is infinite dimensional. Nevertheless, M. Atiyah defined a *von Neumann dimension*

$$b^{k,2}(M) = dim_{vN} R^{k,2}(\tilde{M}),$$

called the k-th *L^2-Betti number* of M.

Examples

(W. Lück). If M admits a tower of finite degree d_j normal coverings M_j such that $\bigcap_j \pi_1(M_j) = \{1\}$, then

$$b^{k,2}(M) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{b^k(M_j, \mathbb{R})}{d_j}.$$

L^2-Betti numbers

Let $\tilde{M}$ cover a compact manifold M. If nonzero, $R^{k,2}(\tilde{M})$ is infinite dimensional. Nevertheless, M. Atiyah defined a *von Neumann dimension*

$$b^{k,2}(M) = dim_{vN} R^{k,2}(\tilde{M}),$$

called the k-th *L^2-Betti number* of M.

Examples

(W. Lück). If M admits a tower of finite degree d_j normal coverings M_j such that $\bigcap_j \pi_1(M_j) = \{1\}$, then

$$b^{k,2}(M) = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{b^k(M_j, \mathbb{R})}{d_j}.$$

Proposition

Let $\tilde{M}$ cover a compact manifold M. Then

$$\chi(M) = \sum_k (-1)^k b^{k,2}(M).$$

Proposition

(Relative index theorem, M. Gromov-B. Lawson). Let $\tilde{M}$ be a simply connected nonpositively curved Riemannian manifold. Then there exists k such that $H^{k,2}(\tilde{M}) \neq 0$.

Proposition

(Relative index theorem, M. Gromov-B. Lawson). Let $\tilde{M}$ be a simply connected nonpositively curved Riemannian manifold. Then there exists k such that $H^{k,2}(\tilde{M}) \neq 0$.

Proof of Gromov's theorem. Assume M is compact and admits both a negatively curved metric and a Kähler metric. Then all $b^{k,2}(M)$ vanish except $b^{m,2}(M)$, which is nonzero, thus $(-1)^m\chi(M) = b^{m,2}(M) > 0$.

Index theorem

Proposition

(Relative index theorem, M. Gromov-B. Lawson). Let $\tilde{M}$ be a simply connected nonpositively curved Riemannian manifold. Then there exists k such that $H^{k,2}(\tilde{M}) \neq 0$.

Proof of Gromov's theorem. Assume M is compact and admits both a negatively curved metric and a Kähler metric. Then all $b^{k,2}(M)$ vanish except $b^{m,2}(M)$, which is nonzero, thus $(-1)^m \chi(M) = b^{m,2}(M) > 0$.

In conclusion, we have used

- Lefschetz mechanism, L^2-Betti numbers.

Proposition

(Relative index theorem, M. Gromov-B. Lawson). Let $\tilde{M}$ be a simply connected nonpositively curved Riemannian manifold. Then there exists k such that $H^{k,2}(\tilde{M}) \neq 0$.

Proof of Gromov's theorem. Assume M is compact and admits both a negatively curved metric and a Kähler metric. Then all $b^{k,2}(M)$ vanish except $b^{m,2}(M)$, which is nonzero, thus $(-1)^m \chi(M) = b^{m,2}(M) > 0$.

In conclusion, we have used

- Lefschetz mechanism, L^2-Betti numbers.
- Vanishing of L^∞-cohomology.

Proposition

(Relative index theorem, M. Gromov-B. Lawson). Let $\tilde{M}$ be a simply connected nonpositively curved Riemannian manifold. Then there exists k such that $H^{k,2}(\tilde{M}) \neq 0$.

Proof of Gromov's theorem. Assume M is compact and admits both a negatively curved metric and a Kähler metric. Then all $b^{k,2}(M)$ vanish except $b^{m,2}(M)$, which is nonzero, thus $(-1)^m\chi(M) = b^{m,2}(M) > 0$.

In conclusion, we have used

- Lefschetz mechanism, L^2-Betti numbers.
- Vanishing of L^∞-cohomology.
- Cup-product $H^{k,2} \otimes H^{2,\infty} \to H^{k+2,\infty}$.

Outline

1. Hopf's conjecture about Euler characteristic
2. Cannon conjecture on groups with boundary a 2-sphere
3. Curvature pinching

Remark

In negatively curved manifolds, triangles are thin*.*

Remark

In negatively curved manifolds, triangles are thin*.*

Definition

(M. Gromov 1986). Say a finitely generated group is hyperbolic *if its triangles are thin.*

Remark

In negatively curved manifolds, triangles are thin.

Definition

(M. Gromov 1986). Say a finitely generated group is hyperbolic *if its triangles are thin. A hyperbolic group has a functorial* ideal boundary.

Remark

In negatively curved manifolds, triangles are thin.

Definition

(M. Gromov 1986). Say a finitely generated group is hyperbolic *if its triangles are thin. A hyperbolic group has a functorial* ideal boundary.

Examples

- *A free group is hyperbolic, its ideal boundary is totally disconnected.*

Remark
In negatively curved manifolds, triangles are thin.

Definition
(M. Gromov 1986). Say a finitely generated group is hyperbolic *if its triangles are thin. A hyperbolic group has a functorial* ideal boundary.

Examples

- *A free group is hyperbolic, its ideal boundary is totally disconnected.*
- *The fundamental group of a compact negatively curved n-manifold is hyperbolic, its ideal boundary is an $n-1$-sphere.*

Remark

In negatively curved manifolds, triangles are thin.

Definition

(M. Gromov 1986). Say a finitely generated group is hyperbolic *if its triangles are thin. A hyperbolic group has a functorial* ideal boundary.

Examples

- *A free group is hyperbolic, its ideal boundary is totally disconnected.*
- *The fundamental group of a compact negatively curved n-manifold is hyperbolic, its ideal boundary is an $n-1$-sphere.*

Conjecture

(J. Cannon). Let Γ be a hyperbolic group whose ideal boundary is a 2-sphere. Then Γ is virtually a cocompact lattice in $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$.

Remark

The ideal boundary of a hyperbolic group carries a natural conformal structure, *but no canonical metric.*

Remark

The ideal boundary of a hyperbolic group carries a natural conformal structure, *but no canonical metric.*

Definition

Define the conformal dimension *of a hyperbolic group as the least Hausdorff dimension of a metric in the natural conformal structure.*

Remark

The ideal boundary of a hyperbolic group carries a natural conformal structure, *but no canonical metric.*

Definition

Define the conformal dimension *of a hyperbolic group as the least Hausdorff dimension of a metric in the natural conformal structure.*

Strategy (B. Kleiner). Prove that this infimum is achieved. Then prove that dimension minimizing metrics are Riemannian if boundary is 2-dimensional. Then apply a result of D. Sullivan (1978): *every uniformly quasiconformal group of the standard 2-sphere is conjugate to a subgroup of* $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$.

Remark

The ideal boundary of a hyperbolic group carries a natural conformal structure*, but no canonical metric.*

Definition

Define the conformal dimension *of a hyperbolic group as the least Hausdorff dimension of a metric in the natural conformal structure.*

Strategy (B. Kleiner). Prove that this infimum is achieved. Then prove that dimension minimizing metrics are Riemannian if boundary is 2-dimensional. Then apply a result of D. Sullivan (1978): *every uniformly quasiconformal group of the standard 2-sphere is conjugate to a subgroup of* $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem

(S. Keith-T. Laakso, M. Bonk-B. Kleiner 2005). Let Γ *be a hyperbolic group whose ideal boundary is a 2-sphere. If conformal dimension is achieved, then* Γ *is virtually a cocompact lattice in* $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$.

Remark

For hyperbolic groups, $H^{1,p}$ is nonzero for p large, but zero for p small.

L^p-dimension

Remark

For hyperbolic groups, $H^{1,p}$ is nonzero for p large, but zero for p small. For instance, $H^{1,p}(SO(n,1)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p \leq n-1$.

Definition

Define the L^p-dimension *of a group as the least $p > 1$ such that its $H^{1,p}$ is nonzero.*

Remark

For hyperbolic groups, $H^{1,p}$ is nonzero for p large, but zero for p small. For instance, $H^{1,p}(SO(n,1)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p \leq n-1$.

Definition

Define the L^p-dimension *of a group as the least $p > 1$ such that its $H^{1,p}$ is nonzero.*

Theorem

(Same people + M. Bourdon-H. Pajot 2003). Let Γ be a hyperbolic group. Then L^p-dimension is less than or equal to conformal dimension.

L^p-dimension

Remark

For hyperbolic groups, $H^{1,p}$ is nonzero for p large, but zero for p small. For instance, $H^{1,p}(SO(n,1)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p \leq n-1$.

Definition

Define the L^p-dimension *of a group as the least $p > 1$ such that its $H^{1,p}$ is nonzero.*

Theorem

(Same people + M. Bourdon-H. Pajot 2003). Let Γ be a hyperbolic group. Then L^p-dimension is less than or equal to conformal dimension. If conformal dimension is achieved, then L^p-dimension and conformal dimension coincide.

Examples

(M. Bourdon-H. Pajot). There exist hyperbolic groups for which conformal dimension $> 2 \geq L^p$-dimension. For such groups, conformal dimension cannot be achieved.

In conclusion, we have used

- Mayer-Vietoris and L^2-Betti numbers.
- Expression of $H^{1,p}$ as a function space on the ideal boundary.

Outline

1. Hopf's conjecture about Euler characteristic
2. Cannon conjecture on groups with boundary a 2-sphere
3. Curvature pinching

Remark

Rank one symmetric spaces are hyperbolic spaces over the reals $H^n_{\mathbb{R}}$, the complex numbers $H^m_{\mathbb{C}}$, the quaternions $H^m_{\mathbb{H}}$, and the octonions $H^2_{\mathbb{O}}$.
Real hyperbolic space has sectional curvature -1. Other rank one symmetric spaces are $-\frac{1}{4}$-pinched, i.e. their sectional curvature ranges between -1 and $-\frac{1}{4}$.

Remark

Rank one symmetric spaces are hyperbolic spaces over the reals $H^n_{\mathbb{R}}$, the complex numbers $H^m_{\mathbb{C}}$, the quaternions $H^m_{\mathbb{H}}$, and the octonions $H^2_{\mathbb{O}}$.
Real hyperbolic space has sectional curvature -1. Other rank one symmetric spaces are $-\frac{1}{4}$-pinched, *i.e. their sectional curvature ranges between -1 and $-\frac{1}{4}$.*

Definition

Define the optimal pinching $\delta(G)$ *of a discrete (or Lie) group G as the least $\delta > -1$ such that G is bi-uniformly equivalent to a δ-pinched Riemannian manifold.*

Remark

Rank one symmetric spaces are hyperbolic spaces over the reals $H^n_{\mathbb{R}}$, the complex numbers $H^m_{\mathbb{C}}$, the quaternions $H^m_{\mathbb{H}}$, and the octonions $H^2_{\mathbb{O}}$.
*Real hyperbolic space has sectional curvature -1. Other rank one symmetric spaces are $-\frac{1}{4}$-*pinched, *i.e. their sectional curvature ranges between -1 and $-\frac{1}{4}$.*

Definition

Define the optimal pinching *$\delta(G)$ of a discrete (or Lie) group G as the least $\delta > -1$ such that G is bi-uniformly equivalent to a δ-pinched Riemannian manifold.*

Conjecture

The optimal pinching of $SU(m,1)$, $Sp(m,1)$ ($m \geq 2$) and F_4^{-20} is $-\frac{1}{4}$.



L^p–cohomology of H^n_R
exponent p = 1
n–1/n–2
n–1/2
n–1
degree 0 :
degree 1 :
degree 2 :
degree n–1 :
degree n :
non vanishing reduced cohomology
non vanishing torsion

Theorem

If M^n is simply connected and δ-pinched for some $\delta \in [-1, 0)$, then

$$p < 1 + \frac{n-k}{k-1}\sqrt{-\delta} \quad \Rightarrow \quad T^{k,p}(M) = 0.$$

Theorem

If M^n is simply connected and δ-pinched for some $\delta \in [-1, 0)$, then

$$p < 1 + \frac{n-k}{k-1}\sqrt{-\delta} \quad \Rightarrow \quad T^{k,p}(M) = 0.$$

This is sharp. For instance, consider the semidirect product $G = \mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$ where $\alpha = diag(1, 1, 2)$.

- It admits a $-\frac{1}{4}$-pinched left-invariant Riemannian metric, therefore $\delta(G) \leq -\frac{1}{4}$.
- It has $T^{2,p}(G) \neq 0$ for $2 < p \leq 4$. This implies that $\delta(G) = -\frac{1}{4}$.

Theorem

If M^n is simply connected and δ-pinched for some $\delta \in [-1, 0)$, then

$$p < 1 + \frac{n-k}{k-1}\sqrt{-\delta} \quad \Rightarrow \quad T^{k,p}(M) = 0.$$

This is sharp. For instance, consider the semidirect product $G = \mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$ where $\alpha = diag(1, 1, 2)$.

- It admits a $-\frac{1}{4}$-pinched left-invariant Riemannian metric, therefore $\delta(G) \leq -\frac{1}{4}$.
- It has $T^{2,p}(G) \neq 0$ for $2 < p \leq 4$. This implies that $\delta(G) = -\frac{1}{4}$.

Remark

Complex hyperbolic plane $H^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ is isometric to $G' = Heis^3 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$ where $\alpha = diag(1, 1, 2)$ and Heis denotes the Heisenberg group. Therefore it is very close to G.

Theorem

If M^n is simply connected and δ-pinched for some $\delta \in [-1, 0)$, then

$$p < 1 + \frac{n-k}{k-1}\sqrt{-\delta} \quad \Rightarrow \quad T^{k,p}(M) = 0.$$

This is sharp. For instance, consider the semidirect product $G = \mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$ where $\alpha = diag(1, 1, 2)$.

- It admits a $-\frac{1}{4}$-pinched left-invariant Riemannian metric, therefore $\delta(G) \leq -\frac{1}{4}$.
- It has $T^{2,p}(G) \neq 0$ for $2 < p \leq 4$. This implies that $\delta(G) = -\frac{1}{4}$.

Remark

Complex hyperbolic plane $H^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ is isometric to $G' = Heis^3 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$ where $\alpha = diag(1, 1, 2)$ and Heis denotes the Heisenberg group. Therefore it is very close to G.

Theorem

$T^{2,p}(H^2_{\mathbb{C}}) = 0$ *for* $2 < p < 4$.

Proof of torsion comparison theorem

Use the gradient vectorfield ξ of a Busemann function and its flow ϕ_t, whose derivative is controlled by sectional curvature. For α a closed k-form in L^p,

$$\phi_t^* \alpha = \alpha + d\left(\int_0^t \phi_s^* \iota_\xi \alpha \, ds\right)$$

has a limit as $t \to +\infty$ under the assumptions of the theorem. This boundary value map injects $H^{k,p}$ into a function space of closed forms on the ideal boundary, showing that $H^{k,p}$ is Hausdorff.

Proof of torsion comparison theorem

Use the gradient vectorfield ξ of a Busemann function and its flow ϕ_t, whose derivative is controlled by sectional curvature. For α a closed k-form in L^p,

$$\phi_t^*\alpha = \alpha + d\left(\int_0^t \phi_s^*\iota_\xi\alpha\, ds\right)$$

has a limit as $t \to +\infty$ under the assumptions of the theorem. This boundary value map injects $H^{k,p}$ into a function space of closed forms on the ideal boundary, showing that $H^{k,p}$ is Hausdorff.

Proof of torsion vanishing for $H^2_{\mathbb{C}}$

For $p \notin \{4/3, 2, 4\}$, differential forms α on $H^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ split into components α_+ and α_+ which are contracted (resp. expanded) by ϕ_t. Then

$$B_t : \alpha \mapsto \int_0^t \phi_s^*\iota_\xi\alpha_+\, ds - \int_{-t}^0 \phi_s^*\iota_\xi\alpha_-\, ds$$

converges as $t \to +\infty$ to a bounded operator B on L^p. $P = 1 - dB - Bd$ retracts the L^p de Rham complex onto a complex of differential forms on $Heis^3$ with missing components and weakly regular coefficients. If $2 < p < 4$, this complex is nonzero in degrees 1 and 2, but it is so small that its cohomology can be shown to be Hausdorff.

Non-vanishing of torsion

Use Poincaré duality. Let $p' = p/p - 1$ denote the conjugate exponent. In order to prove that a closed k-form α is nonzero in cohomology, it suffices to construct a sequence ψ_j of $(n-k)$-forms such that $\| d\psi_j \|_{L^{p'}}$ tends to zero but $\int \alpha \wedge \psi_j$ does not tend to zero.

Non-vanishing of torsion

Use Poincaré duality. Let $p' = p/p - 1$ denote the conjugate exponent. In order to prove that a closed k-form α is nonzero in cohomology, it suffices to construct a sequence ψ_j of $(n-k)$-forms such that $\| d\psi_j \|_{L^{p'}}$ tends to zero but $\int \alpha \wedge \psi_j$ does not tend to zero.

In conclusion, we have used

- Poincaré duality.
- A deformation retraction of space onto a subspace, with controlled effect on the L^p-norms of forms. For certain ranges of p, this provides a boundary value.

Non-vanishing of torsion

Use Poincaré duality. Let $p' = p/p - 1$ denote the conjugate exponent. In order to prove that a closed k-form α is nonzero in cohomology, it suffices to construct a sequence ψ_j of $(n-k)$-forms such that $\| d\psi_j \|_{L^{p'}}$ tends to zero but $\int \alpha \wedge \psi_j$ does not tend to zero.

In conclusion, we have used

- Poincaré duality.
- A deformation retraction of space onto a subspace, with controlled effect on the L^p-norms of forms. For certain ranges of p, this provides a boundary value.

Conjecture

- *For rank 1 symmetric spaces, $T^{k,p} = 0$ except for at most 1 value of p in each degree.*
- *For higher rank symmetric spaces, $H^{k,p} = 0$ for $k < rank$, $T^{k,p} = 0$ for $k = rank$.*
- *For $k = rank$, $R^{k,p} \neq 0$ for p large, and $R^{k,p}$ is a function space on the maximal boundary.*
- *For each $p > 1$, there exists k such that $H^{k,p} \neq 0$.*


L^p cohomology of H^2_C
exponent p = 1
4/3
2
4
degree 0 :
degree 1 :
degree 2 :
degree 3 :
degree 4 :
non vanishing reduced cohomology
non vanishing torsion

L^p-cohomology of G