

# Measures constrained by linear PDEs [after de Philippis and Rindler]

Pierre Pansu, Université Paris-Saclay

March 1st, 2021

A constant coefficient linear PDE on a vector-valued function  $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  takes the form

$$\mathcal{A}(u) = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} u = 0,$$

where  $A_{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^n)$  are linear maps. Here  $\partial^{\alpha} u = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_k^{\alpha_k} u$  denote partial derivatives.

A constant coefficient linear PDE on a vector-valued function  $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  takes the form

$$\mathcal{A}(u) = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} u = 0,$$

where  $A_{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^n)$  are linear maps. Here  $\partial^{\alpha} u = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_k^{\alpha_k} u$  denote partial derivatives.

### Example

Assume  $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega, (\mathbb{R}^d)^*)$  is a differential 1-form on open set  $\Omega$ . Its exterior differential  $du \in C^{\infty}(\Omega, \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^d)^*)$ . Then  $du = \mathcal{A}(u)$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  has order 1. Putting all  $A_{\alpha}$  together into a single linear map

$$\begin{aligned} A &\in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{Hom}((\mathbb{R}^d)^*, \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^d)^*)) \\ &= \text{Hom}((\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes (\mathbb{R}^d)^*, \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^d)^*), \end{aligned}$$

$A$  becomes skew-symmetrization of bilinear forms on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

A constant coefficient linear PDE on a vector-valued function  $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  takes the form

$$\mathcal{A}(u) = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} u = 0,$$

where  $A_{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^n)$  are linear maps. Here  $\partial^{\alpha} u = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_k^{\alpha_k} u$  denote partial derivatives.

### Example

Assume  $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega, \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{\ell}))$  is a  $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ -valued differential 1-form. Its exterior differential  $du \in C^{\infty}(\Omega, \text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{\ell}))$ . Then  $du = \mathcal{A}(u)$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  has order 1. Putting all  $A_{\alpha}$  together into a single linear map

$$\begin{aligned} A &\in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{Hom}((\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell}, \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell})) \\ &= \text{Hom}((\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes (\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell}, \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell}), \end{aligned}$$

$A$  becomes skew-symmetrization of bilinear maps  $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ .

A constant coefficient linear PDE on a vector-valued function  $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  takes the form

$$\mathcal{A}(u) = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} u = 0,$$

where  $A_{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^n)$  are linear maps. Here  $\partial^{\alpha} u = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_k^{\alpha_k} u$  denote partial derivatives.

### Example

Assume  $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega, \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{\ell}))$  is a  $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ -valued differential 1-form. Its exterior differential  $du \in C^{\infty}(\Omega, \text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{\ell}))$ . Then  $du = \mathcal{A}(u)$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  has order 1. Putting all  $A_{\alpha}$  together into a single linear map

$$\begin{aligned} A &\in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{Hom}((\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell}, \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell})) \\ &= \text{Hom}((\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes (\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell}, \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell}), \end{aligned}$$

$A$  becomes skew-symmetrization of bilinear maps  $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ .

**Question.** Assume a vector-valued measure  $\mu$  satisfies  $\mathcal{A}(\mu) = 0$  in distributional sense. Ellipticity should imply restrictions on the singular part  $\mu^s$  of  $\mu$ . Which restrictions?

**The principal symbol.** In Fourier, if  $\xi \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(u))(\xi) = A(2\pi i\xi)(\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)),$$

where  $A(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \xi^{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is a sum of homogeneous terms, the term of highest degree  $A^k(\xi)$  is the *principal symbol* of  $\mathcal{A}$  evaluated at  $\xi$ .

**The principal symbol.** In Fourier, if  $\xi \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(u))(\xi) = A(2\pi i\xi)(\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)),$$

where  $A(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \xi^{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is a sum of homogeneous terms, the term of highest degree  $A^k(\xi)$  is the *principal symbol* of  $\mathcal{A}$  evaluated at  $\xi$ .

### Example

For the exterior differential, for  $\eta \otimes e \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ ,  $A(\xi)(\eta \otimes e) = (\xi \wedge \eta) \otimes e$ .

**The principal symbol.** In Fourier, if  $\xi \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(u))(\xi) = A(2\pi i\xi)(\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)),$$

where  $A(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \xi^{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is a sum of homogeneous terms, the term of highest degree  $A^k(\xi)$  is the *principal symbol* of  $\mathcal{A}$  evaluated at  $\xi$ .

### Example

For the exterior differential, for  $\eta \otimes e \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ ,  $A(\xi)(\eta \otimes e) = (\xi \wedge \eta) \otimes e$ .

### Example

For the scalar Laplacian,  $A(\xi) = |\xi|^2$ .



**The principal symbol.** In Fourier, if  $\xi \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(u))(\xi) = A(2\pi i\xi)(\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)),$$

where  $A(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \xi^{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is a sum of homogeneous terms, the term of highest degree  $A^k(\xi)$  is the *principal symbol* of  $\mathcal{A}$  evaluated at  $\xi$ .

### Example

For the exterior differential, for  $\eta \otimes e \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ ,  $A(\xi)(\eta \otimes e) = (\xi \wedge \eta) \otimes e$ .

### Example

For the scalar Laplacian,  $A(\xi) = |\xi|^2$ .

Assume  $\mathcal{A}$  is *elliptic*, i.e.  $A(\xi)$  is injective for all  $\xi \neq 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(u) = 0 \implies \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(u)) = \{0\}$ , so  $u$  is a polynomial.

**The principal symbol.** In Fourier, if  $\xi \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(u))(\xi) = A(2\pi i\xi)(\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)),$$

where  $A(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \xi^{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is a sum of homogeneous terms, the term of highest degree  $A^k(\xi)$  is the *principal symbol* of  $\mathcal{A}$  evaluated at  $\xi$ .

### Example

For the exterior differential, for  $\eta \otimes e \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ ,  $A(\xi)(\eta \otimes e) = (\xi \wedge \eta) \otimes e$ .

### Example

For the scalar Laplacian,  $A(\xi) = |\xi|^2$ .

Assume  $\mathcal{A}$  is *elliptic*, i.e.  $A(\xi)$  is injective for all  $\xi \neq 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(u) = 0 \implies \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(u)) = \{0\}$ , so  $u$  is a polynomial.

Conversely, assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is homogeneous. If there exists nonzero  $\xi \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\lambda \in \ker(A(\xi))$ , then for every distribution  $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the plane wave  $u = (h \circ \xi)\lambda$  solves  $\mathcal{A}(u) = 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}$  has nonsmooth solutions.

Nonelliptic behaviours are concentrated in the *wave cone*.

### Definition (Wave cone)

The wave cone of an order  $k$  operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}} := \bigcup_{\xi \neq 0} \ker A^k(\xi) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Nonelliptic behaviours are concentrated in the *wave cone*.

### Definition (Wave cone)

The wave cone of an order  $k$  operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}} := \bigcup_{\xi \neq 0} \ker A^k(\xi) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .

### Example

For the exterior differential  $d$ ,  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_{\xi \neq 0} \xi \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell} \subset (\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{\ell})$  consists of all rank  $\leq 1$  linear maps  $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ .

Nonelliptic behaviours are concentrated in the *wave cone*.

### Definition (Wave cone)

The wave cone of an order  $k$  operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}} := \bigcup_{\xi \neq 0} \ker A^k(\xi) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .

### Example

For the exterior differential  $d$ ,  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_{\xi \neq 0} \xi \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell} \subset (\mathbb{R}^d)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{\ell} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{\ell})$  consists of all rank  $\leq 1$  linear maps  $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ .

Indeed, the kernel of  $\xi \wedge \cdot : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow \Lambda^2$  coincides with the image of  $\xi \wedge \cdot : \Lambda^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^1$ . This reflects (at the symbol level) the (local) exactness of the de Rham complex.

### Theorem (de Philippis-Rindler 2018)

Let  $\mu$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued measure which solves  $\mathcal{A}(\mu) = 0$  on open set  $\Omega$ . Then  $\frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(x) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$  for  $|\mu|^s$ -almost every point  $x \in \Omega$ .

### Theorem (de Philippis-Rindler 2018)

Let  $\mu$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued measure which solves  $\mathcal{A}(\mu) = 0$  on open set  $\Omega$ . Then  $\frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(x) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$  for  $|\mu|^s$ -almost every point  $x \in \Omega$ .

### Example

When  $\mathcal{A}$  is the exterior differential on 1-forms,  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ -valued measures solving  $d\mu = 0$  are differentials of  $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ -valued BV functions.

### Theorem (de Philippis-Rindler 2018)

Let  $\mu$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued measure which solves  $\mathcal{A}(\mu) = 0$  on open set  $\Omega$ . Then  $\frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(x) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$  for  $|\mu|^s$ -almost every point  $x \in \Omega$ .

### Example

When  $\mathcal{A}$  is the exterior differential on 1-forms,  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ -valued measures solving  $d\mu = 0$  are differentials of  $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ -valued BV functions. According to the Theorem, their singular parts are supported on points where  $\frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}$  is a rank 1 linear map  $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ .

This is Alberti's Rank One Theorem (1993), solving a conjecture of Ambrosio-De Giorgi (1988).



I stick to degree 1 operators. Let  $\mu$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued measure solving  $\mathcal{A}(\mu) = 0$ . Let  $|\mu|$  denote its total variation. Consider the Radon-Nikodym decomposition

$$\mu = \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|} d|\mu|.$$

Let  $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(x) \notin \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ . By contradiction, assume that  $|\mu|^s(E) > 0$ .

I stick to degree 1 operators. Let  $\mu$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued measure solving  $\mathcal{A}(\mu) = 0$ . Let  $|\mu|$  denote its total variation. Consider the Radon-Nikodym decomposition

$$\mu = \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|} d|\mu|.$$

Let  $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(x) \notin \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ . By contradiction, assume that  $|\mu|^s(E) > 0$ .

One can pick  $x_0 \in E$  and a subsequence  $r_k$  of radii which satisfy the following. Let  $\mu_k$  denote the blowing up of  $\mu$  at  $x_0$ , at scale  $r_k$ , normalized by  $|\mu|(B(r_k))$ .

- 1  $|\mu_k|$  converges vaguely to a measure  $\nu$ .
- 2  $\lambda_0 = \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(0) \notin \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ .
- 3  $\mu_k$  converges vaguely to the measure  $\nu\lambda_0$ .
- 4  $\frac{|\mu|^s(B(r_k))}{|\mu|(B(r_k))}$  tends to 1.

I stick to degree 1 operators. Let  $\mu$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued measure solving  $\mathcal{A}(\mu) = 0$ . Let  $|\mu|$  denote its total variation. Consider the Radon-Nikodym decomposition

$$\mu = \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|} d|\mu|.$$

Let  $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(x) \notin \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ . By contradiction, assume that  $|\mu|^s(E) > 0$ .

One can pick  $x_0 \in E$  and a subsequence  $r_k$  of radii which satisfy the following. Let  $\mu_k$  denote the blowing up of  $\mu$  at  $x_0$ , at scale  $r_k$ , normalized by  $|\mu|(B(r_k))$ .

- 1  $|\mu_k|$  converges vaguely to a measure  $\nu$ .
- 2  $\lambda_0 = \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(0) \notin \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ .
- 3  $\mu_k$  converges vaguely to the measure  $\nu\lambda_0$ .
- 4  $\frac{|\mu|^s(B(r_k))}{|\mu|(B(r_k))}$  tends to 1.

One shows that  $\mathcal{A}(\nu\lambda_0) = 0$ . Since  $\lambda_0 \notin \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ , this implies that  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(\nu)) = 0$ , hence that  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous.

I stick to degree 1 operators. Let  $\mu$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued measure solving  $\mathcal{A}(\mu) = 0$ . Let  $|\mu|$  denote its total variation. Consider the Radon-Nikodym decomposition

$$\mu = \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|} d|\mu|.$$

Let  $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(x) \notin \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ . By contradiction, assume that  $|\mu|^s(E) > 0$ .

One can pick  $x_0 \in E$  and a subsequence  $r_k$  of radii which satisfy the following. Let  $\mu_k$  denote the blowing up of  $\mu$  at  $x_0$ , at scale  $r_k$ , normalized by  $|\mu|(B(r_k))$ .

- 1  $|\mu_k|$  converges vaguely to a measure  $\nu$ .
- 2  $\lambda_0 = \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(0) \notin \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ .
- 3  $\mu_k$  converges vaguely to the measure  $\nu\lambda_0$ .
- 4  $\frac{|\mu|^s(B(r_k))}{|\mu|(B(r_k))}$  tends to 1.

One shows that  $\mathcal{A}(\nu\lambda_0) = 0$ . Since  $\lambda_0 \notin \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ , this implies that  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(\nu)) = 0$ , hence that  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous.

On the other hand, one shows that  $|\mu_k|$  converges to  $\nu$  in total variation. This is a contradiction, since  $\| |\mu_k| - \nu \| \geq \frac{|\mu|^s(B(r_k))}{|\mu|(B(r_k))}$  which tends to 1.

## First step : absolute continuity of tangent measures

In distributional sense,  $\mathcal{A}(\nu \ll \lambda_0) = \lim \mathcal{A}(\mu_k) = 0$ .

## First step : absolute continuity of tangent measures

In distributional sense,  $\mathcal{A}(\nu \lambda_0) = \lim \mathcal{A}(\mu_k) = 0$ . Taking Fourier transforms,

$$\forall \xi \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^*, \quad (\mathcal{F}(\nu)(\xi)) \mathcal{A}(\xi) \lambda_0 = 0.$$

### First step : absolute continuity of tangent measures

In distributional sense,  $\mathcal{A}(\nu\lambda_0) = \lim \mathcal{A}(\mu_k) = 0$ . Taking Fourier transforms,

$$\forall \xi \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^*, \quad (\mathcal{F}(\nu)(\xi))A(\xi)\lambda_0 = 0.$$

Since  $\lambda_0 \notin \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $A(\xi)\lambda_0 \neq 0$ , hence  $\mathcal{F}(\nu)(\xi) = 0$ .

### First step : absolute continuity of tangent measures

In distributional sense,  $\mathcal{A}(\nu\lambda_0) = \lim \mathcal{A}(\mu_k) = 0$ . Taking Fourier transforms,

$$\forall \xi \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^*, \quad (\mathcal{F}(\nu)(\xi))A(\xi)\lambda_0 = 0.$$

Since  $\lambda_0 \notin \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $A(\xi)\lambda_0 \neq 0$ , hence  $\mathcal{F}(\nu)(\xi) = 0$ .

Since  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(\nu)) = \{0\}$ ,  $\nu$  is a (polynomial) function times Lebesgue measure.



## Second step : from vague convergence to convergence in total variation

Let  $\nu_k = |\mu_k|^s$ .

Recall that  $\frac{|\mu|^s(B(r_k))}{|\mu|(B(r_k))}$  tends to 1. One can furthermore assume that

$\int_{B(r_k)} \left| \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|} - \lambda_0 \right| d|\mu|^s$  tends to 0. It follows that  $|\nu_k \lambda_0 - \mu_k|(B(1))$  tends to 0.

## Second step : from vague convergence to convergence in total variation

Let  $\nu_k = |\mu_k|^s$ .

Recall that  $\frac{|\mu|^s(B(r_k))}{|\mu|(B(r_k))}$  tends to 1. One can furthermore assume that

$\int_{B(r_k)} \left| \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|} - \lambda_0 \right| d|\mu|^s$  tends to 0. It follows that  $|\nu_k \lambda_0 - \mu_k|(B(1))$  tends to 0.

Pick a smooth cut-off function  $\chi$ . Then  $|\chi \nu_k \lambda_0 - \chi \mu_k|(\mathbb{R}^d)$  tends to 0.

## Second step : from vague convergence to convergence in total variation

Let  $\nu_k = |\mu_k|^s$ .

Recall that  $\frac{|\mu|^s(B(r_k))}{|\mu|(B(r_k))}$  tends to 1. One can furthermore assume that

$\int_{B(r_k)} \left| \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|} - \lambda_0 \right| d|\mu|^s$  tends to 0. It follows that  $|\nu_k \lambda_0 - \mu_k|(B(1))$  tends to 0.

Pick a smooth cut-off function  $\chi$ . Then  $|\chi \nu_k \lambda_0 - \chi \mu_k|(\mathbb{R}^d)$  tends to 0.

Since  $\mathcal{A}(\mu_k) = 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}(\chi \nu_k \lambda_0) = \mathcal{A}(\chi \nu_k \lambda_0 - \chi \mu_k) + \mathcal{A}(d\chi) \mu_k.$$

We shall see that ellipticity allows to invert  $\mathcal{A}$  with estimates. By density, one can replace measures with smooth functions and total variation with  $L^1$  norm. The goal is to show that  $\chi \nu_k$  is precompact in  $L^1$ . The estimates will stem from Hörmander-Mikhlin's multiplier theorem.

## Second step : from vague convergence to convergence in total variation

Let  $\nu_k = |\mu_k|^S$ .

Recall that  $\frac{|\mu|^S(B(r_k))}{|\mu|(B(r_k))}$  tends to 1. One can furthermore assume that

$\int_{B(r_k)} \left| \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|} - \lambda_0 \right| d|\mu|^S$  tends to 0. It follows that  $|\nu_k \lambda_0 - \mu_k|(B(1))$  tends to 0.

Pick a smooth cut-off function  $\chi$ . Then  $|\chi \nu_k \lambda_0 - \chi \mu_k|(\mathbb{R}^d)$  tends to 0.

Since  $\mathcal{A}(\mu_k) = 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}(\chi \nu_k \lambda_0) = \mathcal{A}(\chi \nu_k \lambda_0 - \chi \mu_k) + \mathcal{A}(d\chi) \mu_k.$$

We shall see that ellipticity allows to invert  $\mathcal{A}$  with estimates. By density, one can replace measures with smooth functions and total variation with  $L^1$  norm. The goal is to show that  $\chi \nu_k$  is precompact in  $L^1$ . The estimates will stem from Hörmander-Mikhlin's multiplier theorem.

Taking Fourier transforms,

$$\forall \xi, \quad \mathcal{F}(\chi \nu_k) \mathcal{A}(\xi) \lambda_0 = \mathcal{A}(\xi) \mathcal{F}(V_k)(\xi) + \mathcal{F}(R_k)(\xi),$$

where  $V_k = \chi \nu_k \lambda_0 - \chi \mu_k$  tends to 0 in  $L^1$  and  $R_k = \mathcal{A}(d\chi) \mu_k$  is bounded in  $L^1$ .

Inner multiplying with  $\overline{A(\xi)\lambda_0}$ , one gets

$$|A(\xi)\lambda_0|^2 \mathcal{F}(\chi\nu_k) = A(\xi)\lambda_0 \cdot A(\xi)\mathcal{F}(V_k)(\xi) + A(\xi)\lambda_0 \cdot \mathcal{F}(R_k)(\xi),$$

and then

$$(1 + |A(\xi)\lambda_0|^2) \mathcal{F}(\chi\nu_k) = A(\xi)\lambda_0 \cdot A(\xi)\mathcal{F}(V_k)(\xi) + A(\xi)\lambda_0 \cdot \mathcal{F}(R_k)(\xi) + \mathcal{F}(\chi\nu_k).$$

So  $\mathcal{F}(\chi\nu_k) = T_0(V_k) + T_1((Id - \Delta)^{-1/2}R_k) + T_2((Id - \Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{F}(\chi\nu_k))$  is the sum of three terms, involving operators defined by Fourier multipliers

$$m_0(\xi) = (1 + |A(\xi)\lambda_0|^2)^{-1} \overline{A(\xi)\lambda_0} \cdot A(\xi),$$

$$m_1(\xi) = (1 + |A(\xi)\lambda_0|^2)^{-1} (1 + 4\pi^2|\xi|^2)^{1/2} \overline{A(\xi)\lambda_0},$$

$$m_2(\xi) = (1 + |A(\xi)\lambda_0|^2)^{-1} (1 + 4\pi^2|\xi|^2).$$

$(Id - \Delta)^{-1/2}$  is bounded and compact from  $L^1$  to some  $L^q$ ,  $q > 1$ .  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are bounded from  $L^q$  to  $L^q$ . Therefore  $w_k := T_1((Id - \Delta)^{-1/2}R_k) + T_2((Id - \Delta)^{-1}\mathcal{F}(\chi\nu_k))$  is precompact in  $L^1$ .

Since  $\lambda_0 \notin \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $|A(\xi)\lambda_0|/|\xi|$  is bounded away from 0. This implies that  $T_0$  is bounded from  $L^1$  to the Lorentz space  $L^{1,\infty}$  (Hörmander-Mikhlin). Hence  $u_k := T_0(V_k)$  tends to 0 in measure.

Since  $u_k + w_k = \chi\nu_k \geq 0$ ,  $u_k^-$  is dominated by  $|w_k|$ . By Vitali's convergence theorem (strengthening of dominated convergence theorem where a.e. convergence is replaced with convergence in measure),  $u_k^-$  tends to 0 in  $L^1$ .

Since  $V_k \rightarrow 0$  in distributional sense, so does  $u_k = T_0(V_k)$ . For every smooth cut-off function  $\phi$ ,  $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ ,

$$\int \phi |u_k| = \int \phi u_k + 2 \int \phi u_k^- \leq \int \phi u_k + 2 \int u_k^-$$

tends to 0, so  $u_k$  tends to 0 in  $L^1$ .

Hence  $\chi\nu_k = u_k + w_k$  is precompact in  $L^1$ . Its vague limit is  $\chi\nu$ , so it subconverges in  $L^1$  to  $\chi\nu$ . This shows that  $|\mu_k|^s$  converges to  $\nu$  in total variation.

This contradicts the fact that  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous, and completes the proof of the theorem.