# Subelliptic estimates for some systems of complex vector fields : quasihomogeneous case

B. Helffer (after Derridj-Helffer) Département de Mathématiques, Univ Paris-Sud, 91 405 Orsay Cedex.

ESI, Vienna, November 2006

#### Abstract

For about twenty five years it was a kind of folk theorem that complex vector-fields defined on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_t$  (with  $\Omega$  open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) by

 $L_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} + i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t_j}(\mathbf{t}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} , \ j = 1, \dots, n \ , \ \mathbf{t} \in \Omega, x \in \mathbb{R} ,$ 

were subelliptic as soon as they were hypoelliptic when  $\varphi$  was analytic. This was the case when n = 1 but in the case n > 1, an inaccurate reading of the proof given by Maire (see also Trèves) of the hypoellipticity of such systems, under the condition that  $\varphi$  does not admit any local maximum or minimum (through a non standard subelliptic estimate), was supporting the belief for this folk theorem. Quite recently, J.L. Journé and J.M.Trépreau show by examples that there are very simple systems (with polynomial  $\varphi$ 's) which were hypoelliptic but not subelliptic in the standard  $L^2$ -sense. So it is natural to analyze this problem of subellipticity which is in some sense intermediate (at least when  $\varphi$  is  $C^{\infty}$ ) between the maximal hypoellipticity (which was analyzed by Helffer-Nourrigat and Nourrigat) and the simple local hypoellipticity (or local microhypoellipticity) and to start first with the easiest non trivial examples. The analysis presented here is a continuation of a previous work by M. Derridj and is devoted to the case of quasihomogeneous functions.

### Introduction and Main result

Let  $\Omega$  an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $0 \in \Omega$ . We consider the regularity properties of the following system on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ 

$$L_{j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{j}} + i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t_{j}}(\mathbf{t}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \ j = 1, \dots, n, \ \mathbf{t} \in \Omega, x \in \mathbb{R},$$
(1)
where  $\varphi \in C^{1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ , with  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . We will
concentrate our analysis near a point  $(0, 0)$ .

Many authors have considered this type of system. They were in particular interested in the existence, for some pair (s, N) such that s + N > 0, of the following family of inequalities.

For any pair of open sets  $\omega$ , I such that  $\overline{\omega} \subset \subset \Omega$ and  $I \subset \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\exists C_{s,N}(\omega, I)$  such that

$$||u||_s^2 \le C_N(\omega, I) \left( \sum ||L_j u||_0^2 + ||u||_{-N}^2 \right) ,$$
  
$$\forall u \in C_0^\infty(\omega \times I) , \qquad (2)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_r$  denotes the Sobolev norm in  $H^r(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ .

If s > 0, we say that we have a subelliptic estimate. In [JoTre], there are also results where scan be arbitrarily negative. We will then speak about weak-subellipticity.

Note that in this case  $(s \leq 0)$  the existence of this inequality is not sufficient for proving hypoellipticity.

The system (1) being elliptic in the **t** variable, it is enough to analyze the subellipticity microlocally near  $\tau = 0$ , i.e. near  $(0, (0, \xi))$  in  $T^*(\omega \times I) \setminus \{0\}$ with  $\{\xi > 0\}$  or  $\{\xi < 0\}$ . This leads to the analysis of the existence of two

This leads to the analysis of the existence of two constants  $C_s^+$  and  $C_s^-$  such that the two following inequalities hold, for all  $u \in C_0^\infty(\omega \times \mathbb{R})$ :

 $\int_{\omega \times \mathbb{R}^+} \xi^{2s} |\widehat{u}(\mathbf{t},\xi)|^2 dt d\xi \le C_s^+ \int_{\omega \times \mathbb{R}^+} |\widehat{Lu}(\mathbf{t},\xi)|^2 d\mathbf{t} d\xi ,$ (3)

where  $\widehat{u}(\mathbf{t},\xi)$  is the partial Fourier transform of u with respect to the x variable, and

$$\int_{\omega \times \mathbb{R}^{-}} |\xi|^{2s} |\widehat{u}(\mathbf{t},\xi)|^2 d\mathbf{t} d\xi \le C_s^{-} \int_{\omega \times \mathbb{R}^{-}} |\widehat{Lu}(\mathbf{t},\xi)|^2 d\mathbf{t} d\xi , .$$
(4)

When (3) is satisfied, we will speak of microlocal subellipticity in  $\{\xi > 0\}$  and similarly when (4) is satisfied, we will speak of microlocal subellipticity in  $\{\xi < 0\}$ . Of course, when s > 0, it is standard that these two inequalities imply (2). We now observe that (3) for  $\varphi$  is equivalent to (4)

for  $-\varphi$ , so it is enough to consider the first case.

<sup>–</sup> Typeset by FoilT $_{\!E\!}\!\mathrm{X}$  –

#### The main result

In [De], Derrridj gave a sufficient condition on  $\varphi$  for getting (2) with s > 0. Here, we consider the case of quasihomogeneous functions  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (i.e. n = 2).

The conditions will be expressed for  $\varphi$  in  $C^1$  but note that they become more simple in the analytic case.

More precisely, let  $\ell$  and m in  $\mathbb R$  , such that

$$m \ge 2\ell \ge 2 . \tag{5}$$

In the analytic case, we will assume  $\ell \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

We consider in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (t,s) as the variables (instead of t) and

the functions  $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  will be quasihomogeneous in the following sense

 $\varphi(\lambda t, \lambda^{\ell} s) = \lambda^{m} \varphi(t, s) , \ \forall (t, s, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} .$  (6)

 $\varphi$  is determined by its restriction  $\widetilde{\varphi}$  to the distorted circle  ${\cal S}$ 

 $\widetilde{\varphi} := \varphi_{|\mathcal{S}|}.$ 

where S is defined by

$$S = \{(t,s); t^{2\ell} + s^2 = 1\},\$$

Our main result is stated under the following assumption

### Assumption. (H2)

(i)  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is not strictly negative.

(ii)  $\tilde{\varphi}$  can not have a local maximum equal to 0.

- (iii) If  $S_j^+$  is a component of  $\tilde{\varphi}^{(-1)}(]0, +\infty[)$ , then one can write  $S_j^+$  as a finite union of arcs satisfying Property 2 below.
- (iv) If  $S_j^-$  is a component of  $\tilde{\varphi}^{(-1)}(] \infty, 0[)$ , then  $\tilde{\varphi}$  has a unique minimum in  $S_j^-$ .
- (v)  $\exists p \geq 1$ , s. t., if  $\theta_0$  is a zero of  $\tilde{\varphi}$ , then  $\exists$  an open arc  $\mathcal{V}_{\theta_0}$  containing  $\theta_0$  and  $C_0 > 0$ , such that

$$|\widetilde{\varphi}(\theta) - \widetilde{\varphi}(\theta')| \ge \frac{1}{C_0} |\theta - \theta'|^p, \ \forall \theta, \theta' \in \mathcal{V}_{\theta_0}, \ (7)$$

with  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  in the same side.

– Typeset by Foil $\mathrm{T}_{\!E\!}\mathrm{X}$  –

Here in the third item, we say that a closed arc  $[\theta, \theta']$  has Property (P) if :

## Property. [(P)]

There exists on this arc  $\hat{\theta}$  s. t.

(i)  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is non decreasing on the arc  $\left[\theta, \hat{\theta}\right]$  and non increasing  $\left[\hat{\theta}, \theta'\right]$ .

(ii)

 $\langle \widehat{\theta} \, | \, \theta \rangle_{\ell} \geq 0 \text{ and } \langle \widehat{\theta} \, | \, \theta' \rangle_{\ell} \geq 0 ,$ where for  $\theta = (\alpha, \beta)$  and  $\widehat{\theta} = (\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta})$  in  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$\langle \widehat{\theta} | \theta \rangle_{\ell} := \widehat{\alpha} \alpha |\widehat{\alpha}|^{\ell-1} |\alpha|^{\ell-1} + \widehat{\beta} \beta$$
.

We can now state our main theorem :

### Theorem 1.

Let  $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$  satisfying (6), with  $\ell$  and m satisfying (5). Then Assumption (H2) implies that the system is microlocally  $\alpha$ -subelliptic in the  $\{\xi > 0\}$  direction with  $\alpha = \frac{1}{\max(m,p)}$ .

### Remarks.

(i) [De] was considering the homogeneous case  $\ell = 1$ and  $m \geq 2$ .

(ii) If  $\varphi$  is analytic and  $\ell$  is rational. The statement of the main theorem becomes simpler. (iii) and (v) are indeed automatically satisfied as soon that  $\tilde{\varphi}$ is not identically 0. Moreover, if we write  $\ell = \frac{\ell_2}{\ell_1}$ (with  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  mutually prime integers), all the criteria on  $\tilde{\varphi}$  can be reinterpreted as criteria for the restriction  $\hat{\varphi}$  of  $\varphi$  on

$$S_{\ell_1,\ell_2} = \{(t,s) ; t^{2\ell_2} + s^{2\ell_1} = 1\}.$$

– Typeset by FoilT $_{\!E\!}\!\mathrm{X}$  –

# Derridj's subellipticity criterion.

**Assumption.**  $(H_+(\alpha))$  $\exists \widetilde{\omega} \subset \omega$ , with full Lebesgue measure in  $\omega$  and

 $\widetilde{\omega} \times [0,1] \ni (\mathbf{t},\tau) \mapsto \gamma(\mathbf{t},\tau) \in \Omega \;,$ 

such that

(i)  $\gamma(\mathbf{t},0) = \mathbf{t}$ ;  $\gamma(\mathbf{t},1) \notin \omega$ ,  $\forall \mathbf{t} \in \widetilde{\omega}$ .

(ii) γ is C<sup>1</sup> outside a negligeable set E and ∃C<sub>1</sub> > 0, C<sub>2</sub> > 0 and C<sub>3</sub> > 0 s.t.
(a)

$$|\partial_{\tau}\gamma(\mathbf{t},\tau)| \leq C_2 , \ \forall (\mathbf{t},\tau) \in \widetilde{\omega} \times [0,1] \setminus E .$$

*(b)* 

$$\det(D_{\mathbf{t}}\gamma)(\mathbf{t},\tau)| \ge \frac{1}{C_1}$$

where  $\det D_t \gamma$  denotes the Jacobian of  $\gamma$  considered as a map from  $\widetilde{\omega}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

(c)

$$\varphi(\gamma(\mathbf{t}, \tau)) - \varphi(\mathbf{t}) \ge \frac{1}{C_3} \tau^{\alpha} , \ \forall (\mathbf{t}, \tau) \in \widetilde{\omega} \times [0, 1] .$$

Let us recall the result of [De].

### Theorem 2.

If  $\varphi$  satisfies  $(H_+(\alpha))$ , then the associated system  $(1)_{\varphi}$  is microlocally  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ -subelliptic in  $\{\xi > 0\}$ .

The proof is easy after taking the partial Fourier transform (with respect to x) and reexpressing u from Lu.

### **Quasihomogeneous structure**

#### **Distorted geometry**

In the description of escaping curves, it appears useful to extend the usual terminology used in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . This is realized by introducing the *dressing* map :

$$(t,s) \mapsto d_{\ell}(t,s) = (t |t|^{\ell-1}, s)$$
 . (8)

The first example was the unit distorted circle S whose image by  $d_{\ell}$  becomes the standard unit circle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  centered at (0,0).

Similarly, we will speak of disto-sectors, disto-arcs, disto-rays.

The "disto" scalar product of two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ (t, s) et (t', s') is then given by

$$\langle (t,s) \mid (t',s') \rangle_{\ell} = tt' |tt'|^{\ell-1} + ss'$$
. (9)

(for  $\ell = 1$ , we recover the standard scalar product).

For  $(t,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we introduce also the quasihomogeneous positive function  $\varrho$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by :

$$\varrho(t,s)^{2\ell} = t^{2\ell} + s^2 .$$
(10)

With these notations, we observe that

$$(\widetilde{t}, \widetilde{s}) := \left(\frac{t}{\varrho(t,s)}, \frac{s}{\varrho(t,s)}\right) \in \mathcal{S},$$
 (11)

and

$$(t,s)\in \mathcal{R}_{(\widetilde{t},\widetilde{s})}$$
 .

The open disto-disk D(R) is then defined by

$$D(R) = \{ (x, y) \mid \varrho(x, y) < R \} .$$

Once an orientation is defined on S, two points  $\theta_1$ and  $\theta_2$  (or  $(a_1, b_1)$  and  $(a_2, b_2)$ ) on S will determine a unique "sector"  $V \subset D(1)$ .

### **Distorted dynamics**

The parametrized curves  $\gamma$  permitting to satisfy Assumption will actually be "lines" (possibly broken) finally escaping from a neighborhood of the origin. In parametric coordinates, with

$$t(\tau) = t + \varrho\tau, \ \varrho = \pm c, \qquad (12)$$

the curve  $\gamma$  starting from (t,s) and "parallel" to (c,d) is defined by writing that the vectors  $(t(\tau)|t(\tau)|^{\ell-1}-t|t|^{\ell-1},s(\tau)-s)$  and  $(c|c|^{\ell-1},d)$  are collinear :

$$(t(\tau)|t(\tau)|^{\ell-1} - t|t|^{\ell-1}) d = c|c|^{\ell-1}(s(\tau) - s) ,$$

and we find

$$s(\tau) = s + \frac{d}{c|c|^{\ell-1}} \left( t(\tau)|t(\tau)|^{\ell-1} - t|t|^{\ell-1} \right) , \quad (13)$$

We consider the map  $\sigma \mapsto f_\ell(\sigma)$  which is defined by

$$f_{\ell}(\sigma) = \sigma |\sigma|^{\ell-1}$$
.

Note that

$$f'_{\ell}(\sigma) = \ell |\sigma|^{\ell-1} \ge 0$$
.

With this new function, (13) can be written as

$$df_{\ell}(t(\tau)) - s(\tau)f_{\ell}(c) = df_{\ell}(t) - sf_{\ell}(c) .$$
 (14)

This leads us to use the notion of distorted determinant of two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

$$\Delta_{\ell}(v;w) = f_{\ell}(v_1)w_2 - v_2 f_{\ell}(w_1) \; .$$

We will also write :

$$\Delta_{\ell}(v;w) = \Delta_{\ell}(v_1,v_2,w_1,w_2) \; .$$

With these notations, (14) can be written

$$\Delta_{\ell}(c, d, t(\tau), s(\tau)) = \Delta_{\ell}(c, d, t, s) ,$$

We now look at the variation of  $\psi$  which is defined (for a given initial point (t, s)) by

$$\tau \mapsto \psi(\tau) = \rho(\tau)^{2\ell} = t(\tau)^{2\ell} + s(\tau)^2$$
. (15)

Easy computations give also :

$$\psi'(\tau) = \frac{2\varrho}{f_{\ell}(c)} f'_{\ell}(t+\varrho\tau) \langle (c,d) \mid (t(\tau), s(\tau)) \rangle_{\ell}$$

We now analyze the variation of the "scalar product"  $\langle (c,d) \mid (t(\tau), s(\tau)) \rangle_{\ell}$  as a function of  $\tau$ . We have the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (c,d) \mid (t(\tau), s(\tau)) \rangle_{\ell} \\ &= \langle (c,d) \mid (t,s) \rangle_{\ell} + \frac{1}{f_{\ell}(c)} (f_{\ell}(t(\tau)) - f_{\ell}(t)) . \end{aligned}$$

If we now assume that

$$c\varrho > 0$$
,  $\langle (c,d) \mid (a,b) \rangle_{\ell} \ge 0$ , (16)

Then for (s,t) in the unit sector  $\mathcal{V}_{abcd}$  associated to the arc ((a,b), (c,d)), we obtain :

$$\psi'(\tau) \ge \frac{1}{f_{\ell}(c)^2} \times \left(2\varrho f'_{\ell}(t+\varrho\tau) \left(f_{\ell}(t(\tau)) - f_{\ell}(t)\right)\right) .$$

We rewrite this inequality in the form

$$\psi'(\sigma) \ge \frac{1}{f_{\ell}(c)^2} \times \left( (f_{\ell}(t(\sigma)) - f_{\ell}(t))^2 \right)', \ \forall \sigma \ge 0.$$

Integrating over  $[0, \tau]$ , we get for  $\tau \geq 0$  :

$$\psi(\tau) \ge \frac{1}{f_{\ell}(c)^2} \times (f_{\ell}(t(\tau)) - f_{\ell}(t))^2$$
.

We now need the following

### **Lemma 1.** For any $\ell \geq 1$ , $\tau \geq 0$ , and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$f_{\ell}(\tau + \gamma) - f_{\ell}(\gamma) \ge f_{\ell}(\frac{\tau}{2}) . \tag{17}$$

But using Lemma 1, this leads to

#### Lemma 2.

Under Condition (16), we have, for any  $\tau \ge 0$ , for any  $(t,s) \in \mathcal{V}_{abcd}$ ,

$$\rho(\tau)^{2\ell} - \rho(0)^{2\ell} \ge (\frac{\varrho\tau}{2c})^{2\ell} .$$
(18)

If instead  $\varrho c < 0$ , we obtain :

$$\rho(\tau)^{2\ell} - \rho(0)^{2\ell} \le -(\frac{\varrho\tau}{2c})^{2\ell} .$$
(19)

We continue by analyzing the variation of  $s(\tau)$ and  $t(\tau)$  and more precisely the variation on the disto-circle of :

$$\widetilde{t}(\tau) = \frac{t(\tau)}{\rho(\tau)}, \ \widetilde{s}(\tau) = \frac{s(\tau)}{\rho(\tau)^{\ell}}.$$

After some computations, we get, with

 $\varrho = \pm c$ ,

$$\widetilde{t}'(\tau) = \pm |c|^{1-\ell} \frac{s(\tau)}{\rho(\tau)^{2\ell+1}} \Delta_{\ell}(c, d, t, s) ,$$

which can also be written in the form

$$\widetilde{t}'(\tau) = \pm |c|^{1-\ell} \frac{\widetilde{s}(\tau)}{\rho(\tau)} \Delta_{\ell}(c, d, \widetilde{t}(\tau), \widetilde{s}(\tau)) .$$

Similarly, we get for  $\widetilde{s}'$ 

$$\widetilde{s}'(\tau) = \mp \ell |c|^{1-\ell} \frac{t(\tau)^{2\ell-1}}{\rho(\tau)^{3\ell}} \Delta_{\ell}(c,d,t,s) ,$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$ 

$$\widetilde{s}'(\tau) = \mp \ell |c|^{1-\ell} \frac{\widetilde{t}^{2\ell-1}(\tau)}{\rho(\tau)} \Delta_{\ell}(c, d, \widetilde{t}(\tau), \widetilde{s}(\tau)) .$$

### The analytic case and $\ell \in \mathbb{Q}$

We keep the previous assumptions but now assume that

 $\ell = \ell_2/\ell_1 \; ,$ 

with  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  mutually prime integers and that  $\varphi$  is analytic. In this case assumption (6) on  $\varphi$  implies that  $\varphi$  is actually a polynomial and we can write  $\varphi$  in the form

$$\varphi(t,s) = \sum_{\ell_1 j + \ell_2 k = \ell_1 m} a_{j,k} t^j s^k , \qquad (20)$$

where (j, k) are integers and the  $a_{j,k}$  are real. We can of course apply the main theorem but it is nicer to have a criterion involving more directly the assumptions on  $\varphi$  instead those on  $\tilde{\varphi}$ . It is indeed more natural to express the conditions on the restriction  $\hat{\varphi}$  of  $\varphi$  to the quasi-circle

$$S_{\ell_1,\ell_2} := \{ t^{2\ell_2} + s^{2\ell_1} = 1 \} .$$

instead of the disto-circle  $\mathcal{S}$ . There are absolutely no problems if the critical points or zeroes of  $\tilde{\varphi}$  avoid

 $\{t = 0\} \cup \{s = 0\}$  but one should be more careful in order to analyze Condition (7), if it is not satisfied.

### Theorem 3.

Let  $\varphi$  be a real analytic non identically 0 quasihomogeneous function satisfying (6) and (5), with  $\ell = \ell_2/\ell_1$ . Suppose that  $\varphi$  is not a negative function. Suppose in addition that : If  $S_k^- = (\theta_k, \theta_{k+1})$  is a maximal arc where  $\widehat{\varphi}$  is negative, then  $\widehat{\varphi}'$  has a unique zero on  $]\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}[$ . Then  $\varphi$  satisfies  $(H_+)$  with  $\alpha > 0$ . Hence the system (1) is microlocally subelliptic in  $\{\xi > 0\}$ .

### Example 4.

We recover some examples treated by H. Maire [Mai4]

 $\varphi(t,s) = t(s^2 - t^{2\ell}) , \ \ell \ge 1 .$ 

Here  $m = 2\ell + 1$ .

#### Around Journé-Trépreau

For

$$\varphi(t,s) = -t^{2m} - t^2 s^{2p} + s^q ,$$

with

$$m \ge 1 , \ p \ge 2 , \ q \ge \frac{2mp}{m-1} ,$$

J.L. Journé and J.M. Trépreau show that one cannot obtain a better  $\rho$ -subellipticity than

$$\rho \leq -(1 - \frac{2p}{q} - \frac{1}{m})\frac{n-1}{4} + \frac{1}{2q} + \frac{m-1}{4mp} .$$

The right hand side can become strictly negative, but **Not** in the quasihomogeneous case !!

Inside this class (m = 2, p = 2), a particularly interesting example where the authors can obtain the optimal subellipticity is

$$\varphi(t,s) = -t^4 - t^2 s^4 + s^q ,$$

with  $q \geq 8$ .

The optimal subellipticity is  $\rho_q = \frac{3}{2q} - \frac{1}{16}$ . Here let us observe that the only quasihomogeneous case corresponds to q = 8 and that in this case their result is coherent with our result. This example show also that we loose the "positive" subellipticity for  $q \ge 24$ .

## References

- [De] M. Derridj. Subelliptic estimates for some systems of complex vector fields. Communication at a conference in Ferrara (March 2005) and to appear in the book "Hyperbolic problems and regularity questions". Series Trends in Mathematics. Edtrs : M. Padula and L. Zanghirati. Birkhäuser.
- [HeNi] B. Helffer and F. Nier. Hypoelliptic estimates and spectral theory for Fokker-Planck operators and Witten Laplacians. Lecture Notes in Math. 1862, Springer Verlag, Berlin 2005.
- [HelNo3] B. Helffer and J. Nourrigat. Hypoellipticité maximale pour des opérateurs polynômes de champs de vecteur. Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Vol. 58 (1985).
- [Ho1] L. Hörmander. Hypoelliptic second order differential equations. Acta Mathematica 119 (1967), p. 147-171.
- [Ho2] L. Hörmander. Subelliptic operators. Seminar on singularities of solutions of partial differential

<sup>–</sup> Typeset by FoilT $_{\!E\!}\!\mathrm{X}$  –

equations. Ann. Math. Studies 91 (1978), p. 127-208.

- [JoTre] J.L. Journé and J.M. Trépreau. Hypoellipticité sans sous-ellipticité : le cas des systèmes de n champs de vecteurs complexes en (n + 1)- variables. Séminaire EDP in Ecole Polytechnique, April 2006.
- [Mai1] H.M. Maire. Hypoelliptic overdetermined systems of partial differential equations. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 5 (4), p. 331-380 (1980).
- [Mai2] H.M. Maire. Résolubilité et hypoellipticité de systèmes surdéterminés. Séminaire Goulaouic-Schwartz 1979-1980, Exp. V, Ecole Polytechnique (1980).
- [Mai3] H.M. Maire. Necessary and sufficient condition for maximal hypoellipticity of  $\bar{\partial}_b$ . Unpublished (1979).
- [Mai4] H.M. Maire. Régularité optimale des solutions de systèmes différentiels et du

Laplacien associé : application au  $\Box_b$ . Math. Ann. 258, p. 55-63 (1981).

- [No1] J. Nourrigat. Subelliptic estimates for systems of pseudo-differential operators. Course in Recife (1982). University of Recife.
- [No2] J. Nourrigat. Inégalités L<sup>2</sup> et représentations de groupes nilpotents. J. Funct. Anal. 74 (2), p. 300-327 (1987).
- [No3] J. Nourrigat. Réduction microlocale des systèmes d'opérateurs pseudo-différentiels. Ann. Inst. Fourier 36 (3), p. 83-108 (1986).
- [No4] J. Nourrigat. Systèmes sous-elliptiques. Séminaire Equations aux Dérivées Partielles, 1986-1987, exposé V, Ecole Polytechnique (1987).
- [No5] J. Nourrigat. Subelliptic systems II. Inv. Math. 104 (2) (1991), p. 377-400.
- [RoSt] L.P. Rothschild and E.M. Stein. Hypoelliptic differential operators and nilpotent groups. Acta Mathematica 137, p. 248-315 (1977).

<sup>–</sup> Typeset by  $\mathsf{FoilT}_{\!E\!X}$  –

- [Tr1] F. Trèves. A new method of proof of the subelliptic estimates. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 24 (1971), p. 71-115.
- [Tr2] F. Trèves. Study of a model in the theory of complexes of pseudo-differential operators. Ann. of Math. (2) 104, p. 269-324 (1976). See also erratum: Ann. of Math. (2) 113, p. 423 (1981).