# Poincaré inequalities for differential forms on Heisenberg groups

# Pierre Pansu, Univ. Paris-Sud. Joint with A. Baldi, B. Franchi, Bologna

October 17th, 2017

Euclidean Poincaré inequality Leray's acyclic covering theorem revisited

# Definition

X simplicial complex. Cochains are functions on simplices. When is an  $\ell^p$  cocycle the coboundary of an  $\ell^q$  cochain? Set

```
\ell^{q,p}H^k(X) = \{\ell^p \ k\text{-}cocycles\}/d\{\ell^q \ k-1\text{-}cochains\}.
```

X finite : topological invariant. X infinite : quasi-isometry invariant. Well defined for discrete groups, gives rise to numerical invariants of discrete groups...

Euclidean Poincaré inequality Leray's acyclic covering theorem revisited

## Definition

X simplicial complex. Cochains are functions on simplices. When is an  $\ell^p$  cocycle the coboundary of an  $\ell^q$  cochain? Set

$$\ell^{q,p}H^k(X) = \{\ell^p \ k\text{-}cocycles\}/d\{\ell^q \ k-1\text{-}cochains\}.$$

X finite : topological invariant. X infinite : quasi-isometry invariant. Well defined for discrete groups, gives rise to numerical invariants of discrete groups...

**Example**. X = subdivided line. Then all  $\ell^{q,p}H^0(X) = 0$ ,  $\ell^{\infty,1}H^1(X) = 0$ , all other  $\ell^{q,p}H^1(X) \neq 0$ .

# Definition

X simplicial complex. Cochains are functions on simplices. When is an  $\ell^p$  cocycle the coboundary of an  $\ell^q$  cochain? Set

$$\ell^{q,p}H^k(X) = \{\ell^p \ k\text{-}cocycles\}/d\{\ell^q \ k-1\text{-}cochains\}.$$

X finite : topological invariant. X infinite : quasi-isometry invariant. Well defined for discrete groups, gives rise to numerical invariants of discrete groups...

**Example**. X = subdivided line. Then all  $\ell^{q,p}H^0(X) = 0$ ,  $\ell^{\infty,1}H^1(X) = 0$ , all other  $\ell^{q,p}H^1(X) \neq 0$ .

**Example**. X = tesselated plane. Then  $\ell^{q,p}H^1(X) = 0$  if  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \ge \frac{1}{2}$ . Indeed, Sobolev inequality allows to handle the case of finitely supported cocycles. It states that, for a smooth compactly supported function u on the plane, if p < 2,

$$\|u\|_q \leq C \, \|du\|_p.$$

 ${\bf Questions}.$  Handle infinitely supported cocycles ? Pass from discrete to continuous and backward ?

э

< 🗇 🕨

B 🕨 🖌 B 🕨

# Definition

X Riemannian manifold. Set

$$L^{q,p}H^k(X) = \{L^p \text{ closed } k \text{-forms}\}/d\{L^q k - 1 \text{-forms } \omega \text{ such that } d\omega \in L^p\}.$$

Questions. Compute it. If X is triangulated, does  $L^{q,p}H^k(X) = \ell^{q,p}H^k(X)$ ?

#### Definition

X Riemannian manifold. Set

$$L^{q,p}H^k(X) = \{L^p \text{ closed } k \text{-forms}\}/d\{L^q k - 1 \text{-forms } \omega \text{ such that } d\omega \in L^p\}$$

**Questions**. Compute it. If X is triangulated, does  $L^{q,p}H^k(X) = \ell^{q,p}H^k(X)$ ?

Example. 
$$X = \mathbb{R}^n$$
. Then  $L^{q,p}H^k(X) = 0$  if  $1 and  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{n}$ .$ 

**Proof**. Let  $\Delta = d^*d + dd^*$ . Then  $\Delta$  has a pseudo-differential inverse which commutes with d.  $T = d^*\Delta^{-1}$  has a homogeneous kernel of degree n - 1, hence is bounded  $L^p \rightarrow L^q$  provided  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{n}$  (Calderon-Zygmund). Finally 1 = dT + Td.

#### Definition

X Riemannian manifold. Set

$$L^{q,p}H^k(X) = \{L^p \text{ closed } k \text{-forms}\}/d\{L^q k - 1 \text{-forms } \omega \text{ such that } d\omega \in L^p\}$$

**Questions**. Compute it. If X is triangulated, does  $L^{q,p}H^k(X) = \ell^{q,p}H^k(X)$ ?

Example. 
$$X = \mathbb{R}^n$$
. Then  $L^{q,p}H^k(X) = 0$  if  $1 and  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{n}$ .$ 

**Proof**. Let  $\Delta = d^*d + dd^*$ . Then  $\Delta$  has a pseudo-differential inverse which commutes with d.  $T = d^*\Delta^{-1}$  has a homogeneous kernel of degree n - 1, hence is bounded  $L^p \rightarrow L^q$  provided  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{n}$  (Calderon-Zygmund). Finally 1 = dT + Td.

Example.  $X = \text{ball in } \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $L^{q,p}H^k(X) = 0$  if  $1 and <math>\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \le \frac{1}{n}$ .

**Proof (lwaniec-Lutoborsky).** Poincaré's homotopy formula provides a homotopy T, 1 = dT + Td, which has a homogeneous kernel of degree n - 1. It does not require forms to be globally defined. Hölder  $\Rightarrow q$  can be lessened. Works for convex sets.

# Proposition (Leray)

Vanishing of  $L^{q,p}H^{\cdot}$  of all simplices suffices to prove that  $L^{q,p}H^{\cdot} = \ell^{q,p}H^{\cdot}$  for bounded geometry triangulated manifolds.

**Example.**  $(U_i)$  covering by stars of vertices.  $\omega$  closed 1 form on X.  $\omega_{|U_i|} = du_i$ ,  $u_i - u_j = \kappa_{ij}$  is constant on simplex  $U_i \cap U_j$ , it is a 1-cocycle of the triangulation. Conversely, pick partition of unity  $\chi_i$ . Given cocycle  $\kappa$ , set  $u_i = \sum_j \chi_j \kappa_{ij}$ . Then  $du_i - du_i = 0$  on  $U_i \cap U_i$ , hence defines a closed 1-form.

## Proposition (Leray)

Vanishing of  $L^{q,p}H^{\cdot}$  of all simplices suffices to prove that  $L^{q,p}H^{\cdot} = \ell^{q,p}H^{\cdot}$  for bounded geometry triangulated manifolds.

**Example**.  $(U_i)$  covering by stars of vertices.  $\omega$  closed 1 form on X.  $\omega_{|U_i|} = du_i$ ,  $u_i - u_j = \kappa_{ij}$  is constant on simplex  $U_i \cap U_j$ , it is a 1-cocycle of the triangulation. Conversely, pick partition of unity  $\chi_i$ . Given cocycle  $\kappa$ , set  $u_i = \sum_j \chi_j \kappa_{ij}$ . Then  $du_i - du_i = 0$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$ , hence defines a closed 1-form.

# Theorem (Pansu)

Proposition merely requires even weaker analytic information : it suffices that a closed form on ball of radius 2 have a primitive on unit ball with controlled norms.

"Loss on domain is allowed".

 Motivation
 Rumin's complex

 Sub-Riemannian case
 Heisenberg Poincaré inequality

 Questions
 Back to  $\ell^{p,q}$ -cohomology

To handle Carnot groups G, need homogeneous Laplacian : Rumin?

Forms on *G* split into several weights under dilations. Let  $d_0$  be the weight 0 (algebraic) part of *d*. Pick complements of  $\ker(d_0)$  and  $\operatorname{im}(d_0)$  and define  $d_0^{-1}$ . Then powers of  $1 - d_0^{-1}d - dd_0^{-1}$  stabilize to a projector  $\Pi_E$  onto a subcomplex *E*.  $\Pi_0 = 1 - d_0^{-1}d_0 - d_0d_0^{-1}$  projects to a subspace  $E_R$  of forms where less weights occur. Set

 $d_R = \Pi_0 \circ d \circ \Pi_E.$ 

Then Rumin's complex  $(E_R, d_R)$  is homotopic to the de Rham complex.

 $\begin{array}{c|c} & \text{Rumin's complex} \\ \text{Sub-Riemannian case} \\ & \text{Questions} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Rumin's complex} \\ \text{Heisenberg Poincaré inequalit} \\ \text{Back to } \ell^{p,q} \text{-cohomology} \end{array}$ 

To handle Carnot groups G, need homogeneous Laplacian : Rumin?

Forms on *G* split into several weights under dilations. Let  $d_0$  be the weight 0 (algebraic) part of *d*. Pick complements of  $\ker(d_0)$  and  $\operatorname{im}(d_0)$  and define  $d_0^{-1}$ . Then powers of  $1 - d_0^{-1}d - dd_0^{-1}$  stabilize to a projector  $\Pi_E$  onto a subcomplex *E*.  $\Pi_0 = 1 - d_0^{-1}d_0 - d_0d_0^{-1}$  projects to a subspace  $E_R$  of forms where less weights occur. Set

$$d_R = \Pi_0 \circ d \circ \Pi_E.$$

Then Rumin's complex  $(E_R, d_R)$  is homotopic to the de Rham complex.

**Example**. Heisenberg group  $\mathbb{H}^1$ .

- $E_R^1$  consists of horizontal 1-forms,  $d_R: E_R^0 \to E_R^1$  is the horizontal gradient.
- $E_R^2$  consists of vertical 2-forms.  $\Pi_E$  extends a horizontal 1-form  $\alpha$  in such a way that  $d\Pi_E \alpha$  is vertical (unique choice). Hence  $d_R \alpha = d\Pi_E \alpha$  involves second derivatives.

 $\begin{array}{c|c} & \text{Motivation} \\ \text{Sub-Riemannian case} \\ & \text{Questions} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Rumin's complex} \\ \text{Heisenberg Poincaré inequality} \\ \text{Back to } \ell^{p,q} \text{-cohomology} \end{array}$ 

More generally, for Heisenberg group  $\mathbb{H}^n$ ,  $E_R$  has exactly one weight in each degree, hence  $d_R$  is homogeneous under Heisenberg dilations. It has order 1, except in degree n where it has order 2.

One can make choices in a contact invariant manner :  $(E_R, d_R)$  is invariantly defined for contact manifolds.

In presence of a sub-Riemannian metric, adjoints are defined. Let  $\Delta_R := d_R^* d_R + d_R d_R^*$ be replaced with  $\Delta_R := (d_R^* d_R)^2 + d_R d_R^*$  or  $d_R^* d_R + (d_R d_R^*)^2$  in degrees n and n + 1. Then  $\Delta_R$  is maximally hypoelliptic, hence  $d_R^* \Delta_R^{-1}$  has a smooth (away from the origin), homogeneous kernel.

# Proposition (Coifman-Weiss, Koranyi-Vagi 1971)

 $T_R := d_R^* \Delta_R^{-1} \text{ is bounded } L^p \text{ to } L^q \text{ provided } 1$ 

Again,  $1 = d_R T + T d_R$ .

- - E - b

Motivation Rumi Sub-Riemannian case Questions Back

Rumin's complex Heisenberg Poincaré inequality Back to  $\ell^{P,q}$ -cohomology

Need local version.

# Theorem (Baldi-Franchi-Pansu)

Closed  $L^p$  forms defined on the Heisenberg 2-ball have  $d_R$ -primitives on the unit ball which are  $L^q$ , provided  $1 < p, q < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{2n+2}$  (resp.  $\frac{2}{2n+2}$  in degree n + 1).

Motivation Rumin's complex Sub-Riemannian case Questions

Heisenberg Poincaré inequality

Need local version

## Theorem (Baldi-Franchi-Pansu)

Closed  $L^p$  forms defined on the Heisenberg 2-ball have  $d_R$ -primitives on the unit ball which are  $L^q$ , provided  $1 < p, q < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{2p+2}$  (resp.  $\frac{2}{2p+2}$  in degree n + 1).

**Proof.** The sub-Riemannian analogue of Poincaré's homotopy formula is not compatible with Rumin's construction.

Instead, use Rumin's homotopy  $\Pi_F$  and then apply Iwaniec-Lutoborsky. Since  $\Pi_F$  is differential, this requires a preliminary smoothing homotopy.

Let  $K_R$  be the kernel of  $T_R$ . Write  $K_R = K^1 + K^2$  where  $K_1$  has small support and  $K_2$ is smooth. Then  $T_R = T^1 + T^2$ ,

$$1 = d_R T^1 + T^1 d_R + S$$

where S is smoothing.  $T^1$  and therefore S map forms defined on ball of radius 2 to forms defined on unit ball.  $T^1$  maps  $L^p$  to  $L^q$  like  $T_R$ . S wins the derivatives that  $\Pi_F$ looses.

MotivationRumin's complexSub-Riemannian caseHeisenberg Poincaré inequalityQuestionsBack to  $\ell^{p,q}$ -cohomology

Leray's method requires control on  $||d_R(\chi\omega)||_p$  in terms of  $||\omega||_p$  and  $||d_R\omega||_p$ . This fails when  $d_R$  is second order. The way around this is

## Theorem (Baldi-Franchi-Pansu)

Let  $1 < p, q < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{2n+2}$  (resp.  $\frac{2}{2n+2}$  in degree n + 1). On contact manifolds with  $C^{k+1}$ -bounded geometry, global smoothing homotopies  $1 = d_R T + T d_R + S$  are defined, where  $T : W^{s,p} \to W^{s+1,q}$  and  $S : W^{s,p} \to W^{s+k,q}$ ,  $-k \leq s \leq 0$ .

#### Corollary

Rumin's complex can be used to compute  $\ell^{q,p}$ -cohomology of contact manifolds with bounded geometry for this range of p, q.

#### Corollary

$$\ell^{q,p}H^{\cdot}(\mathbb{H}^n) = 0$$
 provided  $1 and  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \ge \frac{1}{2n+2}$  (resp.  $\frac{2}{2n+2}$  in degree  $n+1$ ).$ 

## Questions.

- **1** Sobolev inequality (i.e. for compactly supported forms)? Yes.
- ② Sharpness ? Probably yes.
- **③** Cases when p = 1 and  $q = \infty$ ? Work in progress with Baldi and Franchi.
- Other Carnot groups? Work in progress with Rumin, but sharp intervals are rarely attained.