> Spectral theory for magnetic Schrödinger operators and applications to liquid crystals (after Bauman-Calderer-Liu-Phillips, Pan, Helffer-Pan)

> > Bernard Helffer (Univ Paris-Sud and CNRS)

Ryukoku (June 2008)

.

In [P2], based on the de Gennes analogy between liquid crystals and superconductivity [dG2], X. Pan introduced the critical wave number $Q_{c_{1}}$ (which is an analog of the upper critical field $H_{c_{2}}$ for superconductors) and predicted the existence of a surface smectic state, which was supposed to be an analog of the surface superconducting state. In this talk we study an approximate form of the Landau-de Gennes functional (modelling the properties of liquid crystals) and discuss the behavior of its minimizers. Our results (obtained with X. Pan) suggest that a liquid crystal with large Ginzburg-Landau parameter κ will be in the surface smectic state if the number $q\tau$ lies asymptotically between κ^2 and κ^2/Θ_0 . where Θ_0 is the lowest eigenvalue of the Schrödinger operator with a unit magnetic field in the half space, which satisfies $0 < \Theta_0 < 1$. This is a natural extension of what I have done in collaboration with S. Fournais in superconductivity.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

The energy for the model in Liquid Crystals can be written¹ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[\psi,\mathbf{n}] &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ |\nabla_{q\mathbf{n}}\psi|^2 - \kappa^2 |\psi|^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2} |\psi|^4 \\ &+ K_1 \,|\, \operatorname{div}\,\mathbf{n}|^2 + K_2 \,|\mathbf{n} \cdot \,\operatorname{curl}\,\mathbf{n} + \tau|^2 + K_3 \,|\mathbf{n} \times \,\operatorname{curl}\,\mathbf{n}|^2 \right\} dx \,, \end{aligned}$$

where :

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is the region occupied by the liquid crystal,
- ψ is a complex-valued function called the *order parameter*,
- n is a real vector field of unit length called *director field*,
- q is a real number called wave number,
- au is a real number measuring the chiral pitch,
- $K_1 > 0$, $K_2 > 0$ and $K_3 > 0$ are called the *elastic coefficients*,
- $\kappa > 0$ depends on the material and on temperature.

¹This is an already simplified model where boundary terms have been eliminated. $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Xi \rangle \langle \Xi \rangle$

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

The questions are then :

What is the minimum of the energy ?

What is the nature of the minimizers ?

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

-

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

The questions are then :

What is the minimum of the energy ?

What is the nature of the minimizers ?

Of course the answer depends heavily on the various parameters !!

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

As in the theory of superconductivity, a special role will be played by the following critical points of the functional, i.e. the pairs

$(0, \mathbf{n})$,

where \mathbf{n} should minimize the second part :

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\{ K_1 \, | \, \operatorname{div} \, \mathbf{n} |^2 + K_2 \, | \mathbf{n} \cdot \, \operatorname{curl} \, \mathbf{n} + \tau |^2 + K_3 \, | \mathbf{n} \times \, \operatorname{curl} \, \mathbf{n} |^2 \right\} dx \; .$$

These special solutions are called "nematic phases" and one is naturally asking if they are minimizers or local minimizers of the functional.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

For $\tau > 0$, let us consider $C(\tau)$ the set of the S²-valued vectors satisfying :

 $\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n} = -\tau \mathbf{n}$, $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{n} = 0$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

-

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

For $\tau > 0$, let us consider $C(\tau)$ the set of the S²-valued vectors satisfying :

curl $\mathbf{n} = -\tau \mathbf{n}$, div $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0}$.

It can be shown that $C(\tau)$ consists of the vector fields \mathbb{N}^{Q}_{τ} such that, for some $Q \in SO(3)$,

$$\mathbb{N}^{Q}_{\tau}(x) \equiv Q \mathbb{N}_{\tau}(Q^{t}x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$
(1)

where

 $\mathbb{N}_{\tau}(y_1, y_2, y_3) = (\cos(\tau y_3), \sin(\tau y_3), 0), \ \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^3.$ (2)

(日) (同) (三) (三)

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

For $\tau > 0$, let us consider $C(\tau)$ the set of the S²-valued vectors satisfying :

$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n} = -\tau \mathbf{n} , \operatorname{div} \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0} .$

It can be shown that $C(\tau)$ consists of the vector fields \mathbb{N}^{Q}_{τ} such that, for some $Q \in SO(3)$,

$$\mathbb{N}^{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{\tau}(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv \boldsymbol{Q} \mathbb{N}_{\tau}(\boldsymbol{Q}^{t}\boldsymbol{x}), \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega,$$
(1)

where

$$\mathbb{N}_{\tau}(y_1, y_2, y_3) = (\cos(\tau y_3), \sin(\tau y_3), 0), \ \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$
 (2)

Note that is also equivalent, when $|\mathbf{n}|^2 = 1$ to

div $\mathbf{n} = 0$, $\mathbf{n} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n} + \tau = 0$, $\mathbf{n} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{n} = 0$. (3)

So the last three terms in the functional vanish iff $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)$.

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

As a consequence, if we denote by

$$C(K_1, K_2, K_3, \kappa, q, \tau) = \inf_{(\psi, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{V}(\Omega)} \mathcal{E}[\psi, \mathbf{n}],$$

the infimum of the energy over the natural maximal form domain of the functional, then

$$C(K_1, K_2, K_3, \kappa, q, \tau) \leq c(\kappa, q, \tau) , \qquad (4)$$

where

$$c(\kappa, q, \tau) = \inf_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)} \inf_{\psi} \mathcal{G}_{q\mathbf{n}}(\psi)$$
(5)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

and $\mathcal{G}_{qn}(\psi)$ is the so called the reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional.

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

Given a vector field **A**, this functional is defined on $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$\psi \mapsto \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A}}[\psi] = \int_{\Omega} \{ |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi|^2 - \kappa^2 |\psi|^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2} |\psi|^4 \} \, dx \,. \tag{6}$$

For convenience, we also write $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{A}}[\psi]$ as $\mathcal{G}[\psi, \mathbf{A}]$. So we have

$$c(\kappa, q, \tau) = \inf_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau), \psi \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})} \mathcal{G}[\psi, q\mathbf{n}].$$
(7)

and

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi, \mathbf{n}) = \mathcal{G}[\psi, q\mathbf{n}] , \qquad (8)$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

if

 $\mathbf{n}\in\mathcal{C}(au)$.

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

We have seen that in full generality that

 $C(K_1, K_2, K_3, \kappa, q, \tau) \leq c(\kappa, q, \tau) .$ (9)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

-

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

We have seen that in full generality that

$$C(K_1, K_2, K_3, \kappa, q, \tau) \le c(\kappa, q, \tau) .$$
(9)

Conversely, it can be shown [BCLP, P2, HP2], that when the elastic parameters tend to $+\infty$, the converse is asymptotically true.

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

We have seen that in full generality that

 $C(K_1, K_2, K_3, \kappa, q, \tau) \le c(\kappa, q, \tau) .$ (9)

Conversely, it can be shown [BCLP, P2, HP2], that when the elastic parameters tend to $+\infty$, the converse is asymptotically true.

Proposition 1

$$\lim_{K_1,K_2,K_3\to+\infty} C(K_1,K_2,K_3,\kappa,q,\tau) = c(\kappa,q,\tau) .$$
 (10)

So $c(\kappa, q, \tau)$ is a good approximation for the minimal value of \mathcal{E} for large K_j 's. Note that an interesting open problem is to control the rate of convergence in (10).

Bernard Helffer (Univ Paris-Sud and CNRS) Spectral theory for magnetic Schrödinger operators and application

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

We now examine the non-triviality of the minimizers realizing $c(\kappa, q, \tau)$.

3

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

We now examine the non-triviality of the minimizers realizing $c(\kappa, q, \tau)$.

As for the Ginzburg-Landau functional in superconductivity, this question is closely related to the analysis of the lowest eigenvalue $\mu(q\mathbf{n})$ of the Neumann realization of the magnetic Schrödinger operator

in Ω , with

 $\nabla_{q\mathbf{n}} = \nabla - i q\mathbf{n} \; ,$

 $-\nabla^2_{qn}$

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

We now examine the non-triviality of the minimizers realizing $c(\kappa, q, \tau)$.

As for the Ginzburg-Landau functional in superconductivity, this question is closely related to the analysis of the lowest eigenvalue $\mu(q\mathbf{n})$ of the Neumann realization of the magnetic Schrödinger operator

in Ω , with

$$\nabla_{q\mathbf{n}} = \nabla - i q\mathbf{n} \; ,$$

 $-\nabla_{qn}^2$

namely $\mu = \mu(q\mathbf{n})$ is the lowest eigenvalue of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla_{q\mathbf{n}}^{2}\phi = \mu\phi & \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \nu \cdot \nabla_{q\mathbf{n}}\phi = 0 & \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(11)

イロト イポト イラト イラト

where ν is the unit outer normal of $\partial \Omega$.

Abstract Some questions in the theory of Liquid crystals Spectral Theory for Schrödinger with magnetic field Bibliography The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

But the new point is that we will minimize over $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)$. So we shall actually meet

$$\mu_*(q,\tau) = \inf_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)} \mu(q\mathbf{n}).$$
(12)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

-

The model A first upper bound Reduced Ginzburg-Landau functional A limiting case Minimizers of the reduced G-L functional

Our main comparison statement (analogous to a statement in Fournais-Helffer [FH3] for surface superconductivity) is :

Proposition 2

$$-\frac{\kappa^2 |\Omega|}{2} [1 - \kappa^{-2} \mu_*(\boldsymbol{q}, \tau)]^2 \le c(\kappa, \boldsymbol{q}, \tau) \tag{13}$$

and

$$c(\kappa, q, \tau) \leq -\frac{\kappa^2}{2} [1 - \kappa^{-2} \mu_*(q, \tau)]_+^2 \sup_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)} \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{S}p(q\mathbf{n})} \frac{(\int_{\Omega} |\phi|^2 \, dx)^2}{\int_{\Omega} |\phi|^4 \, dx},$$
(14)
where $\mathcal{S}p(q\mathbf{n})$ is the eigenspace associated to $\mu(q\mathbf{n})$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

This shows also that $c(\kappa, q, \tau)$ is strictly negative if and only $\mu_*(\kappa, \tau) < \kappa^2$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Main questions} \\ \mbox{A simpler question} \\ \mbox{Semi-classical case : } q\tau \mbox{ large} \\ \mbox{Perturbative case : } q\tau \mbox{ small} \end{array}$

As a consequence of Proposition 2, we obtain that the transition from nematic phases to non-nematic phases (the so called smectic phases) is strongly related to the analysis of the solution of

$$1 - \kappa^{-2} \mu_*(q, \tau) = 0.$$
 (15)

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Main questions} \\ \mbox{A simpler question} \\ \mbox{Semi-classical case : } q\tau \mbox{ large} \\ \mbox{Perturbative case : } q\tau \mbox{ small} \end{array}$

As a consequence of Proposition 2, we obtain that the transition from nematic phases to non-nematic phases (the so called smectic phases) is strongly related to the analysis of the solution of

$$1 - \kappa^{-2} \mu_*(q, \tau) = 0.$$
 (15)

This is a pure spectral problem concerning a family indexed by $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)$ of Schrödinger operators with magnetic field $-\nabla_{qn}^2$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Main questions} \\ \mbox{A simpler question} \\ \mbox{Semi-classical case : } q\tau \mbox{ large} \\ \mbox{Perturbative case : } q\tau \mbox{ small} \end{array}$

As a consequence of Proposition 2, we obtain that the transition from nematic phases to non-nematic phases (the so called smectic phases) is strongly related to the analysis of the solution of

$$1 - \kappa^{-2} \mu_*(q, \tau) = 0.$$
 (15)

This is a pure spectral problem concerning a family indexed by $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)$ of Schrödinger operators with magnetic field $-\nabla_{qn}^2$.

In the analysis of (15), the monotonicity of $q \mapsto \mu_*(q, \tau)$ is an interesting open question (see Fournais-Helffer [FH3] in Surface Superconductivity).

イロト イポト イラト イラト

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Main questions} \\ \mbox{A simpler question} \\ \mbox{Semi-classical case : } q\tau \mbox{ large} \\ \mbox{Perturbative case : } q\tau \mbox{ small} \end{array}$

As a consequence of Proposition 2, we obtain that the transition from nematic phases to non-nematic phases (the so called smectic phases) is strongly related to the analysis of the solution of

$$1 - \kappa^{-2} \mu_*(q, \tau) = 0.$$
 (15)

This is a pure spectral problem concerning a family indexed by $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)$ of Schrödinger operators with magnetic field $-\nabla_{qn}^2$.

In the analysis of (15), the monotonicity of $q \mapsto \mu_*(q, \tau)$ is an interesting open question (see Fournais-Helffer [FH3] in Surface Superconductivity).

This will permit indeed to find a unique solution of (15) permitting a natural definition of the critical value $Q_{C3}(\kappa, \tau)$.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Main questions} \\ \mbox{A simpler question} \\ \mbox{Semi-classical case : } q\tau \mbox{ large} \\ \mbox{Perturbative case : } q\tau \mbox{ small} \end{array}$

We have proved with Pan that if τ stays in a bounded interval, then this quantity and $\mu_*(q, \tau)$ can be controlled in two regimes

 $\blacktriangleright \ \sigma
ightarrow +\infty$,

 $\blacktriangleright \ \sigma
ightarrow 0$,

where

 $\sigma = q\tau$

which is in some sense the leading parameter in the theory.

-

Main questions A simpler question Semi-classical case : $q\tau$ large Perturbative case : $q\tau$ small

A simpler question which is partially solved in Pan [P2] (with the help of [HM3]) and corresponds to the case $\tau = 0$ is the following :

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Main questions A simpler question Semi-classical case : $q\tau$ large Perturbative case : $q\tau$ small

A simpler question which is partially solved in Pan [P2] (with the help of [HM3]) and corresponds to the case $\tau = 0$ is the following :

Given a strictly convex open set, find the direction **h** of the constant magnetic field giving asymptotically as $\sigma \to +\infty$ the lowest energy for the Neumann realization in Ω of the Schrödinger operator with magnetic field σ **h**.

Main questions A simpler question Semi-classical case : $q\tau$ large Perturbative case : $q\tau$ small

When looking at the general problem, various problems occur.

²This condition can be relaxed [Ray] at the price of a worse remainder. Bernard Helffer (Univ Paris-Sud and CNRS) Spectral theory for magnetic Schrödinger operators and applications

Main questions A simpler question Semi-classical case : $q\tau$ large Perturbative case : $q\tau$ small

When looking at the general problem, various problems occur.

The magnetic field $-q\tau \mathbf{n}$ (corresponding when $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)$ to the magnetic potential $q\mathbf{n}$) is no more constant, so one should extend the analysis of Helffer-Morame [HM3] to this case.

²This condition can be relaxed [Ray] at the price of a worse remainder. Bernard Helffer (Univ Paris-Sud and CNRS) Spectral theory for magnetic Schrödinger operators and applications applications and applications and applications and applications applications and applications and applications and applications applications and applications applications applications and applications a

Main questions A simpler question Semi-classical case : $q\tau$ large Perturbative case : $q\tau$ small

When looking at the general problem, various problems occur.

The magnetic field $-q\tau \mathbf{n}$ (corresponding when $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{C}(\tau)$ to the magnetic potential $q\mathbf{n}$) is no more constant, so one should extend the analysis of Helffer-Morame [HM3] to this case. A first analysis (semi-classical in spirit) gives :

Theorem 3

As $\sigma = q\tau \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\mu_*(q,\tau) = \Theta_0(q\tau) + \mathcal{O}((q\tau)^{\frac{2}{3}}) \tag{16}$$

where the remainder is controlled uniformly for $\tau \in [0, \tau_0]$.

²This condition can be relaxed [Ray] at the price of a worse remainder. Bernard Helffer (Univ Paris-Sud and CNRS) Spectral theory for magnetic Schrödinger operators and applications applications and applications and applications ap

Main questions A simpler question Semi-classical case : $q\tau$ large Perturbative case : $q\tau$ small

Here

$$\Theta_0 = \inf_{\xi} \mu(\xi) \; ,$$

where $\mu(\xi)$ is the first eigenvalue of the Neumann realization of $D_t^2 + (t + \xi)^2$ in $]0, +\infty[$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > :

-

Main questions A simpler question Semi-classical case : $q\tau$ large Perturbative case : $q\tau$ small

Here

$$\Theta_0 = \inf_{\xi} \mu(\xi) \; ,$$

where $\mu(\xi)$ is the first eigenvalue of the Neumann realization of $D_t^2 + (t + \xi)^2$ in $]0, +\infty[$.

This leads (assuming the uniqueness of Q_{C3}), to

$$\tau Q_{C3}(\kappa,\tau) = \frac{\kappa^2}{\Theta_0} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{\frac{4}{3}}) .$$
 (17)

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、

Main questions A simpler question Semi-classical case : $q\tau$ large Perturbative case : $q\tau$ small

A second analysis (perturbative in spirit) gives

Theorem 4

As $\sigma = q\tau \rightarrow 0$,

$$\mu_*(q,\tau) = \Theta(\tau)(q\tau)^2 + \mathcal{O}((q\tau)^4) \tag{18}$$

where the remainder is controlled uniformly for $\tau \in]0, \tau_0]$,

Main questions A simpler question Semi-classical case : $q\tau$ large Perturbative case : $q\tau$ small

A second analysis (perturbative in spirit) gives

Theorem 4

As $\sigma = q\tau \rightarrow 0$,

$$\mu_*(q,\tau) = \Theta(\tau)(q\tau)^2 + \mathcal{O}((q\tau)^4)$$
(18)

where the remainder is controlled uniformly for $\tau \in]0, \tau_0]$, and $\Theta(\tau)$ is a continuous function on $[0, \tau_0]$ such that

$$\Theta(0) = \inf_{\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{S}^2} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{h}}|^2 \, dx \,, \tag{19}$$

where A_h is the unique solution in Ω of

div
$$\mathbf{A_h} = 0$$
, curl $\mathbf{A_h} = \mathbf{h}$, and $\mathbf{A_h} \cdot \nu = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. (20)

Main questions A simpler question Semi-classical case : $q\tau$ large Perturbative case : $q\tau$ small

A second analysis (perturbative in spirit) gives

Theorem 4

As $\sigma = q\tau \rightarrow 0$,

$$\mu_*(q,\tau) = \Theta(\tau)(q\tau)^2 + \mathcal{O}((q\tau)^4)$$
(18)

where the remainder is controlled uniformly for $\tau \in]0, \tau_0]$, and $\Theta(\tau)$ is a continuous function on $[0, \tau_0]$ such that

$$\Theta(0) = \inf_{\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{S}^2} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{h}}|^2 \, dx \,, \tag{19}$$

where A_h is the unique solution in Ω of

div
$$\mathbf{A_h} = 0$$
, curl $\mathbf{A_h} = \mathbf{h}$, and $\mathbf{A_h} \cdot \nu = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. (20)

Main questions A simpler question Semi-classical case : $q\tau$ large Perturbative case : $q\tau$ small

Coming back to the limit $\sigma \to +\infty$, an open question (but see Pan and work in progress by Helffer-Pan) is to find uniform two terms asymptotic for $\mu(qn_{\tau})$ and for $\mu_*(q,\tau)$.

- S. Agmon, Lectures on exponential decay of solutions of second order elliptic equations: bounds on eigenfunctions of N-body Schrodinger operators, Princeton Univ. Press, NJ, 1982.
- Y. Almog, *Thin boundary layers of chiral smectics*, Preprint June 2007 (to appear in CVPDE).
- P. Bauman, M. Calderer, C. Liu and D. Phillips, *The phase transition between chiral nematic and smectic A*^{*} *liquid crystals*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 165 (2002), 161-186.
- M. C. Calderer, Studies of layering and chirality of smectic A* liquid crystals, Mathematical and computer Modelling, 34 (2001), 1273-1288.

- R. Dautray and J.L. Lions, Mathematical analysis and numerical methods for science and technology, Berlin-Springer Verlag (1988-1995).
- P. G. De Gennes, *Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., 1966.
- P. G. de Gennes, An analogy between superconductors and smectics A, Solid State Communications, 10 (1972), 753-756.
- P. G. de Gennes, Some remarks on the polymorphism of smectics, Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals, 21 (1973), 49-76.
- P. G. de Gennes and J. Prost, *The Physics of Liquid Crystals*, second edition, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, 1993.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- L. C. Evans, *Weak Convergence Methods for Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. **74**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1990.
- S. Fournais and B. Helffer, *On the third critical field in Ginzburg-Landau theory*, Comm. Math. Phys., **266** (2006), 153-196.
- S. Fournais and B. Helffer, *Strong diamagnetism for general domains and applications*, Ann. Inst. Fourier 2008.
- S. Fournais and B. Helffer, *On the Ginzburg-Landau critical field in three dimensions*, arXiv:math-ph/0703047v1, March 2007. To appear in CPAM (2008).
- S. Fournais and B. Helffer, *Spectral methods in surface superconductivity.* Book in preparation.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

- B. Helffer and A. Morame, *Magnetic bottles in connection with superconductivity*, J. Functional Anal., **185** (2001), 604-680.
- B. Helffer and A. Morame, *Magnetic bottles for the Neumann problem: the case of dimension* 3, Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences-Mathematical Sciences, **112**: (1) (2002), 71-84.
- B. Helffer and A. Morame, Magnetic bottles for the Neumann problem: curvature effects in the case of dimension 3 - (general case), Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 37 (1) (2004), 105-170.
- B. Helffer and X. B. Pan, Upper critical field and location of surface nucleation of superconductivity, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire, 20 (1), 2003, 145-181.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- B. Helffer and X. B. Pan, Reduced Landau-de Gennes Functional and Surface Smectic State of Liquid Crystals Submitted (2008).
- T. Kato *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. New York, Springer-Verlag (1966).
- F. H. Lin and X. B. Pan, Magnetic field-induced instabilities in liquid crystals, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 38 (5) (2007), 1588-1612.
- K. Lu and X. B. Pan, Gauge invariant eigenvalue problems in ℝ² and in ℝ²₊, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **352** (2000), 1247-1276.
- K. Lu and X. B. Pan, Estimates of the upper critical field for the Ginzburg-Landau equations of superconductivity, Physica D, 127 : (1-2) (1999), 73-104.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- K. Lu and X. B. Pan, *Surface nucleation of superconductivity in 3-dimension*, J. Diff. Equations, **168** (2000), 386-452.
- R. Montgomery, *Hearing the zero locus of a magnetic field*.
 Comm. Math. Phys. 168 (3) (1995), 651-675.
- X. B. Pan, Surface superconductivity in applied fields above H_{C_2} , Commun. Math. Phys., **228** (2002), 327-370.
- X. B. Pan, Landau-de Gennes model of liquid crystals and critical wave number, Comm. Math. Phys., **239** (1-2) (2003), 343-382.
- X. B. Pan, *Superconductivity near critical temperature*, J. Math. Phys., **44** (2003), 2639-2678.
- X. B. Pan, *Surface superconductivity in 3-dimensions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **356** (10) (2004), 3899-3937.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- X. B. Pan, Landau-de Gennes model of liquid crystals with small Ginzburg-Landau parameter, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 37 (5) (2006), 1616-1648.
- X. B. Pan, An eigenvalue variation problem of magnetic Schrödinger operator in three-dimensions, preprint May 2007.
- X. B. Pan, Analogies between superconductors and liquid crystals: nucleation and critical fields, in: Asymptotic Analysis and Singularities, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 47-2 (2007), 479-517.
- X. -B. Pan. and K.H. Kwek, Schrödinger operators with non-degenerately vanishing magnetic fields in bounded domains. Transactions of the AMS354 (10) (2002), p. 4201-4227.
 - N. Raymond, In preparation.

イロト イポト イラト イラト



M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. I-IV*. Academic Press, New York.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト